The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education, Practice and Policy

MEDLINE listed

REVIEW ARTICLE

A critical review of interventions to redress the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote areas

NW Wilson¹, ID Couper², E De Vries³, S Reid⁴, T Fish⁵, BJ Marais¹

 ¹Ukwanda Centre for Rural Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, South Africa
 ²Division of Rural Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
 ³School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
 ⁴Centre for Rural Health, Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine, University of Kwazulu Natal, South Africa

⁵Faculty of Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Tygerberg, South Africa

Submitted: 18 August 2008; Revised: 7 February 2009, Published: 5 June 2009

Wilson NW, Couper ID, De Vries E, Reid S, Fish T, Marais BJ

A critical review of interventions to redress the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote

areas

Rural and Remote Health 9: 1060. (Online), 2009

Available from: http://www.rrh.org.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The shortage of healthcare professionals in rural communities is a global problem that poses a serious challenge to equitable healthcare delivery. Both developed and developing countries report geographically skewed distributions of healthcare professionals, favouring urban and wealthy areas, despite the fact that people in rural communities experience more health related problems. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the most important studies addressing the recruitment and retention of doctors to rural and remote areas.

Methods: A comprehensive search of the English literature was conducted using the National Library of Medicine's (PubMed) database and the keywords '(rural OR remote) AND (recruitment OR retention)' on 3 July 2008. In total, 1261 references were identified and screened; all primary studies that reported the outcome of an actual intervention and all relevant review articles were

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

selected. Due to the paucity of prospective primary intervention studies, retrospective observational studies and questionnairedriven surveys were included as well. The search was extended by scrutinizing the references of selected articles to identify additional studies that may have been missed. In total, 110 articles were included.

Results: In order to provide a comprehensive overview in a clear and user-friendly fashion, the available evidence was classified into five intervention categories: Selection, Education, Coercion, Incentives and Support - and the strength of the available evidence was rated as convincing, strong, moderate, weak or absent. The main definitions used to define 'rural and/or remote' in the articles reviewed are summarized, before the evidence in support of each of the five intervention categories is reflected in detail.

Conclusion: We argue for the formulation of universal definitions to assist study comparison and future collaborative research. Although coercive strategies address short-term recruitment needs, little evidence supports their long-term positive impact. Current evidence only supports the implementation of well-defined selection and education policies, although incentive and support schemes may have value. There remains an urgent need to evaluate the impact of untested interventions in a scientifically rigorous fashion in order to identify winning strategies for guiding future practice and policy.

Key words: equity, health professionals, inequitable distribution, interventions, recruitment, retention, rural doctors.

Introduction

The shortage of healthcare professionals in rural communities remains an intractable problem that poses a serious challenge to equitable healthcare delivery¹. Both developed and developing countries report geographically skewed distributions of healthcare professionals, favouring urban and wealthier areas²⁻⁶. Rural communities are on average sicker, poorer and less well educated; they also have worse access to health care than people in urban areas. This discrepancy between health needs and service provision is captured by Hart's 'inverse care law', which states that those with the greatest health needs usually have the worst access to healthcare services⁷. Rapid urbanization is a global phenomenon but it poses particular problems in developing countries with poor infrastructure development; improved access to healthcare is often cited as one of the driving forces⁸.

A systematic review performed by the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care (EPOC) group aimed to evaluate critically the impact of various strategies aimed at reducing the rural-urban mismatch. Formal Cochrane reviews usually only include randomized control trials (RCTs) but, because no RCTs could be identified, review criteria were adjusted to include quasi-randomized studies, controlled before and after studies and interrupted time-series studies⁹⁻¹⁰. Despite using less stringent inclusion criteria, very few scientifically rigorous intervention studies were identified, which highlights the need for high quality research in this area.

At the same time, there is an urgent need to communicate the strength of the existing evidence to policy-makers and health educators in an effective and user-friendly manner. The aim of this review was to provide a comprehensive overview of the existing evidence, including studies that failed to meet requirements for inclusion in the Cochrane review, regarding the efficacy of various strategies to recruit healthcare professionals to, and retain them in rural communities. We attempted to critically assess the available evidence and summarize it in an easily accessible, user-friendly format.

Methods

A comprehensive search of the English literature was conducted using the National Library of Medicine's (PubMed) database and the keywords '(rural OR remote)



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

AND (recruitment OR retention)' on 3 July 2008, yielding 1261 references. All titles and/or abstracts were screened to identify original studies that reported the outcome of an actual intervention. The search was extended by scrutinizing the references of selected articles. Relevant review articles were also screened to identify articles that may have been missed using the original search criteria. Due to the paucity of prospective primary intervention studies, retrospective observational studies and questionnaire-driven surveys were also included. In total, 110 articles were included.

In order to provide a comprehensive overview in a clear and user friendly fashion, we classified the available evidence into five intervention categories: Selection, Education, Coercion, Incentives and Support. For purposes of this review, these terms were defined as follows:

- Selection: focus on criteria used to select students into health profession training programs based on various factors that may increase the likelihood of retaining their services in rural and remote areas once qualified. Selection criteria evaluated include geographic origin, ethnicity, gender, career intent and service orientation.
- Education: focus on strategies that optimize medical training programs (pre-vocational and post-vocational) in such a way as to stimulate interest and participation in community-based medicine (including rural practice).
- Coercion: focus on the use of authoritarian methods (by medical councils, professional bodies and/or governments) to force health professionals into rural practice.
- Incentives: focus on the provision of financial incentives or bursary schemes that are linked to rural service agreements.
- Support: focus on different ways to support the health professional while practising in rural locations.

Table 1 provides a detailed description of the categories and sub-categories used. Studies that reported on multiple variables were considered in all the relevant categories.

Specific definitions of 'rural' and/or 'remote' were not formulated and we accepted the range of definitions found in the literature reviewed. Table 2 summarizes the diversity of definitions utilized, which reflects the need to develop universal definitions that will facilitate study comparison and research collaboration. Definitions were selected from various manuscripts, including a review by Muula that emphasized the absence of universal definitions¹¹. Recruitment was defined as the attraction of healthcare professionals to, and their installation in, rural settings. Retention was defined as a stay (or intended stay when questioned) of more than 5 years in total or more than 2 years beyond the termination of service agreement requirements.

In order to convey the strength of the existing evidence in a user-friendly fashion, a simple grading system was developed that utilized five categories: convincing, strong, moderate, weak and absent. Prospective RCTs were regarded as convincing evidence but, as mentioned, the recent Cochrane Review⁹ failed to identify any RCTs. Therefore, in the absence of prospective studies with sufficiently rigorous methodology, none of the evidence discussed in this review was rated as convincing. A strong rating was defined as 'consistent findings from multiple studies (retrospective and/or prospective) performed in various settings, where the independent effect of the particular variable was confirmed through multivariate analysis'. Moderate was defined as 'consistent qualitative and/or quantitative findings from multiple studies and in various settings, but without multivariate analysis'. Weak was defined as 'qualitative and/or quantitative findings that were inconsistent across studies or only reported in a single study'. Absent was defined as 'no evidence meeting any of the set criteria'.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Table 1: Classification of interventions aimed at reducing the inequitable distribution of medical doctors to rural areas

Classification	Description		
Selection			
Geographic origin	Coming from a rural or urban setting		
Ethnicity	Belonging to an 'underserved' ethnic group		
Gender	Male or female		
Career intent	Future career plan stated at study entry		
Service orientation	Volunteer activities reported on application to medical school		
Education			
Pre-vocational			
Content	Theoretical content of training curriculum		
Exposure	Clinical rotation in a rural setting, rural preceptorship		
Post-vocational			
Fellowships	Rural or family physician training programs		
Location	Medical school located in a rural setting		
Coercion			
Registration requirement	'Community service' requirement to register as a medical doctor		
Pre-requisite for specialization	Rural experience required prior to further specialization		
International recruitment	Limiting foreign health professional recruits to rural practice		
Incentives			
Bursaries and scholarships	Providing financial study support linked to rural service agreement		
Financial compensation	Paying a 'rural allowance' or providing other financial incentives		
Support			
CPD	Creating optimal conditions for continued professional development		
Specialist outreach	Providing regular specialist outreach services		
Time-off	Providing periods of relief (weekends/ holidays/ sabbaticals)		
Family and lifestyle issues	Providing flexible working schedules for female doctors, child minding, services,		
	subsidized schooling, accommodation, recreational facilities etc.		

CPD, Continuous professional development.

