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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The primary purpose of this article is to demonstrate the role that age, gender, and place play in rural–urban differences in mortality, in order to better develop policy to reduce these differences.

Methods: In 2006, the Canadian Institute for Health Research published a major epidemiological investigation into the morbidity and mortality experience of Canadians, using mortality data as well as the 2004 Canadian Community Health Survey. Using these data, gender differences in mortality across the rural–urban continuum were further explored. The proportion of excess deaths occurring in each of the four different types of rural places, relative to deaths in urban places, were calculated for different gender and age groups.

Results: Differences in mortality across the urban–rural continuum are mainly driven by people under 45 years, and are particularly due to deaths caused by injury and poisoning, motor vehicle accident (MVA) and suicide. The proportion of excess deaths relative to urban places for those under 45 years increases with decreasing age. Remote places have the highest excess in mortality for suicide, MVA, injury and poisoning, relative to urban places.

Conclusion: The relative mortality for young girls living in rural compared with urban places is similar to the relative mortality for young rural boys. There is, therefore, a need for prevention policies targeted at both boys and girls to prevent suicide, injury/poisoning, and MVAs in rural, and especially in remote, places.
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Introduction

According to Rioja et al.\(^1\) and Ostry\(^2\), in different nations, differences over time between rural and urban mortality have varied in intensity and direction. In the late 19th century, when infectious disease mortality was high, worse sanitary hygiene and over-crowding in cities led to higher mortality rates than in the countryside. With the epidemiological transition, the more rapid pace of modernization in the cities has led to a faster decline in urban mortality relative to rural places so that now, in some (but not all) developed nations, mortality rates in rural places for many causes of death are higher than they are in urban places\(^3,4\).

The extent of the difference in mortality across the rural–urban continuum varies, in some cases quite markedly, from nation to nation\(^3,5\) and by specific cause of death\(^3,4,6,7\). Increasingly researchers recognize that, even in developed nations where rural and urban mortality is similar\(^5\), rural areas have higher (in some cases much higher) morality among children and young adults. In developed nations such as Canada and Australia where age-adjusted rural mortality tends to be much greater than rural mortality, deaths from unintentional injuries, poisoning, motor vehicle trauma, and suicide, causes of death which are disproportionately high among the young, also tend to be higher in rural places\(^3,4,8,9\).

Several studies in recent years have demonstrated a consistent deficit in health status among rural compared with urban Canadians\(^3,4,6,7,9,11\). The most comprehensive of these recent studies was conducted by the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI)\(^4\) utilizing morbidity data from the 2002 Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) and mortality outcomes obtained from the Canadian Mortality Database (CMD) for the years 1986–1996.

This recent CIHI study utilized a more sophisticated definition of ‘rural’ than has been used in previous investigations of rural health in Canada\(^12\). In most health studies rural places have been defined crudely on the basis of population size. However, CIHI investigators in their 2006 study used Statistics Canada’s Metropolitan Influenced Zone (MIZ) system to classify rural places into four sub-groups based, not only on population size, but also on their proximity to urban places, and the extent to which rural places are ‘influenced’ by nearby urban centers.

The CIHI analysis, using this more finely graded definition of rural places, demonstrated fairly consistent gradients in health outcomes, including mortality, along both the urban–rural continuum and this more finely subdivided rural continuum. This new definition of rurality (fairly well described in the original CIHI study), was operationalized with administrative data and furthers our understanding of the impact of place on health.

The primary purpose of this article is to illustrate the use of an age, gender, and place based re-analysis of data from an existing study\(^4\) in order to more clearly demonstrate the role of these three factors in rural–urban differences in mortality, in order to better develop policy to reduce this gap.

The article is organized as follows. The methods section reviews the way ‘rural’ and ‘urban’ are defined, and the methods used to gather and analyze data in the original CIHI study. The approach of the present study is outlined, whereby the original study results were re-visited, re-synthesized and presented in such a way that age, gender, and place are integral to the analysis of the mortality gap between urban and rural residents in Canada. The results of the re-analysis are presented in the results section. This is followed by a discussion of the results and suggestions for how this type of age/gender/place analysis might be used to better develop policy targeted to reducing the current gap in mortality along the urban–rural continuum in Canada.

Methods

In the original investigation\(^4\), CIHI researchers aggregated records from the CMD to the Census Sub-division (CSD)
level for each year in the period 1986–1996. Because some
census district boundaries changed over this period,
mortality data from each of these years was fitted to 1996
CSD boundaries.

Next, each CSD was categorized into one of 7 possible MIZs
(Table 1). These MIZs characterize rural areas according to
population size and proximity and influence exerted by
nearby urban areas. The MIZs offer a more sophisticated
way of defining ‘rural’ than is found in typical analyses
where rural areas are defined solely in terms of population
size or density. Statistics Canada’s MIZ system estimates the
level of influence exerted by nearby urban areas by assessing
the proportion of residents in a rural CSD who commute on a
regular basis to nearby urban areas. While this is a fairly
crude measure of urban ‘influence’ on a rural CSD, it is a
vast improvement when compared with traditional ‘size-
only’ measures of rurality.

