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ABSTRACT

Context: Therapy assistants (TAs) are widely used in the delivery of therapy services in rural Western Australia (WA). Appropriate training for TAs is an essential part of their practice; however, to date most TAs are trained ‘on-the-job’, thus taxing the scarce resources of rural and remote allied health professionals (AHPs). There has been limited recognized training that is suitable to their role and easily accessed by rural and remote TAs.

Issue: This project report describes the development and evaluation of training for TAs across country WA to address these issues. Sixteen training modules were developed congruent with the requirements of TA work in rural WA. Modules were designed, developed and delivered via videoconference by rural and remote AHPs. A partnership with a registered training provider has allowed TAs to use this training as credit toward a recognized qualification.

Lessons Learnt: A high level of attendance across all country regions of WA confirmed a need for this training. Modules that focussed on a clinical topic, presenters that were well organized, who supplied resources to support the training, and used interactive case scenarios were received most positively. For AHPs this training reduced the work required for training TAs at individual sites. The training resources developed in this project are relevant to other rural and remote health services utilizing a
similar model of allied health service delivery. The model of training developed is based on a ‘ground-up’ approach to ensure training meets the established need. Developing stand-alone training packages that are also adapted for distance learning improves the sustainability and accessibility to training. Therapy assistants are now able to use on-the-job training to achieve a recognized qualification. Despite this it is not believed feasible for health services to insist that rural and remote TAs have a standardized qualification for their work. This article adds to a growing body of work describing the key features of rural and remote TA models of service delivery.
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Context

Allied health service delivery in rural and remote areas of Australia is characterised by an increasing demand for allied health services, workforce shortages and a high turnover of staff. Therapy assistants (TAs) can deliver allied health services to meet the needs of rural and remote communities. Therapy assistants have come to form a significant part of the rural and remote allied health workforce in WA. (However, in other remote areas of Australia differing models of allied health service delivery exist. One established model is community co-workers, who work with AHPs delivering primary health care allied health services. A census undertaken in 2005 identified 98 TAs in rural/remote WA who were supervised by AHPs employed by the WA Country Health Service (WACHS; Fig1), the state government health service provider. The WACHS was the primary employer of TAs (63%). Disabilities Services Commission (DSC) (16%) and the Department of Education (13%) were also substantial employers.

Training is essential in providing any health worker with the appropriate knowledge and skills for their work. Training for TAs in rural and remote WA has been identified as a priority in a number of projects developing the role of the rural and remote TA workforce. Most training of rural TAs has occurred ‘on-the-job’ on an individual site-by-site basis, and typically provided by the supervising AHPs. For AHPs, the demands of TA training sometimes competes for time in a busy clinical caseload.

Although there is recognized training accredited through the Australian Vocational Education and Training sector (VET) (Certificate III in Health Service Assistance - allied health assistance), this does not reflect the role of rural TAs in WA. In 2005, only three of 98 rural and remote WA TAs had a recognized qualification for their work.
This article reports on a project initiated to improve TA training in rural and remote WA: the WACHS/DSC TA Training Initiative.

The aims of the WACHS/DSC TA Training Initiative were to:

- develop an efficient and effective means of delivering TA training that reduced the training load placed on AHPs at a site level
- increase the skills and competencies of TAs
- increase the quality of services provided by TAs.

In order to achieve these aims, three objectives were identified:

- develop a series of distance-learning training modules for TAs
- coordinate a schedule of TA training to be delivered via videoconferencing.

The WACHS/DSC TA Training Initiative formed part of a comprehensive model of training for TAs that includes statewide and localized training (Fig 2).

Funding, project officer and steering committee: A part-time project officer (0.4 FTE) was employed to oversee the project, with funding provided by the WACHS and DSC. A steering committee was formed with AHP representatives from each WACHS Health Service Region (Fig 1). The membership of this group expanded during the project to include two rural/remote TAs and a representative from DSC. The role of this group was to direct the overall development of the training program and the implementation of training modules.
Funding, project officer and steering committee: A part-time project officer (0.4 FTE) was employed to oversee the project, with funding provided by the WACHS and DSC. A steering committee was formed with AHP representatives from each WACHS Health Service Region (Fig1). The membership of this group expanded during the project to include two rural/remote TAs and a representative from DSC. The role of this group was to direct the overall development of the training program and the implementation of training modules.

Training priorities: A needs assessment survey was distributed to TAs and AHPs across the WACHS to identify training priorities within the workforce. This assessment confirmed a widespread need for training. Specifically, clinical training for TAs in the area of paediatrics was identified as a priority.

TA Training modules: Sixteen TA training modules were developed to meet identified needs (Table 1). Modules had a paediatric focus, covered a range of allied health disciplines (speech pathology, occupational therapy and physiotherapy) and were appropriate for delivery by videoconference. Small working groups were formed to develop a training package for each module. Working groups comprised the project officer and appropriate AHPs from different WACHS regions, who had relevant expertise in the topic, and experience working with TAs.