Table 2: Summary of definitions used to define 'rural' and/or 'remote'

Authors [reference]/country	Definition	Variable(s) on which definition was based
Smith et al. (2008) [12]	'Remote' medical practice:limited clinical diagnostic	Isolation, limited support need for telehealth and/or fly-
Australia	support and specialist services; diagnostic and	in support
	management advice via telehealth; fly-in and fly-out	
	service models	
Crandall & Weber (2005) [13]	'Rural': a community located at least 30 miles from an	Distance from nearest urban centre
USA	urban community, there are some commercial activities,	Number of people in town/village
	and reasonable but not immediate access to health care.	
	'Isolated rural': an area at least 100 miles from a	
	community of 3000 or more individuals.	
	'Frontier-rural': a rural area that is at least 75 miles from	
	a community of <2000 individuals	
Mueller et al. (2004) [14]	'Rural areas' are those counties not included in	Common nature of employment; income levels;
USA	metropolitan statistical areas, as defined by the Office of	government structures; degree of isolation
	Management and Budget.	
AMHP (2004) [15]	The Oregon Classification System	Number of people per square mile
USA	'Rural': a population between 10 and 59.9 per square	
	mile	
	'Frontier': 0.5 to 9.9 inhabitants per square mile	
	'Remote': counties with 0.4 or less inhabitants per	
	square mile	
Pong & Pitblado (2001) [16]	'Rural isolated':	Distance from nearest 'major' hospital
Canada	Communities that are more than 400 km from a major	
	hospital	



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Table 2 Cont'd

Authors [reference]/country	Definition	Variable(s) on which definition was based
Statistics Canada (2001) [17]	'Rural areas' include all territory lying outside urban	Definitions based on predetermined definitions of
	areas. Taken together, urban and rural areas cover all of	location outside urban areas
	Canada.	
	'Rural population' includes all population living in the	
	rural fringes of CMAs and CAs, as well as population	
	living in rural areas outside CMAs and CAs.	
Magee (2000) [18]	'Rurality Index'	Skills present in community;
Canada		Availability of health services
GISCA (1998) [19]	ARIA	ARIA scores based on road distances from the 11 338
Australia	Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia	populated towns to 201 service centres across
		Australia.
Proposed by Leduc (1997) [20],	Instead of defining the term 'rural', the author proposes	Remoteness from a basic referral centre; remoteness
Validated, Olatunde et al. (2007)	a General Practice Rurality Index (GPRI).	from an advanced referral centre; drawing population;
[21]		number of GPs; number of specialists; presence of an
Canada		acute care hospital
Roos et al. (1996) [22] Canada	'Rural remote':	Distance or time away from other major services
	Rural communities that are 80-400 km, or 1-4 hours	
	travel time in good weather, from a major regional	
	hospital	
Weinert and Boik (1995) [23]	'Rural' defined in terms of the Montana State	Distance to nearest emergency care
USA	University Rurality Index	
DPIE & DHSH (1994) [24]	RRMA Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas	A seven scale classification, of which two classes are
Australia	Classification	metropolitan, three rural and two remote.
Hays et al. (1994) [25]	'Rural': more than 80 km or 1 hour's travel time from	Local area population; individual town population
Australia	the nearest, most frequently accessed hospital and	
	support services; 'remote' (for comparison):more than	
	300 km or 3 hours' travel time from support services	
Britt <i>et al.</i> (1993) [26]	'rural' defined according to location of general	Age and sex of GP; whether GP was practicing alone,
Australia	practices in either metropolitan or country towns.	or in partnership; access to medical specialists and
	Country towns were classified as large (population	other support services; type of work done by GP;
	>15 000), medium (population 5 000 to 15 000) and	number of written prescriptions, tests and referrals
	small (population < 5000)	
Hartlaub & Gordon (1993) [27]	'Rural': living and working in a non-urban population	Physician perception
USA	~	
CMAAP (1992) [28]	Rural communities: those with a population not	Size of community (number of people)
	exceeding 10 000 inhabitants	
Cleland & Mushlitz (1991) [29]	Rurality is defined using, <i>inter alia</i> , a 'Connectedness	10 Variables, including proximity to a metropolitan
USA	Index	area; population; level of education; type of
		employment; family income; level of retirement;
		number of locally published newspapers

AMHP, Association of Maternal Health Programs; CAs, Census agglomerations; CMAs, census metropolitan areas;

CMAAP, Canadian Medical Association Advisory Panel on the Provision of Medical Services in Under-serviced Regions Canada;

GISCA, Geographic Information Systems Cooperative of Adelaide,

University of Adelaide Service Organization http://www.gisca.adelaide.edu.au/

Results

provides an overview and also rates the strength of the evidence according to the definitions provided.

A short summary of the evidence that supports each of the intervention categories specified is provided. Table 3



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Selection

There is strong evidence from various countries that 'rural origin' (or rural background) is associated with rural practice³⁰⁻³⁴. Most studies defined rural origin as completing primary and/or secondary school education in a rural setting. In this respect, rural origin is especially linked to the decision to choose a rural community as one's first practice location³⁵⁻³⁷. The potential impact of selecting medical students of rural origin was quantified by Rabinowitz³⁸ in a longitudinal study that evaluated the impact of the Physician Shortage Area Program (PSAP) in the USA. The PSAP combined selective admission criteria with a rurally orientated educational program. On multivariate analysis, rural origin was the single variable most strongly associated with rural practice (OR 4.2, 95% confidence interval [CI] $(2.8-6.3)^{38}$. Another strong influence that was rarely considered is the origin of the spouse. Rabinowitz³⁸ found that 64% of rural physicians had spouses of rural origin; in Australia, doctors whose spouses had a rural background were significantly more likely to practice in a rural setting (OR 3.14; 95% CI 1.96–5.1)³⁹. A home prefecture recruiting scheme in Japan was successful in recruiting medical professionals from rural areas and retaining them as qualified professionals in medically underserved prefectures⁴⁰. Table 4 reflects the evidence that selection according to geographic origin increases the number of graduates who practise in rural settings.

There is limited evidence that being from a minority ethnic group or an underserved population increases the likelihood of practising in a rural or underserved area. A single study that investigated underserved, inner-city populations in the USA⁴⁵ reported that Hispanic generalists established practices in areas in which the percentages of the population that were (i) below poverty level; (ii) Hispanic; (iii) Hispanic and below poverty level; and (iv) white, non-Hispanic, and below poverty level, were greater than in areas in which white, non-Hispanic primary care physicians practiced. However, ethnicity has not been associated with rural practice in any of the other studies evaluated. Men were previously reported to be more likely than women to enter rural practice^{46,47}, although the strength of the association was highly variable³. In contrast, Laven and Wilkinson³² concluded that gender was not found to be significant in 3 of the 9 studies. Five studies found that rural GPs were more likely to be male³². There is thus a great need to understand gender-related differences and how this influences geographic and specialty distribution; as more women enter medicine it may have the effect of further reducing the supply of medical doctors to rural areas.

The PSAP experience demonstrated an association between initial career intent (freshmen's interest in family practice, which was one of the selection criteria) and ultimate rural practice (OR 1.8; 95% CI 1.2–2.6)³⁸; however, 60% of practising rural doctors in the US reported no intent to become a generalist at the onset of their medical studies⁴¹. Service orientation, as demonstrated by involvement in volunteer activities reported at the time of medical school application, has been associated with a generalist career in a single report⁴⁸. The significance of this observation and its association with eventual practice in rural and/or underserved areas has not been evaluated.