Once mortality data was made available for all CSDs in
Canada for each of the years and CSD was categorized into
one of the 7 MIZ categories, statistical comparisons of
mortality outcomes for major causes of death were made
across 5 MIZ categories for different age groups and by
gender. Causes of death were extracted from the
International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 9 codes found
in the CMD.

Complete census data were not available for approximately
20% of the 5984 CSDs in 1996 due to their small population
size. In addition, the share of the CSDs included in the CIHI
study varied by MIZ: 75.3% for CMAs/CAs, 99.1% for
strong MIZ, 93.9% for moderate MIZ, 82.3% for weak MIZ
and 50.1% for no MIZ. The suppression of data for CSDs
with small populations explains the unavailability of some
census data at the CSD level as well. It is clear therefore that
sub-populations, particularly those living in very small
communities in remote places, were under-ascertained using
this sampling framework.

The results section of the original CIHI report and the
appendix contained a number of tables with data on
mortality rates for major causes of death by MIZ regions,
age group, and gender. The original report presented general
results with discussion based on each major causes of death.
Results were tabulated for each cause of death by gender and
age groups (usually years 0–4, 5–19, 20–44, 45–64 and ≥65).

In the present study calculations were performed to
determine the proportion of excess deaths occurring in each
of the four different types of rural places, relative to deaths
in urban places. These calculations were performed for
different gender and age groups. In this way, the age, gender,
and rural place-specific characteristics of mortality gradients
for major causes of death were highlighted. This re-analysis
provides a new set of insights into the gender and place
dimensions of the mortality gap between urban and rural
Canadians, and can be used to more effectively to develop
prevention policy in rural Canada.

Results

All-cause mortality by gender and across the
urban–rural continuum

In all causes of death (except cancer) age-standardized
mortality rates are higher in rural compared with strong
MIZs, and male mortality for all causes of death and within
each place category is always greater than female mortality
(Table 2).

Figure 1 illustrates the presence of a rough gradient in all-
cause mortality for both genders moving from strong MIZs
through to remote regions. All-cause mortality rates for both
men and women are approximately 20% greater in remote
compared with strong MIZ regions.

Figure 1 illustrates the presence of a rough gradient in all-
cause mortality for both genders moving from strong MIZs
through to remote regions. All-cause mortality rates for both
men and women are approximately 20% greater in remote
compared with strong MIZ regions.
Table 1: Standard Area Classification codes (MIZ system)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSD location</th>
<th>SAC code</th>
<th>Zone label</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Census metropolitan area</td>
<td>&gt; 100 000 population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tracted CA</td>
<td>&gt; 10 000 population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non-tracted CA</td>
<td>&gt; 10 000 population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Strongly-influenced MIZ</td>
<td>&gt; 30% residents commute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderately-influenced MIZ</td>
<td>5–30% residents commute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Weakly-influenced MIZ</td>
<td>≤ 5% residents commute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Not influenced (remote)</td>
<td>&lt; 40 residents commute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CA, Census agglomeration area; CSD, census sub-division; MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone; SAC, standard area classification.

†In the original CIHI study, SAC codes 1, 2 & 3 were amalgamated into one ‘urban’ category and SAC codes 4, 5, 6 & 7 were left intact so that all analyses were with the 5 place categories: urban, strong MIZ, moderate MIZ, weak MIZ and remote.

Table 2: Annual mortality rates (per 100 000) in Canada by residence and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>MIZ</th>
<th>Remote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>694.6</td>
<td>667.8*</td>
<td>739.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>838.9*</td>
<td>946.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>542.4</td>
<td>515.2*</td>
<td>563.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulatory diseases</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>273.4</td>
<td>274.8</td>
<td>292.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>354.5</td>
<td>339.8*</td>
<td>368.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>214.1</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>226.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory diseases</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>55.8*</td>
<td>64.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>79.8*</td>
<td>93.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>37.8*</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cancer mortality</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>191.3</td>
<td>177.4*</td>
<td>193.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>221.3*</td>
<td>245.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>140.8*</td>
<td>152.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14.3*</td>
<td>16.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>15.4*</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>15.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries and poisoning</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>54.5*</td>
<td>65.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>79.2*</td>
<td>97.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>29.0*</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>21.4*</td>
<td>27.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.
*Statistically significant differences, p < 0.05.
In order to better understand the drivers of this gradient in mortality along the urban–rural continuum it is necessary to look more closely at its age and gender structure.

**Variation in all-cause mortality between residents of urban compared with rural regions in Canada by age and gender**

Sub-analyses were conducted separately for males and females with age groups 0–4, 5–19, 20–44, 45–64 and over 65 years. As shown in Figure 2 there is only a slight gradient in age-standardized all-cause mortality along the rural–urban continuum for men and women over age 45. In contrast, a strong gradient exists for all-cause mortality among girls and women and boys and young men (Figure 3).

