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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Australian Government initiatives promote rural training placements, supported medical school places, and incentives that attract doctors to areas of need. The purpose of this study was to report on the preparedness of medical students and junior doctors to commit to working in areas of workforce shortage.

Methods: Medical students and junior doctors across all Australian states and territories completed a web-based survey assessing career attitudes and intentions. Participants were asked to indicate their level of preparedness to commit to working in an area of need.

Results: Completed surveys were received from 760 medical students and 264 junior doctors. A substantial proportion of this sample were prepared to make some form of commitment to providing medical services in a rural area. The greatest proportion (38.3%) was prepared to make a small commitment equal to an irregular locum service of 1 week or 1 month per year.

Conclusion: Government policies and incentives may be having an effect on medical students’ and junior doctors’ preparedness to work in rural and remote areas. Medical educators should encourage students and junior doctors to take up short-term placements/opportunities and to maintain links with rural communities. Practice opportunities that offer varying lengths of commitment should be advertised widely so junior doctors are aware of them and can apply.
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Introduction

The Australian Federal Government is committed to easing the shortage of doctors in rural and regional areas and, in conjunction with state governments, supports initiatives that promote rural training placements, supported medical school places, and incentives that attract doctors to areas of need. Since July 2010, city doctors have been offered up to AU$120,000 to move to regional and remote areas, and rural doctors have access to incentive payments of up to $47,000 to remain in a rural area. In addition to these incentives, the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing also provides a generous locum subsidy to help provide relief for rural GPs. However, in recent years the acute shortage of doctors in rural and remote Australia has necessitated the recruitment of overseas trained doctors to work in these areas, as well as locums from New Zealand to fill gaps short term.

A recent study reported that while some junior doctors were not prepared to move to a regional or remote area to live, they were willing to spend short periods of time working in these areas. Determining the level of commitment that medical students and doctors are prepared to make towards working in an area of need has important implications for medical educators and workforce planners. No studies were found that provide this information, so to remedy this gap the present study reports on the preparedness of medical students and junior doctors to commit to working in areas of need.

Methods

Participants were 760 medical students from 11 Australian medical schools, and 264 doctors in postgraduate years 1 to 3 (PGY1–PGY3), aged between 18 and 57 years (mean 25.46, SD 5.35), who volunteered to participate in a web-based survey in 2010. Sixty-three percent were women and the sample was predominantly Caucasian. Participants were recruited to the study between 2007 and 2009 as part of a longitudinal study examining the predictive factors that impact on medical graduates’ workforce decisions. Based on participation in the larger cohort study, the response rate in 2010 was 79%.

The survey included demographic questions (age, sex), and measures of career attitudes and intentions. The focus of this report centres on the responses to the question: ‘What regular commitment would you be prepared to make each year in an area of need or workforce shortage (e.g., rural area) when you are fully qualified?’ Response categories for this question were:

1. Not prepared to make any commitment.
2. Prepared to do an occasional locum as the opportunity arises.
3. Prepared to make a small commitment, such as an irregular locum service (e.g., 1 week or 1 month every year).
4. Prepared to make a larger commitment (e.g., 1-2 sessions per week or fortnight).
5. Prepared to make a substantial commitment (e.g., 3 months per year).
6. Prepared to commit to working full time or already committed to working in an area of need.

Ethics approval was provided by the Ethics Committee of Griffith University, Queensland.

Results

A substantial proportion of this sample of medical students and junior doctors was prepared to make some form of commitment to providing medical services in a rural area. The greatest proportion of respondents across all stages of training (38.3%) were prepared to make a small commitment equal to an irregular locum service of 1 week or 1 month per year. The next largest proportion (21.2%), were prepared to make a larger commitment equal to 1-2 sessions per week or fortnight. The range of level of commitment when fully qualified by stage of training is provided (Table 1).
Table 1: Preparedness to commit to working in areas of need by stage of training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitment level</th>
<th>Medical students n (%)</th>
<th>Doctors PGY1–PGY3 n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early-stage degree</td>
<td>Mid-stage degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total sample</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>40 (3.9)</td>
<td>4 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>124 (12.1)</td>
<td>10 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>392 (38.3)</td>
<td>44 (36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>217 (21.2)</td>
<td>27 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>83 (8.1)</td>
<td>9 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time</td>
<td>168 (16.4)</td>
<td>26 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no.</td>
<td>1024 (100)</td>
<td>120 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Government policies and incentives, as well as the much publicised awareness of workforce shortages in Australia, may be having an effect on this current generation of students and junior doctors’ preparedness to offer their services in areas of workforce shortage. Medical educators should encourage students and junior doctors to take up short-term placements/opportunities and to maintain links with rural communities. Practice opportunities that offer varying lengths of commitment as well as incentives to participate in Rural GP Locum Programs should be advertised widely so that junior doctors are aware of them and can apply for these positions.
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