The Rural Physician Associate Program (RPAP), also in the USA, has demonstrated success in providing rural primary care physicians to the State of Minnesota^{49,50}. While selection is important as a success factor in the success of RPAP, many of these students had already expressed an interest in primary care. Students were also encouraged to spend 9 months in a primary-care setting to increase their interest and relevant experience. Flinders University established the Northern Territory Clinical School in Australia and employed a local quota program⁵¹. This quota program has been an effective method of enlisting local students who express an interest in living and studying in the sparsely populated Northern Territory⁵². Quota students (Northern Territory resident, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) undertook longer rural placements than their nonquota peers and were 10 times more likely to spend their intern year in the Northern Territory. Both these programs thus support the concept of combining selection with local training.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Selection Geographic origin Students with a rural origin are more likely to practice in a rural setting Strong Single factor most strongly associated with rural practice Attending a rural primary school seems most relevant Ethnicity Students with a rural origin are more likely to practice in these communities Weak Not consistent, suggested in 1 study that evaluated underserved inser-city (not rural) areas Gender Men are more likely to practice rural medicine than women Strong More wome entering medicine may worsen rural deployment Career intent Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so Strong This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP, but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent imitally Service orientation Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine Weak Observation at the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Carriculum content Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural health issues influence medical students to consider rural practice Moder weak Actual clinical exposure (immeation practice in arual setting influences the decision to enter rural practice medical students for medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Moder weak Post-vocational curriculum content Requiring that recently qualified doctors p	Intervention	Evidence summary	Rating	Comments
Geographic origin practice in a rural sering rune or likely to practice in a rural sering rune primary school seems most relevant Attending a rural primary school seems most relevant Arural practiceGender than womenMem are more likely to practice rural rural medicine are more likely to practice rural medicine school school rural rural rural practiceNo evidence that the content of the pre-vocational consider rural practiceTraining Pre-vocational Curriculum contentEmplasizing the theoretical importance of rural negative school rural rural rural rural rura	Selection			
Inductive EthnicityPractice in a rural settingAttending a rural primary school seems most relevantEthnicitySudents from 'underserved' populations are more likely to practice rural medicine than womenWeakNot consistent, suggested in 1 study that evaluated underserved inner-city (not rural) areasGenderMen are more likely to practice rural medicine than womenStrongMore women entering medicine may worsen rural deployment May change if more accommoduting conditions are created for womenCareer intentStudents whoe intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do soStrongThis proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP', but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initiallyService orientationStudents who report involvement in volunteer activities are more likely to practice rural medicineWeakObservation of the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to become generalists, no proof of rural practiceTraining Pre-vocational Curriculum contentEmphasizing the theoretical importance of rural medical students to consider rural practiceAbsentNo evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practiceRural exposureClinical orbiting influence medical students for modical schools located in mral areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting influence medical schools located in mral areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingStrong Pre-vocational rural craining, post-vocational rural craining, post-vocational rural craining, post-vocational fuely spracticed, how in a rural area in rural setting <br< td=""><td>Geographic origin</td><td>Students with a rural origin are more likely to</td><td>Strong</td><td>Single factor most strongly associated with rural practice</td></br<>	Geographic origin	Students with a rural origin are more likely to	Strong	Single factor most strongly associated with rural practice
Ethnicity Students from "underserved" populations are more likely to practice rural medicine than women Weak No consistent, suggested in 1 study that evaluated underserved inmer-tity from trans) areas Gender Men are more likely to practice rural medicine than women Strong More women entering medicine may worsen rural deployment multiployment Career intent Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so Strong This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP. In 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initially Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunteer activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum content No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum content Rural exposure Cinicial rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, athough the perceived inpact of rural rotations may be based by significant self-selection. Post-voccational Rural health specialists and family physicians are medical students from medical schools the offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Weak Many potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength medical schools do protitor erural practice Post-voccational Rural health specialists and family p		practice in a rural setting	0	Attending a rural primary school seems most relevant
Gender Men are more fikely to practice rural medicine than women Strong More women entering medicine may worsen rural deployment Career intent Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so Strong More women entering medicine may worsen rural deployment Service orientation Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to practice rural medicine Strong This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP ¹ , but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initially Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunteer activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice Training Pre-vocational Emphasizing the theoretical inportance of rural medica Moderate Absent No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational athough the preceived immacrison seave b based by self-selection No evidence that the content of rural practice Rural exposure Clinical cotation in a rural setting influence medical students from medical schools for rural practice Moderate Rectual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the preceived immacrison seave b based by self-selection No evidence that the content of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatch Post-orocational Feel/owships	Ethnicity	Students from 'underserved' populations are	Weak	Not consistent, suggested in 1 study that evaluated
Gender Men are more likely to practice rural medicine Strong More women entring medicine may worsen rural dependent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP, but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent intaily Career intent Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so Strong More women This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP, but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initially Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunteer activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Observation at the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to become generalists, no proof of rural practice Training Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural consider rural practice Absent No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceival impact training, post-vocational rural ingregentaris fellowships are more likely to practice in a rural setting	5	more likely to practice in these communities		underserved inner-city (not rural) areas
International than womenFunction international and the problem of the problem	Gender	Men are more likely to practice rural medicine	Strong	More women entering medicine may worsen rural
May change in more accommodating conditions are created for vorman Career intent Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so Strong This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP, but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initially Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunteer activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Observation at the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to practice of rural practice Training Pre-vocational Curriculum content Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural health issues influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the pereviced impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection. No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational training and medical students to consider rural practice Post-vocational Rural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offre generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Weak May potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the assence of multivariate analysis Location Students from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting the rural-median instanch Weak May potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the assence of multivariate analysis	Conder	than women	Suong	deployment
Career intent For women Interview				May change if more accommodating conditions are created
Career intent Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so Strong This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the PSAP, but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initially Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunteer activities are more likely to practice rural medicine are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Observation at the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to become generalists, no proof of rural practice Training Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural practice Absent No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) scems most important, although the perceived lineal of orral rotations may be biased by self-selection Post-vocational Rural bealth specialists and family physicians are fieldy to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Weak Many potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysis Location Students from medical schools located in rural setting requirement 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatch Forced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, b				for women
Chine median Prior main medicine are more likely to do so PSAP ¹ , but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career intent initially Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunter activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Weak No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice Training Pre-vocational Curriculum content Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural health issues influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Absent No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection Port-vocational Rural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to practice in a rural setting pre-vocational alternal-urban mismatch Strong Results are biseed by self-selection Coercion Students from medical schools located in rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatch Weak Mary potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural aseting Location Students from medical	Career intent	Students whose intent at study entry is to practice	Strong	This proved an independent predictor of rural practice in the
Service orientation Students who report involvement in voluncer activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Observation at the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to become generalists, no proof of rural practice Training Pre-vocational Curriculum content Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural bissues influences medical students to consider rural practice Absent No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection Post-vocational Rural health specialists and family physicians are fellowships Weak Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural ordations may be biased by self-selection Post-vocational Fellowships Rural health specialists and family physicians are fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Strong that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Weak Mary potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysis Location Students from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting errural-urban mismatch Weak Mary potential	Curver intent	rural medicine are more likely to do so	Strong	$PSAP^{\dagger}$ but 60% of US rural doctors reported no such career
Service orientation Students who report involvement in volunter activities are more likely to practice rural medicine Weak Observation at the university of North Carolina that these students are more likely to become generalists, no proof of pre-vocational beath issues influence medical students to consider rural practice Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (inmersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (inmersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection Post-vocational Rural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Strong Results are biased by self-selection: No evidence that the reation/availability of these specialites actually reduces the rural-urban mismatch Location Students from medical schools located in rural area renore likely to practice in a rural setting entitle doctors perform requirement 'community service' in a rural area renore likely to practice in a rural setting entitle doctors perform requirement in them to rural practice in a rural area renore likely to practice in a rural area renore likely to specialize in a rural area renore likely to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatch		rurur medicine die more mery to do so		intent initially
Derive of matukan State of a state of the control	Service orientation	Students who report involvement in volunteer	Weak	Observation at the university of North Carolina that these
International medicineInternational medicineInternational medicineTraining Pre-vocational Curriculum contentEmphasizing the theoretical importance of rural health issues influence medical students to consider rural practiceAbsentNo evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practiceRural exposureClinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practiceModerateActual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selectionPost-vocational FellowshipsRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likelyStrongResults are biased by significant self-selection. No evidence that are biased by significant self-selection so evidence that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrongResults are biased by significant self-selection. No evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural area triduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural	Service orientation	activities are more likely to practice rural	weak	students are more likely to become generalists no proof of
Training Pre-vocational Curriculum content acrossider rural practice Interpretation (Interpretation) No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practice Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection Post-vocational Fellowships Rural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational training and medical school entry criteria favouring rural students, all are associated with an increased likelihood of being a rural GP Post-vocational Fellowships Rural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Strong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatch Location Students from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting requirement 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatch Rural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practice reduces the rural-urban mismatch Previding that recently qualified doctors perform requirement word mismatch Weak Fored 'community service' definitely add		medicine		rural practice