A comprehensive training package for each module comprised a 1.5 hour presentation delivered via videoconference, presenter notes and participant handouts. Each training package contained all the resources necessary for any AHP to present the module. Participant handouts included notes on the session content, learning activities, a TA assessment to be completed with on-site AHP supervisors, and module evaluation form. It was planned to adapt most training packages for delivery via VHS, DVD and CDROM for those unable to access scheduled videoconference training.
Table 1: Therapy assistant training modules developed for the Western Australia Country Health Service/Disabilities Services Commission Therapy Assistant Training Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module name</th>
<th>Module name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working with People with a Disability</td>
<td>Legal and Ethical Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric Phonology</td>
<td>Paediatric Comprehension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric Fine Motor Skills</td>
<td>Paediatric Sensory Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play Skills</td>
<td>Paediatric Behaviour Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Intervention</td>
<td>Communicating &amp; Managing Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with Adults &amp; Seniors</td>
<td>Paediatric Pre-Literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running a Therapy Assistant Session</td>
<td>Prompting, Cueing, &amp; Modelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A priority of the initiative was the development of a training pathway for TAs toward a recognized qualification. A partnership between WACHS and the West Coast Technical and Further Education body (TAFE) linked elements of the training to the Certificate III Health Service Assistance (Allied Health Assistance). Where possible, training modules were matched to relevant units within the existing TAFE course and assessed against the required competencies. The completion of these modules contributed to the Certificate III qualification.

**Evaluation**

The reach of the training program was indicated by the number of participants and sites connecting to each module. An assessment of perceived quality of each module came from post-session evaluation forms submitted by participants immediately after each module. These forms asked about perceptions of the format and content of the training, and self-reported change in knowledge before and after the module (four point scale: poor, fair, good, excellent). Open-ended questions asked about strengths and areas for improvement.

To determine the longer-term impact of the training program, a purposive sample of five TAs and five AHPs was selected from across WACHS regions. Participants included AHPs from differing disciplines who work with TAs, and TAs with a variety of clinical experience and varying degrees of participation in the training program. The survey included demographic information and a series of open-ended questions exploring the perceived advantages, disadvantages and influence on work practices of the training program as a whole. Telephone interviews were conducted three months after completion of the training with participants’ statements recorded by the interviewer. At the conclusion the content of the interview was reflected back to participants for verification.

**Ethics**

Exemption from formal ethical review on the basis of quality assurance was granted by the University of Western Australia Human Research Ethics Committee. The project was considered a part of usual WACHS quality assurance activities and did not involve any clients or client records. WACHS provided institutional approval.

**Evaluation results**

**Participants:** Fifteen training sessions were delivered: one was cancelled due to technical difficulties with videoconferencing. In total 30 different sites across rural and remote WA attended the training sessions with a total of 247 site link-ins (Fig3). An average of 16 sites attended each session. Numbers in each session ranged from 5-100 participants with an average of 44 participants per training session.
A total of 416 (67%) evaluation forms were returned from individual training sessions. Sixty-five percent of these participant evaluation forms were from Tas, and 20% were education assistants. (An education assistant is employed by the Department of Education and Training and assists the teacher in delivering planned education programs and encourages a supportive and inclusive learning environment. Education assistants often assist teachers in delivering programs to students including programs developed by speech pathologists, occupational therapists and physiotherapists). Of the remainder, 3% were parents, 2% were AHPs, 4% were other assistants, such as nursing and respite assistants, and 6% were unknown. Of those who returned participant evaluation forms, the majority (63%) were employed by the WACHS, followed by the Department of Education (20%) and DSC (6%).
Training: There was a high general level of satisfaction with the training. Overall 341 participant evaluation forms (82%) rated sessions as good or excellent, 42 (10%) as fair, four (1%) as poor, and 29 (7%) unknown. Three hundred and seventy-four participant evaluation forms (90%) agreed the length of the session was appropriate and 395 (95%) considered the activities and handouts within the training were useful. These sentiments were echoed in interviews with participants 3 months following the program. Participants also reported a reduced load for individual AHPs: ‘it’s statewide so the load is shared’ (AHP 5); ‘there is reduced need to develop training’ (AHP 1); and ‘(there is) reduced training time for therapists’ (AHP 2).

Sessions on clinical areas, and those that were practically focused, were rated above the non-clinical (eg legal and ethical requirements, running a therapy assistant session). Characteristics of sessions rated ‘good’ or ‘excellent’ were excellent presentation skills, a high level of preparedness, good supporting resources such as hierarchies and checklists, and interactive activities including case studies and problem solving scenarios completed during the session. Conversely, sessions rated ‘fair’ or ‘poor’ had poor presenter engagement (poor eye contact, reading from notes, lack of preparation), technological difficulties with videoconferencing, and poor pacing of the session; some topics could have been expanded and run over two sessions.

These themes were echoed in interviews. The most successful presentations had ‘greater interaction and practical elements as well as feedback, as opposed to presentation’ (AHP 3). Conversely, a lack of interaction during the sessions was viewed less positively: ‘the style of some of the presenters was difficult to listen to. If they read from notes (or) information not practically grounded…boring’ (TA 1).