These data demonstrate that the seemingly small gradient in all-cause mortality along the urban–rural continuum observed for the entire population (Fig1) is driven by steep gradients in mortality among people less than age 45. In addition, the highest all-cause mortality rates for males and females under age 45 are found in age groups 0–4 years.

**The effect of place on the gradient between urban and across rural places**

Ill-cause mortality in urban places for those less than age 45 was compared with mortality in strong, moderate, weak, and remote places to illustrate the excess all-cause mortality in each of these rural places (Fig4).

Excess mortality in rural compared with urban places was highest for boys and girls age 5 to 19 and lowest for boys and girls age 0–4. For boys and girls age 5–19 living in remote communities, all-cause mortality rates were, respectively, 164% and 157% higher for boys and girls the same age living in urban places.
Figure 2: Age-standardized all-cause mortality rates (per 100 000) among women and men (over age 44 years), by place of residence, Canada 1986 to 1996. MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.

Figure 3: Age-standardized all-cause mortality rates (per 100 000) among boys men, girls and women (less than age 45 years) by place of residence, Canada 1986 to 1996. MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.
Figure 4: Excess all cause rural relative to urban mortality by age and gender. MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.

Figure 5: Excess rural suicide relative to urban mortality by age and gender. MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.
As in the case of suicide mortality, excess rural compared with urban injury/poisoning deaths tend to increase with decreasing age. In remote places injury/poisoning deaths among boys aged 0–4 are 374% greater than they are for boys of the same age residing in urban places. Compared with the same age groups in urban places, excess deaths due to injury/poisoning for girls age 0–4, girls age 5–19 and boys age 5–19 in remote places were approximately 250% greater than in urban places (Fig6).

Mortality from MVAs are higher in all rural compared with urban places. Unlike suicide and injury/poisoning mortality, for MVAs there is relatively little difference in the observed patterns of excess rural mortality among age groups. Excess MVA mortality in remote places is in the 175–225% range for all age groups. In weak MIZs, excess MVA mortality for girls age 0–4 is 275%, compared with being in the 125% range for boys and girls and men and women in other age groups (Fig7).

Discussion

Five main conclusions are drawn from this research. First, mortality tend to be worse moving from urban to remote regions, except in the case of strong MIZs where rates tend to be lower than they are in urban places and in other rural MIZs. Second, these differences in mortality along the urban–rural continuum are mainly driven by those under age 45 and particularly deaths caused by injury and poisoning, MVA and suicide. Third, the proportion of excess deaths relative to urban places for those under age 45 increases with decreasing age. Fourth, remote places have the highest excess in mortality for suicide, MVA, injury and poisoning, relative to urban places.

Fifth, although absolute mortality for all age groups and in all regions is higher for males than it is for females, the relative impact of place (in terms of proportion of excess deaths) in rural relative to urban Canada is remarkably consistent regarding gender for the 3 major causes of death for those under age 45. In other words, it doesn’t matter much if one is male or female, the relative impact of living increasingly further away from Canadian cities is, in general, equally adverse.

Thus, while it is well known that riskier male behaviour may be behind much of the higher absolute mortality for males, this re-analysis shows that for both rural boys and girls, life outside Canadian cities is much more dangerous than it is for age counterparts resident in urban places. This observation has implications for policy. In particular, there is a need for prevention policies being targeted at both boys and girls for the prevention suicide, injury/poisoning, and MVAs. Such policies should be especially prominent in remote places where excess deaths, relative to urban places, are astoundingly high.

The original CIHI study showed that the differences in health status along the rural–urban continuum are small for those over age 45; therefore, the rural health disadvantage is largely a problem for children, youth, and young adults. Given that poverty among children and youth in Canada (in all regions) is very high relative to other industrial nations, child and youth health must be a specifically articulated priority for health policy-makers in this country.

The results for suicide are alarming, particularly for boys and girls aged 15–19. Research from Australia, Norway, and the United Kingdom have found the largest increases in suicide rates for young adults since the 1970s have occurred among residents in rural regions. The high suicide rates in rural Canada identified in this study may also reflect recent increases. It is well known that in Canada suicide rates among Aboriginal youth are higher than for non-Aboriginal youth. Given the often high proportion of Aboriginal people living in remote regions of Canada this could explain, to some extent, the extremely high rates observed in these regions.
Figure 6: Excess rural injury/poisoning relative to urban mortality by age and gender. MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.

Figure 7: Excess rural motor vehicle accident mortality, relative to urban mortality by age and gender. MIZ, metropolitan influenced zone.
Conclusion

The relative mortality for young girls living in rural compared with urban places in Canada is similar to the relative mortality for young rural boys. There is, therefore, a need for prevention policies targeted at both boys and girls to prevent suicide, injury/poisoning, and MVAs in rural, and especially remote places.
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