Training Pre-vocational Curriculum content consider rural practiceEmphasizing the theoretical importance of rural health issues influence medical students to consider rural practiceAbsentNo evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum influences the decision to enter rural practiceRural exposureClinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practiceModerate weakActual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selectionPost-vocational FellowshipsRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatchLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting requirement 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisCoercion Registration requirement urban usimatchRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform roduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recritiment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specialization reduces the rural-urban mismatchRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years	Training			
Providing the inspirating the income information influence in the decision to enter our influence in the decision to enter our influence in the decision to enter our influence influence in the decision to enter our influence	Pre-vocational	Emphasizing the theoretical importance of rural	Absent	No evidence that the content of the pre-vocational curriculum
ControlInitial practiceModerateRural exposureClinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practiceModerateActual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selectionPost-vocationalRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrongResults are biased by significant self-selection. No vidence that the creation/availability of these specialties actually reduces the rural-urban mismatchLocationStudents from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting to become rural doctors perform rural-urban mismatchWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specialization requiring that doctors spend a minimum number specialized trainingWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in medical schools	Curriculum content	health issues influence medical students to	rosent	influences the decision to enter rural practice
Rural exposure Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence medical students to consider rural practice Moderate Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection Post-vocational Rural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors Strong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatch Location Students from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting previocational students from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting Weak Many potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysis Location Students from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting Rural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctors Coercion Requiring that recently qualified doctors perform rural-urban mismatch Weak Foreed 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, with are are and ref to specialize from the profession and from long term rural practice of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatch Foreed 'community service' d	Curriculum content	consider rural practice		influences the decision to enter rural practice
Name appointContract information of the ending infectionModerateModerateAlthough the perceived impact of null relations may be biased by self-selectionPost-vocational FellowshipsRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational ural trained in the originate of null relations of the provide to become rural doctorsStrong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatchLocationStudents from medical schools to become rural doctorsStrong Results are are more likely to practice in a rural setting areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingStrong Rural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools doctors perform requirement 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPrerequisite for specializationRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform requirement 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakInternational requirimentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerate ModerateIntentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerate ModerateIntentives <td>Rural exposure</td> <td>Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important</td>	Rural exposure	Clinical rotation in a rural setting influence	Moderate	Actual clinical exposure (immersion) seems most important
Intervent statution to connect that practicebiased by self-selectionbiased by self-selectionbiased by self-selectionPost-vocationalRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrongPost-vocationalRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrongLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting equiring that recently qualified doctors perform requirementWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisCoercion RegistrationRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform rural area in order to specialize rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchPrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that in any altera people from the profession and from long term rural practiceInternational reduces the rural-urban mismatchModerateForceign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesInternational reduces the rural-urban mismatchProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural scholarships <td>Ruful exposure</td> <td>medical students to consider rural practice</td> <td>moderate</td> <td>although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be</td>	Ruful exposure	medical students to consider rural practice	moderate	although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be
DescriptionDescriptio		incurcal stations to consider farm practice		biased by self-selection
Post-vocational FellowshipsRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence 			Weak	Pre-vocational rural training post-vocational training and
Post-vocational FellowshipsRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatchLocationStudents from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting requirementRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsPrerequisite for specializationRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRecuriting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForced 'community area reduced, in specialized trainingInternational reduces the rural- urban mismatchProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized rainingInternational reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerate areas area greement encourages ruru			weak	medical school entry criteria favouring rural students all are
Post-vocational FellowshipsRural health specialists and family physicians are more likely to practice in a rural setting Pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsStrong Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatchLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisCoercion Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateModerateInternational recruitmentProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateHater of the available evidence originate from				associated with an increased likelihood of being a rural GP
FollowshipsRuan hearth specialities and ham, physical and the specialities and ham, physical and the specialities are unable of specialities are unable of specialities actually reduces the rural-urban mismatchFellowshipsPre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercion Registration requirement requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrecquisite for specialization specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProvidi	Post-vocational	Rural health specialists and family physicians are	Strong	Results are biased by significant self-selection: No evidence
TendowshipsIndex the first produce in a train secting pre-vocational students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting requirementWeakRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercion Reguiring that recently qualified doctors perform requirementWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors, with constraints that inmit the rural-urban mismatchMederateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesInternational recruitmentProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerateInternational recruitmentProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerateInternational ording area transide<	Fellowships	more likely to practice in a rural setting	Strong	that the creation/availability of these specialties actually
International that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctorsWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting requirementRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercion Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakProtection many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateModerateIncentives Bursaries and service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages area practice	renowsnips	Pre-vocational students from medical schools		reduces the rural-urban mismatch
International percentary retrovemps are index interval to become rural doctorsWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting requirementRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercion Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateModerateIncentives Functional service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerate		that offer generalist fellowships are more likely		
LocationWeakMany potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysisLocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercionRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForcing recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Functional service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerate		to become rural doctors		
LocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercion Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerate LimitedProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerate			Weak	Many potential confounders, impossible to assess the strength
LocationStudents from medical schools located in rural areas are more likely to practice in a rural settingRural placement may only be a surrogate of various other factors, but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural medical schools do produce more rural doctorsCoercionRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practiceInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchWeakForcing recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerate ModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone			W cuit	of the evidence in the absence of multivariate analysis
DeterminationInternational problem of the	Location	Students from medical schools located in rural		Rural placement may only be a surrogate of various other
Coercion Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practiceInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchWeakPracticed in any developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the erurn on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerate	Locution	areas are more likely to practice in a rural setting		factors but there seems to be sufficient evidence that rural
Coercion Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practiceInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateMultidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone		a cas are more mery to produce in a ratal setting		medical schools do produce more rural doctors
Registration requirementRequiring that recently qualified doctors perform 'community service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakForced 'community service' definitely addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerate ModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	Coercion			
requirementrequiring hard parallel action providereaction providing service' in a rural area reduces the rural-urban mismatchrecruitment but there is concern that it may alienate people from the profession and from long term rural practicePrerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encouragesModerateModerate	Registration	Requiring that recently qualified doctors perform	Weak	Forced 'community service' definitely addresses short term
International rural-urban mismatchRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encouragesModerateMultidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	requirement	'community service' in a rural area reduces the		recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people
Prerequisite for specializationRequiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchWeakPracticed in many developing countries, criticized in Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerate compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerate		rural-urban mismatch		from the profession and from long term rural practice
specializationof years in a rural area in order to specialize reduces the rural-urban mismatchIndonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited	Prerequisite for	Requiring that doctors spend a minimum number	Weak	Practiced in many developing countries, criticized in
or yours in a train area in order to operating reduces the rural-urban mismatchInternational areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized trainingInternational recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerate limitedModerateModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited	specialization	of years in a rural area in order to specialize	,, euit	Indonesia for attracting the wrong 'type' of doctor to rural
International recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerate kModerateModerateModerateModerate kModerateModerateModerateIncentives bProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerate kModerateModerateModerateModerateFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateMultidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	spectalization	reduces the rural-urban mismatch		areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in
International recruitmentRecruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchModerateForeign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a domino effect in exporting countriesIncentives Bursaries and scholarshipsProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practiceModerateModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerate				specialized training
recruitmentlimit them to rural practice, reduces the rural- urban mismatchdomino effect in exporting countriesIncentivesProviding scholarships with an enforceable rural scholarshipsModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limitedFinancial compensationProviding direct financial incentives encourages rural practiceModerateModerateModerate to mismatchModerateModerateMost of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited	International	Recruiting foreign doctors, with constraints that	Moderate	Foreign recruitment is widely practiced, but it often initiates a
Incentives Providing scholarships with an enforceable rural scholarships Moderate Moderate Most of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages Moderate Moderate Moderate Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages Moderate Moderate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	recruitment	limit them to rural practice, reduces the rural-		domino effect in exporting countries
Incentives Providing scholarships with an enforceable rural scholarships Moderate Most of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages rural practice Moderate Moderate Most of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited		urban mismatch		
Bursaries and scholarships Providing scholarships with an enforceable rural service agreement encourages rural practice Moderate Most of the available evidence originate from the USA. Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages rural practice Moderate Moderate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	Incentives			
scholarships service agreement encourages rural practice Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very limited Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages Moderate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	Bursaries and	Providing scholarships with an enforceable rural	Moderate	Most of the available evidence originate from the USA.
Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages Moderate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	scholarships	service agreement encourages rural practice		Applicability to other countries are not known, or are very
Financial compensation Providing direct financial incentives encourages Moderate Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful than those relying on financial incentives alone	r~	C		limited
compensation rural practice that the second ges the second ges that the second ges the second ges that the second ges the seco	Financial	Providing direct financial incentives encourages	Moderate	Multidimensional programs appeared to be more successful
	compensation	rural practice		than those relying on financial incentives alone