Two hundred and eleven (51%) participant evaluation forms rated an improvement in their knowledge after training. 174 (42%) felt their knowledge was unchanged, 29 (7%) were unknown, and two (0.005%) felt they had less knowledge after training sessions. Of those with unchanged knowledge, 142 (82%) had rated their knowledge ‘good’ before attending the session.

Comments from respondents in interviews indicated that, for TAs with greater than 2 years’ experience, the training validated their current practice. It was ‘good for consolidating knowledge’ (TA 1). For TAs with less experience, the training provided ‘good basic information’ (TA 4) and was a ‘general introduction to everything’ (AHP 3).

Videoconferencing: Three hundred and seventy-four (90%) participant evaluation forms indicated confidence in receiving training by videoconference, and 358 (86%) rated the sound and image quality as good. Most interview participants had found videoconferencing a positive way to access training; ‘it saves time and money for isolated staff, it’s convenient and easy to access’ (TA 3).

While many interviewees found interaction with presenters and participants by videoconference positive, the level of experience was a factor; ‘for some, videoconferencing is an unfamiliar medium; it’s difficult to engage and pipe up’ (AHP 2). The technical aspects of using videoconferencing were also a barrier at times: ‘when there are technical glitches it is difficult to concentrate’ (TA 1). As one participant also noted: ‘not all areas have videoconferencing’ (AHP 5) and ‘(there are) scheduling difficulties. It’ll be better when DVDs are released’ (AHP 4).

Networking: Networking opportunities were a further theme that emerged during interviews. The TAs strongly identified the benefit of networking with other TAs through the training as ‘great for networking, feeling less isolated, (seeing the) bigger picture’ (TA 1); ‘being able to see other TA’s, fantastic networking opportunity’ (TA 2); and ‘a nice sense of solidarity connecting to colleagues’ (TA 5). The opportunity to network was also highlighted by one AHP: ‘great networking opportunity for in-house and across WACHS’ (AHP 4).
Lessons Learned

This project is a further step in the development of rural and remote TA practice and complements previous work undertaken to develop consistent standards for WA rural and remote TAs\(^\text{15}\). The WACSH/DSC TA Training Initiative is part of a comprehensive training system and is the first formal training system developed in line with the needs of rural and remote TAs, and accessible to those in rural/remote areas.

A number of key features of this project are relevant to the rural and remote context and for other services considering similar programs. First, the integral role of AHPs throughout the project has been important. Allied health professionals have had significant input to this project as participants on the steering group, in the development and delivery of the training, and the assessment of TA competency. As a result, training modules have been closely linked to needs ‘on the ground’. This approach was validated by the significant numbers of TAs participating in training.

The development of training packages that ‘stand alone’ around each identified topic potentially allow any AHP to deliver TA training without too much effort. This has advantages in terms of sustainability of the program. In the situation of workforce turnover, any AHP is able to deliver a training module, which reduces reliance on external presenters or funding. To date, 14 modules have been produced as distance learning packages on VHS, DVD and CD, enabling those without access to videoconferencing to also access training.

Previously, the opportunity for career advancement and recognition of skills has been an important issue for TAs\(^\text{14}\). Articulating the WACSH/DSC TA Training Initiative with the existing training pathway through West Coast TAFE has been a central feature of this project. To date, eight TAs have enrolled and three have completed a Certificate III (Health Service Assistance - Allied Health Assistance). This is an important avenue for TAs. However, because most TAs work part-time (between one and 10 hours\(^\text{10}\)), there are substantial costs associated with Certificate III enrolment, and recruiting TAs may be difficult\(^\text{10}\). It is not feasible for rural and remote health services to insist all TAs have a Certificate III level qualification.

The comprehensive training system for TAs includes a general level of knowledge for the role, as provided by training modules in the WACHS/DSC training initiative, and relevant local information provided through orientation processes, local training days, supervision, performance development, and networking with other TAs (Fig2). Therapy assistants are sometimes perceived to be a cheaper alternative workforce, or a panacea for allied health workforce shortages; however, as this work shows, this is not the case. Even though this project reduced the load on individual AHPs to train TAs, there are significant support requirements beyond Certificate III training or the WACSH/DSC TA Training Initiative in order to run successful TA programs\(^\text{2,14}\).

To sustain this model there is ongoing employment of a part-time project officer (0.4 FTE). The project officer will be responsible for coordinating further training by videoconference, adapting training modules for distance learning formats, and developing ongoing TA training modules in line with need. Areas identified to improve the delivery of training are the quality of presentation (organization, interactive learning activities, and supporting resources) and ensuring participants have had an orientation and training in the use of videoconferencing.

Conclusion

This training system is a further step in describing key aspects of a rural and remote model of TA allied health service delivery. The training resources produced during this project are relevant to health services employing, or looking to employ, similar models of service delivery. The method used to develop this training, utilizing a ‘ground-up’ approach, was important in ensuring training was directly tied to work requirement. An important aspect of this project
is that TAs now have the opportunity to gain recognition for the training they are undertaking. Determining how similar models of allied health service delivery might be applied to other rural and remote populations, such as Aboriginal communities in WA, requires investigation. Further research is also needed to investigate the efficacy of the rural and remote TA model.
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