Table 3: Overview and rating of interventions aimed at reducing the rural-urban mismatch



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Table 3 Cont'd

Intervention	Evidence summary	Rating	Comments
Support			
Continuous	Providing sufficient opportunities for	Weak	Only questionnaire-based data
professional	continuous professional development		
development	encourages rural practice		
Specialist outreach	Providing relevant specialist outreach and	Weak	Obligations to ensure that these structures are in place are not
support	support encourages rural practice		met rigorously, and are not always sustainable
Time-off	Providing back-up to allow free time during	Weak	The little available evidence indicates a dire need for
	holidays and weekends encourages rural		retention strategies that focus on integration of personal and
	practice		professional support for rural doctors
Family and lifestyle	Addressing the most relevant family and	Weak	Implementation of support programs for lifestyles and
issues	lifestyle issues encourages rural practice		families of health care professionals are hampered by lack of
			infrastructural developments, inter alia, in rural areas

†PSAP, Physician Shortage Area Program, Thomas Jefferson University, http://www.tju.edu/psap/

Table 4: Evidence that selection according to geographic origin may reduce the rural-urban mismatch^{31,33,38,41-44}

Study [reference] [†]	Where	Study	Study design	Key findings	Comments /
		population			limitations
Rolfe et al. [42]	Country-wide	149 (36 rural)	Cross-sectional mail	Rural doctors more likely to	No multivariate
Aust NZ J Med 1995	Australia		survey	have rural background (OR 2.5)	analysis
Fryer et al. [43]	Colorado USA	159 (45 rural)	Cross-sectional mail	Rural doctors more likely to	No multivariate
Fam Med 1997			survey	have rural background (OR 2.7)	analysis
Rabinowitz et al.[38]	Pennsylvania	1609 (206 rural)	Cross-sectional mail	Rural doctors more likely to	No multivariate
J Rural Health 1999	USA		survey plus secondary	have rural background (OR 3.9)	analysis
			data analysis		
Easterbrook et al. [33]	Ontario Canada	159 (45 rural)	Cross-sectional mail	Rural doctors more likely to	Multivariate analysis
Can Med Ass J 1999			survey	have rural background (OR 2.5)	
Wilkinson et al. [44]	Southern	504 (268 rural)	Two cross-sectional	Rural doctors more likely to	Multivariate analysis
Med J Aust 2000	Australia		mail surveys	have rural background (OR 2.4)	
Rabinowitz et al [41]	Pennsylvania	3365 (187 rural)	Retrospective cohort	Rural doctors more likely to	Multivariate analysis
JAMA 2001	USA		study	have rural background (OR 3.5)	
De Vries and Reid[31]	Country wide	278 (138 rural)	Cross-sectional mail	Rural doctors more likely to	No multivariate
S Afr Med J 2003	South Africa		survey	have rural background (46% vs	analysis
				13%)	

†Published in peer reviewed journals after 1990.

Education

It is generally accepted that the tertiary hospital-based model of medical education exposes students mainly (even exclusively) to curative and specialized care, reducing the likelihood of a future generalist career and rural practice. Curricular content and clinical exposure may influence the career choice of graduate and post-graduate students, but few prospective studies have evaluated the influence exercised by medical education. Retrospective questionnaire-driven surveys indicate that theoretical course content had very little influence on the decision of rural doctors to enter rural practice³¹. Rural field residencies (immersion) together with adequate local guidance and supervision (preceptorship) seem to exercise the greatest influence⁵³⁻⁵⁶. It is extremely difficult to evaluate the independent contribution of rural field residencies, because these rotations are usually optional, which introduces considerable selection bias. Data from one medical school in Thailand indicated the majority of graduates continued in rural practice after completing a compulsory rural residency, using the immersion model⁵⁷. Retrospective surveys conducted among rural doctors in

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

other countries also support the value of pre-graduate rural exposure and the need to be equipped with the necessary skills to practise in a rural location^{32,58}.

At the post-vocational level, doctors who completed generalist fellowships, such as family medicine or a rural health specialty, were predictably more likely to enter rural practice⁵⁹⁻⁶¹. However, self-selection again introduces significant bias and there is no evidence that the availability of these generalist specialties actually recruits more doctors into rural practice. Countries such as Canada⁶² and Brazil⁶³ have successfully adopted policies to reverse the trend towards specialization with positive results in terms of geographical deployment, but in general the restriction of specialist training has faced strong resistance from medical schools and professional associations. A survey of medical schools in the USA, titled 'Which medical schools produce rural physicians?⁶⁴ identified the following characteristics in medical schools that were most successful in producing rural practitioners: location in a rural state, public ownership, offering generalist specialties and receiving little research funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH). More recently, Worley et al⁵¹ investigated the vocational career paths of graduate entry medical students of Flinders University in Australia. A comparison of rural, remote and tertiary tracks found that students who chose the 'parallel rural community curriculum' program or trained at the Northern Territory Clinical School were more likely to choose a rural career after graduation than their urbantrained counterparts.

Coercion

Forced redress, where penalties are applied if doctors do not comply with certain requirements, remain limited and controversial^{65,66}. Coercive measures are often regarded as unfair, because other career professionals are rarely required to perform compulsory 'community service'. By singling out the healthcare profession, potential students may be discouraged from considering a medical career. Coercive measures can be particularly problematic for physicians and may result in a significant proportion being unable or unwilling to complete these service requirements, preventing them from entering professional practice. Problems associated with coercive measures are exacerbated by cultural and/or religious beliefs that prohibit physicians (especially women) and other medical staff from rendering much-needed health services, as discussed in articles that report the issue of gender-related problems in Pakistan^{67,68}. Barley et al⁶⁹, using a 37 item survey to obtain demographic information about the background, community and practice of rural female physicians, concluded that assumptions regarding rural physicians, especially women, must be updated to assist communities in recruiting rural physicians and to assist medical schools and residencies in adequately preparing graduates for rural practice⁶⁹.

No published evidence was found that demonstrated the impact of coercive measures, such as compulsory 'community service'. Concern has been expressed that although coercive measures address short-term recruitment problems, it may worsen human resource constraints in the long term. This contradiction arises as coercive measures are usually applied to recently graduated and inexperienced doctors. Observational studies from South Africa suggests that requiring inexperienced doctors to perform 'community service' in rural areas without adequate guidance and support, may force them to practise outside their scope of competence, which undermines their confidence and leaves them open to litigation; these negative experiences often alienated junior doctors from considering rural practice as a career option^{70,71}.

Incentives

Table 5 reflects the evidence that scholarships or bursaries with rural return of service agreements successfully recruit and retain more rural doctors. Few studies documented the efficacy of these interventions and the reported outcomes are highly variable. For example, many service-linked scholarships, loans and loan repayment programs have been described, but the effect of these on the rural or remote workforce are not clear⁷². In addition, contracts with a public service agreement in return for state sponsored training have



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

been implemented in many developing countries in Latin America, Africa and South East Asia⁷³, but a report by the WHO concluded that, overall, these policies have had very little influence on the geographic distribution of health professionals⁷⁴. Ensuring that return of service agreements are honoured remained a challenge in all settings, and imposing excessive penalties has been strongly opposed by medical schools and professional associations^{57,75}.

The experience with paying direct financial incentives, such as special rural allowances, has been variable. In Canada, the distribution of general practitioners was positively influenced by specifying raised fees in rural and underserved areas, and reduced fees in 'overserved' areas⁶². In the Philippines, rural incentives (linked to decentralization of the health service) had an unintended negative impact resulting from the fact that rural local governments were unable to hire healthcare professionals at the high salary levels specified⁸². Although remuneration issues feature prominently in questionnaire-based surveys and market theory predicts that financial incentives should help to remedy the situation, little quantitative evidence could be found to support the value of financial incentives.

More recently, incorporating both recruitment data and qualitative assessment using focus group discussions and questionnaires, Ross⁷⁶ reported on the success of a novel scholarship scheme (Friends of Mosvold Scholarship Scheme; FOMSS). Despite educational challenges, students from rural areas in South Africa were able to succeed at tertiary institutions if provided with dedicated mentoring and support. In the program rural hospital managers played an important role in the selection and support of students and assumed responsibility in partnership with the bursary scheme. Providing ongoing support to students while at university (by maintaining regular contact, encouraging peer support and facilitating constructive volunteer work) and ensuring that on graduating they are integrated into the hospital workforce, proved to be an effective recruitment strategy. Of the 24 FOMSS students who have graduated, 18 were working in the district that supported them.

Support

Questionnaire-based surveys indicate that adequate support is valued by rural health professionals^{83,84}. However, optimal strategies have not been identified and there is little empiric evidence to quantify its impact. Supportive measures receive more emphasis in countries where rural doctors are stateemployed, compared with countries where rural health care is provided by private practitioners. Rural doctors listed the following complaints: academic isolation, lack of consultant support, insufficient locum relief and poor physical infrastructure at hospitals^{85,86}. Family and lifestyle issues included access to good schools for children, poor recreational infrastructure, inadequate accommodation facilities and limited employment opportunities for the spouse⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹. An example of potentially contradictory qualitative evidence was the request for improved access to consultant services, while also indicating that the 'independence' of rural work and the need to deal with varied and challenging situations is an important attraction⁹⁰.

Continuing Professional Development (CPD), formerly known as Continuing Medical Education (CME), includes all in-service training programs that supplement basic medical education and post-vocational training throughout a doctor's professional working life. Continuing professional development is a professional imperative for every doctor. Not only does it improve patient care but, if done efficiently, it also improves professional job satisfaction and may support rural recruitment and retention of doctors and other health professionals^{70,91,92}. A study from Canada⁹³ demonstrated that practice-based learning plays an increasingly important role as regulators require physicians to participate in CPD; it is stated that research in this area should explore ways of enhancing critical self-reflection. Investment in infrastructure, equipment and buying time-out may be required to support CPD activities⁹⁴, but with the necessary commitment it is possible to identify simple and pragmatic ways to establish and maintain meaningful CPD activities in academically isolated rural hospitals^{70,95}.

[©] NW Wilson, ID Couper, E De Vries, S Reid, T Fish, BJ Marais, 2009. A licence to publish this material has been given to ARHEN http://www.rrh.org.au 10



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Table 5: Evidence that scholarships/bursaries with rural return of service agreements may result in more rural health professionals^{41,72,76-81}

Study [reference] [†]	Where	Study population	Methodology	Key findings
Thaker <i>et al.</i> [72] J Prof Nurs 2008	Selected states USA	24 programs (± 11 700 applicants)	Mixed mode and iterative approach (quantitative and qualitative methods used; and repeated across different cohorts of applicants)	Support-for-service programs are a substantial component of workforce distribution efforts, although little is known about these programs and their outcomes.
Ross[76] S Afr Med J 2007	KwaZulu Natal Sth Africa	27 rural	Focus group discussions; self-administered questionnaires	Despite educational challenges, students from rural areas are able to succeed at tertiary institutions and will return to work in rural districts. District hospitals can play an important role in the selection and support of students of rural origin.
Rosenblatt <i>et al</i> .[77] JAMA 2006	Country- wide USA	846 grantees (846 CEOs) - 1 CEO per grantee	Cross sectional survey, mailed questionnaires	CHCs face substantial challenges in recruitment of clinical staff, particularly in rural areas. Additional incentives may be needed to entice clinicians to rural areas, particularly to communities without the amenities that attract physicians and their families. One approach would be to expand programs, such as the Medicare incentive payment program, which use financial incentives as a magnet
Pathmann <i>et al.</i> [78] Med Care 2004	Country-wide USA	1157	Telephone & mailed questionnaires; data from secondary sources, including medical practitioner registers ('Masterfiles')	States' support-for-service programs bring Physicians to needy communities where a strong majority work happily and with at-risk patient populations; half stay over 8 years. Loan repayment and direct financial incentive programs demonstrate the broadest successes.
Stageman <i>et al.</i> [79] J Am Board Fam Pract 2003	State USA	39 (29 rural)	Qualitative interviews and analysis	Special consideration must address the issues of recruitment of students, integration into the basic program, licensure issues, determination of fellowship training needs, and faculty recruitment.
Mak & Plant[80] Austr J Rural Health 2001	State Australia	4	Case studies	Pre-clinical students can be valuable members of the rural health workforce.
Rabinowitz <i>et al.</i> [41] JAMA 2001	State USA	3414	Retrospective cohort study	Medical educators and policy makers can have the greatest impact on the supply and retention of rural primary care physicians by developing programs to increase the number of medical school matriculants with background and career plans that make them most likely to pursue these career goals
Duttera <i>et al.</i> [81] J Health Care Poor Underserved 2000	Georgia USA	438 (249 rural)	Retrospective cohort study and secondary data analysis	The retention rate of scholarship physicians in the post-medical fair period is impressive. The state's investment in the medical fair approach to recruitment and retention of physicians is justified.

CHC, Community health centre; CEO,: chief executive officer.

†Published in peer reviewed journals after 1990.

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Discussion

Empiric evidence that supports the value of interventions to redress this inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote areas is limited, and huge healthcare disparities continue to present a global challenge⁷⁴. In fact, the maldistribution of health professionals seems to be worsening in many developing countries^{2,96}. The available evidence indicates that well-defined selection and education strategies hold value, which echoes the views of Strasser who stated:

...evidence shows that the three factors most strongly associated with entering rural practice after completing education and training are a rural upbringing, positive clinical experiences at the prevocational level, and specific post-vocational training for rural practice⁹⁷.

Strategies that fall into the Incentives and Coercion categories address short-term recruitment needs, but little evidence supports their long-term impact, which may even be negative.

Qualitative research has identified numerous factors that may influence health professionals' decisions to stay in rural areas⁹⁸; however, there is a need to conduct more rigorous quantitative studies to evaluate both the short- and long-term impact of interventions within each of the categories identified. The most important policies to consider in addressing the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals, rated according to the strength of the available evidence, are reflected in Table 6. Government commitment to improve healthcare delivery to rural and underserved communities is essential, but policy should be guided by the best available evidence and every attempt should be made to generate rigorous evidence if novel or untested policies are adopted. The available evidence suggests that student selection, favouring rural applicants with a stated interest (or 'career intention') in general practice and a service

orientation, is the strategy with the greatest likelihood of reducing the rural–urban gap. Continual research efforts that aim to determine career intent by medical graduates will add significant insight to understanding factors that may increase the likelihood of retaining their services in rural areas. Gender differences may be more pronounced in settings where female doctors have little chance of structuring their service hours to be more accommodating. In addition, the work ethos of medical professionals is changing in that many professionals would prefer to work fewer hours⁹⁹⁻¹⁰¹. The impact of gender on future service commitments and deployment to rural and underserved communities requires further investigation, because there has been a major increase in the number of female students entering medical schools in recent years.

Another area of importance is measuring the short- and longterm impact of coercive measures. The success of scholarships or bursaries with rural return of service agreements (Table 5) is highly variable and the impact of novel bursary schemes and/or financial incentives requires further investigation^{76,102,103}. Recruiting foreign doctors from countries that experience a dire shortage of doctors themselves may offer a short-term reprieve, but the ethical issues relating to foreign recruitment and forced rural deployment require further scrutiny. Similarly, the effect of intergovernmental arrangements requires careful impact evaluation to consider issues such as language, cultural barriers, experience of doctors and patients, and ethical issues. It remains important to try and identify optimal models for supplemented remuneration, but in general it appears that economic incentives alone are not enough to influence redeployment. The financial incentives issue illustrates an important point, namely that identifying reasons for dissatisfaction among rural doctors using questionnaire-based approaches may be useful to highlight problems that should be addressed, but it does not establish whether an intervention will actually improve the situation.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Table 6: Reducing the rural-urban mismatch - policy issues, implementation strategies and topics for further research

Policy level	Policy issue				
Government	Key determinants of s	Key determinants of success include: length of time on national priority agenda, long-term political commitment and			
	integration of efforts	with those factors of other sectors such as education	n and civil service.		
Medical school	Including a clear focu	is on issues related to the health of rural/underserve	d communities in the goal statement of the		
	institution				
Strate	gies for implementation	on and further evaluation – topics ranked accord	ling to the evidence available		
Need for imple	plementation Need for implementation and further Need for more research				
Strong evidence		research	Weak or absent evidence		
		Moderate evidence			
Selection policies (consider selection profile)		Rural exposure during training	Selection on basis of ethnicity		
- rural origin (rural primary/secondary school)					
- career intent (rural practice)		Scholarships with rural service agreements	Developing optimal working models		
- gender (male)					
		Rural outreach/support	Coercive policies:		
Developing more medical schools in rural areas			community service		
or developing more satellite rural campuses			foreign recruitment		

The value of supportive interventions may be difficult to measure and it may be argued that there is an ethical obligation to provide sufficient support to rural practitioners. Nevertheless, it would be worthwhile to quantify the impact of various support strategies; in other words, to move beyond simply asking physicians why they left or what they found unsatisfactory about rural practice, to using more sophisticated qualitative and quantitative methods to measure the response to specific interventions. Greater developmental investment with improvement in basic services such as water and sanitation, electricity, roads and schools is required, especially in developing countries, because poor infrastructure is frequently quoted as a reason why people, including health professionals, leave rural areas¹⁰⁴.

In relation to educational support, it is difficult to determine the independent effect of locating medical schools in more rural settings, because findings would be confounded by multiple factors, such as the recruitment of more rural students or an increased likelihood that students will find a life partner of rural origin. However, identifying the exact variables that exercise the greatest independent influence seems irrelevant if the strength of the association between rural location of medical schools and ultimate rural practice is shown to be significant.

Interestingly, current evidence offers contradictory conclusions regarding the value of expert consultant support. On one hand rural doctors place great value on their independence and the challenge of coping with a variety of conditions on their own. On the other hand they express a need for improved access to and support from specialist services. Independence is a strong feature of rural practice, but it should not be promoted to the detriment of optimal patient care. More research on the interaction between consultant outreach services and rural physicians is needed to provide better guidance on how to optimize expert support services. Well structured CPD activities have demonstrated value to enhance knowledge and skill levels; significant event audits, regular peer review, group-based learning, and computer generated CPD reminders have all been shown to be effective educational strategies for general practice¹⁰⁵. The impact of CPD programs in developing countries is less well described. For example, the Health Professions Council of South Africa only recently amended its CPD guidelines to encourage practical and participatory educational activities; therefore an evaluation of the impact of this policy change is not yet possible¹⁰⁶.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Adding to the complexity is the distortion that may be created by research initiatives and decision-makers' lack of insight. Bowman¹⁰⁷ contends that medical educators, deans and editors often spend most of their lives 'inside' privileged areas with high physician concentrations and lack knowledge about what is happening on the 'outside'. Thus the fact that 70-80% of rural or underserved origin candidates do not go 'outside' current concentrations of physicians and health resources allows them to ignore the fact that they go outside at rates that are between 2 and 10 times higher than the average medical student. He argues that it is difficult for those on the inside to give time and attention to, or even understand, the principles underlying distribution of physicians to underserved areas, which he summarises to be about physician origins outside, training outside, and policy supporting physician location outside current concentrations of physicians. Ultimately, closer collaboration between those inside and outside is required to perform research and inform pragmatic policy change geared to improving physician distribution.

A factor that has not been evaluated in the recruitment and retention debate is the need to define best practice models in various settings⁷⁰. In the developed world where most of the studies were conducted, free market or state sponsored private practice is the norm, but in developing countries a major part of rural service delivery is provided by state employed doctors. In South Africa there is tension between these two models, although some 'public-private partnerships' (PPPs) have been successful in reducing this tension¹⁰⁸. In addition, an 'ideal nation' model has been put forward that suggests a role for PPPs in academic medicine¹⁰⁹. The development of best practice models may depend on pragmatic integration of the 'PPP-philosophy' into current healthcare platforms but, to date, no empiric evidence has been generated to guide this process.

The summary of definitions used for 'rural' and 'remote' illustrates the complexity of establishing universal definitions. There is a lack of research output and involvement in the formulation of workable definitions from developing countries, where the disparities are most pronounced and a developmental rather than a service approach to health is the norm. In other words, in developing countries health is inextricably linked to broader intersectoral issues of community development in terms of the primary healthcare approach, and the term 'rural' denotes more than just a geographic issue. Standard international definitions will assist researchers, policymakers and educators to collaborate, compare and share information more effectively¹². This is important because the available pool of healthcare professionals represents a global asset¹¹⁰.

Strikingly, the emphasis on rural and underserved communities often represents 'narrow-minded' nationalistic views regarding inequitable distributions of healthcare professionals within a single country. While it is understandable that countries struggle to find solutions for their own problems, it is disconcerting to see how little emphasis is placed on the true health inequities from a global perspective. The main inequities are not *within* countries but *between* countries. If national boundaries are disregarded in order to look at the problem of inequitable access to healthcare from a global perspective, it becomes clear that the problem of health equity is far greater than mere rural–urban (or remote–urban) discrepancies. Innovative solutions need to be found.

One innovative alternative involves the development of new cadres of healthcare workers, for example through formalization of the role of the community health worker, or training mid-level medical workers (physician assistants)¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁸. This cadre represents an important component of health service delivery recommended by the WHO and has been incorporated into primary healthcare initiatives in both developed and developing countries¹¹⁹. Their role in promoting public health is well-established in countries with successful primary and preventive care services such as Cuba, and in the face of the growing strain that HIV/AIDS are placing on public health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa¹²⁰.

In conclusion, this review recognizes a dire need to evaluate in a scientifically rigorous fashion the impact of

[©] NW Wilson, ID Couper, E De Vries, S Reid, T Fish, BJ Marais, 2009. A licence to publish this material has been given to ARHEN http://www.rrh.org.au 14

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

interventions and policies that aim to redress the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote areas. It is only with the availability of more rigorous evidence and sufficient political commitment that we will be able to address the pressing issue of equitable healthcare delivery and identify winning strategies to guide future practice and policy.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the main authors of the Cochrane review, L Grobler and J Volmink, for conducting the formal Cochrane review process and making us aware of the limitations associated with the current evidence.

References

1. WHO. *WHR 2003 (The World Health Report 2003) - shaping the future.* (Online) 2003. Available: http://www.who.int/whr/previous/en/index.html (Accessed 5 May 2008).

2. Lehmann U, Dieleman M, Martineau T. Staffing remote rural areas in middle- and low-income countries: a literature review of attraction and retention. *BMC Health Services Research* 2008; **8:** 19.

3. Vanasse A, Ricketts TC, Courteau J, Orzanco MG, Randolph R, Asghari S. Long term regional migration patterns of physicians over the course of their active practice careers. *Rural Remote Health* **7:** 812. (Online) 2007. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

4. Dussault G, Franceschini MC. Not enough there, too many here: understanding geographical imbalances in the distribution of the health workforce. *Human Resources and Health* 2006; **4:** 1.

5. Priester R, Elliott TE. Forecasting physician workforce requirements in Minnesota. *Minnesota Medicine* 1995; **78(10):** 21-28.

6. Wright JS, Jablonowski AR. The rural-urban distribution of health professionals in Georgia. *Journal of Rural Health* 1987; **3(1):** 53-70.

7. Hart JT. The inverse care law. Lancet 1971; 1(7696): 405-412.

Phillips DR. Urbanization and human health. *Parasitology* 1993;
 106(Suppl): S93-S107.

9. Grobler LA, Marais BJ, Mabunda SA, Marindi PN, Reuter H, Volmink J. Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas. *Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews* 2009 Jan 21;(1): CD005314.

10. Grobler LA, Marindi PN, Mabunda SA, Reuter H, Volmink J. Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in under-served communities. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005; issue 1. Art. no: CD005314. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005314.

11. Muula A. How do we define 'rurality' in the teaching on medical demography? *Rural Remote Health* **7:** 653. (Online) 2007. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

12. Smith JD, Margolis SA, Ayton J, Ross V, Chalmers E, Giddings P et al. Defining remote medical practice. A consensus viewpoint of medical practitioners working and teaching in remote practice. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2008; **188(3):** 159-161.

13. Crandall M, Weber B. *Defining rural Oregon: an exploration*. Oregon: Rural Studies Program, Oregon State University, 2005; 13.

14. Mueller KJ, Slifkin R, Shambaugh-Miller MD, Randolph RK. *Definition of rural in the context of MMA Access Standards for Prescription Drug Plans*. Chapel Hill, NC: RUPRI Center for Rural Health Policy, Omaha, Nebraska and North Carolina Rural Health Research and Policy Center, 2004.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

15. The Association of Maternal Health Programs. *The Association of Maternal Health Programs. From rural to remote America. Family health care in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon and Washington.* Washington, DC: AMHP, 2004.

16. Pong RW, Pitblado JR. Don't take 'geography' for granted! Some methodological issues in measuring geographic distribution of physicians. *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 2001; **6:** 103-112.

17. Statistics Canada. *Geographic units: Rural Area (RA)*. (Online)
2009. Available: http://www12.statcan.ca/english/census01/
Products/Reference/dict/geo042.htm (accessed 21 July 2008).

18. Magee GC. Procedural skills rurality index for the medical community. *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 2000; **5:** 18.

19. The National Key Centre for the Social Applications of GIS. *ARIA vs RRMA*. (Online) 2000. Available: http://www.gisca. adelaide.edu.au/web_aria/Rural_Health/aria_rrma/aria_1.html (Accessed 4 June 2009).

20. Leduc E. Defining rurality: a General Practice Rurality Index for Canada. *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 1997; **2(2):** 125.

21. Olatunde S, Leduc ER, Berkowitz J. Different practice patterns of rural and urban general practitioners are predicted by the General Practice Rurality Index. *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 2007; **12(2):** 73-80.

22. Roos N, Fransoo R, Bogdanovic B, Friesen D, Frohlich N, Carriere KC. *Needs-based planning for Manitoba's generalist physicians*. Winnipeg, Manitoba: Manitoba Centre for Health Policy and Evaluation, University of Manitoba, 1996.

23. Weinert C, Boik RJ. MSU rurality index: development and evaluation. *Research, Nursing and Health* 1995; **18**: 453-464.

24. DPIE and DHSH. *RRMA Methodology: Introduction*. (Online) 2000. Available: http://www.gisca.adelaide.edu.au/web_aria/Rural_Health/aria_rrma/aria_1.html (Accessed 4 June 2009).

25. Hays RB, Craig ML, Wise AL, Nichols A, Mahoney MD, Adkins PB et al. A sampling framework for rural and remote doctors. *Australian Journal of Public Health* 1994; **18(3)**: 273-276.

26. Britt H, Miles DA, Bridges-Webb CB, Neary S, Charles J, Traynor V. A comparison of country and metropolitan general practice. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1993; **159**(**Suppl**): S9-S64.

27. Hartlaub PP, Gordon RL. Rural practice. Preference, perception, and reality. *Archives of Family Medicine* 1993; **2(11):** 1198-1201.

28. Canadian Medical Association Advisory Panel. Advisory Panel on the Provision of Medical Services in Underserviced Regions. Report of the Advisory Panel on the Provision of Medical Services in Underserviced Regions. Ottawa: Canadian Medical Association, 1992.

29. Cleland CL, Mushlitz B. *Measuring rurality: connections*. Columbus, OH: Ohio Rural Sociological Society, 1991.

30. Playford D, Larson A, Wheatland B. Going country: rural student placement factors associated with future rural employment in nursing and allied health. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2006; **14(1):** 14-19

31. De Vries E, Reid S. Do South African medical students of rural origin return to rural practice? *South African Medical Journal* 2003; **93:** 789-793.

32. Laven G, Wilkinson D. Rural doctors and rural backgrounds: how strong is the evidence? A systematic review. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2003; **11**: 277-284.

33. Easterbrook M, Godwin M, Wilson R, Hodgetts G, Brown G, Pong R et al. Rural background and clinical rural rotations during medical training: effect on practice location. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1999; **160(8)**: 1159-1156.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

34. Rabinowitz HK. Recruitment, retention, and follow-up of graduates of a program to increase the number of family physicians in rural and underserved areas. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1993; **328(13):** 934-939.

35. Bowman RC. Continuing family medicine's unique contribution to rural health care. *American Family Physician* 1996; **54(2):** 471-4,479,483.

36. Rourke JT. Politics of rural health care: recruitment and retention of physicians. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1993; **148(8)**: 1281-1284.

37. Barer ML, Stoddart GL. Toward integrated medical resource policies for Canada: 8. Geographic distribution of physicians. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1992; **147**(5): 617-623.

38. Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Hojat M, Hazelwood CE. Demographic, educational and economic factors and retention of physicians in rural Pennsylvania. *Journal of Rural Health* 1999; **15:** 212-218.

39. Laven GA, Beilby JJ, Wilkinson D, McElroy HJ. Factors associated with rural practice among Australian-trained general practitioners. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2003; **179(2)**: 75-79.

40. Matsumoto M, Inoue K, Kajii E. Long-term effect of the home prefecture recruiting scheme of Jichi Medical University, Japan. *Rural Remote Health* **8:** 930. (Online) 2008. Available: www.rrh. org.au (Accessed 23 July 2008).

41. Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Paynter NP. Critical factors for designing programs to increase the supply and retention of rural primary care physicians. *JAMA* 2001; **286**: 1041-1048.

42. Rolfe IE, Pearson SA, O'Connell DL, Dickinson JA. Finding solutions to the rural doctor shortage: the roles of selection versus undergraduate medical education at Newcastle. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Medicine* 1995; **25(5)**: 512-517.

43. Fryer GE Jr, Stine C, Vojir C, Miller M. Predictors and profiles of rural versus urban family practice. *Family Medicine* 1997; **29(2):** 115-118.

44. Wilkinson D, Beilby J, Thompson DJ, Laven GA, Chamberlain NL, Laurence CO. Associations between rural background and where South Australian general practitioners work. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2000; **173**: 137-140.

45. Fryer GE Jr, Green LA, Vojir CP, Krugman RD, Miyoshi TJ, Stine C et al. Hispanic versus white, non-Hispanic physician medical practices in Colorado. *Journal of Health Care of the Poor and Underserved* 2001; **12(3)**: 342-351.

46. Lindsay S. Gender differences in rural and urban practice location among mid-level health care providers. *Journal of Rural Health* 2007; **23(1):** 72-76.

47. Shannon CK. A gender-based study of attitudes and practice characteristics of rural physicians in West Virginia. *WV Medical Journal* 2006; **102(6)**: 22-25.

48. Madison DL. Medical school admission and generalist physicians: a study of the class of 1985. *Academic Medicine* 1994; **69(10)**: 825-831.

49. Halaas GW. The Rural Physician Associate Program: successful outcomes in primary care and rural practice. *Rural Remote Health*5: 453. (Online) 2005. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

50. Whitcomb ME, Cohen JJ. The future of primary care medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2004; **351:** 710-712.

51. Worley P, Martin A, Prideaux D, Woodman R, Worley E, Lowe M. Vocational career paths of graduate entry medical students at Flinders University: a comparison of rural, remote and tertiary tracks. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2008; **188(3)**: 177.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

52. McDonnel Smedts A, Lowe MP. Clinical training in the top end: impact of the NTCS, Australia, on the Territory's health workforce. *Rural Remote Health* **7:** 723. (Online) 2007. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

53. Smedley AM. Becoming and being a preceptor: a phenomenological study. *Journal of Continence Education Nursing* 2008; **39(4):** 185-191.

54. Diefenbeck CA, Plowfield LA, Herrman JW. Clinical immersion: a residency model for nursing education. *Nurse Education Perspective* 2006; **27(2):** 72-79.

55. Erkel EA, Nivens AS, Kennedy DE. Intensive immersion of nursing students in rural interdisciplinary care. *Journal of Nursing Education* 1995; **34(8):** 359-65.

56. Hale FA, McConnochie KM, Chapman RJ, Whiting RD. The impact of a required preceptorship on senior medical students. *Journal of Medical Education* 1979; **54(5):** 396-401.

57. Wibulpolprasert S, Pengpaibon P. Integrated strategies to tackle the inequitable distribution of doctors in Thailand: four decades of experience. *Human Resources and Health* 2003; **1(1):** 12.

 Kirby BA. The rural rotation in a medical technology program: a ten-year retrospective study. *Clinical Laboratory Sciences* 2007; 20(4): 202-209.

59. Acosta DA. Impact of rural training on physician workforce: the role of postresidency education. *Journal of Rural Health* 2000; **16(3):** 254.

60. Acosta D. *Current status of residency fellowships in rural health.* Chicago: Society of Teachers of Family Medicine Working Group on Rural Health, 1998.

61. Bowman RC, Penrod JD. Family practice residency programs and the graduation of rural family physicians. *Family Medicine* 1998; **30:** 288.

62. Fournier M. Les politiques de main-d'oeuvre médicale au Québec: bilan 1970-2000. *Ruptures (revue transdisciplinaire en santé)* 2001; **7(2):** 79-98. (In French)

63. Ministeria de Salude. Programa Saude da Familia. (Online)
2004. Available: http://dtr2004.saude.gov.br/dab/ (Accessed 12
May 2008). (In Spanish)

64. Rosenblatt RA, Whitcomb ME, Cullen TJ, Lishner DM, Hart LG. Which medical schools produce rural physicians? *JAMA* 1992; **268(12):** 1559-1565.

65. Kotzee T, Couper ID. What interventions do South African qualified doctors think will retain them in rural hospitals of the Limpopo province of South Africa? *Rural Remote Health* **6**: 581. (Online) 2006. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

66. Ricketts TC. Workforce issues in rural areas: a focus on policy equity. *American Journal of Public Health* 2005; **95:** 42.

67. Shaikh BT, Reza S, Afzal M, Rabbani F. Gender sensitization among health providers and communities through transformative learning tools: experiences from Karachi, Pakistan. *Education in Health (Abingdon)* 2007; **20(3):** 118.

68. Mumtaz Z, Salway S, Waseem M, Umer N. Gender-based barriers to primary health care provision in Pakistan: the experience of female providers. *Health Policy Planning* 2003; **18(3):** 261-269.

69. Barley GE, Reeves CB, O'Brien-Gonzales A, Westfall JM. Characteristics of and issues faced by rural female family physicians. *Journal of Rural Health* 2001; **17(3):** 251.

70. Marais BJ, De Villiers M, Kruger J, Conradie H, Jenkins L, Reuter H. The role of educational strategies to reverse the inverse performance spiral in academically isolated rural hospitals. *South African Family Practice* 2007; **49**(7): 15a-15e.

71. Nemutandani MS, Maluleke FRS, Rudolph MJ. Community service doctors in Limpopo province. *South African Medical Journal* 2006; **96(3):** 180.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

72. Thaker SI, Pathman DE, Mark BA, Ricketts TC 3rd. Servicelinked scholarships, loans, and loan repayment programs for nurses in the southeast. *Journal of Professional Nursing* 2008; **24(2):** 122-130.

73. Hammer J, Jack W. *The design of incentives for health care providers in developing countries: contracts, competition and cost-control.* Policy Research Paper no 2547. Washington, DC: World Bank, 2001.

74. WHO. World Health Report. Geneva: WHO, 2000.

75. Wibulpolprasert S: Inequitable distribution of doctors: can it be solved? *Human Resources Development Journal* 1999; **3(1)**: 2-22.

76. Ross AJ. Success of a scholarship scheme for rural students. *South African Medical Journal* 2007; **97:** 1087-1090.

77. Rosenblatt RA, Andrilla CH, Curtin T, Hart LG. Shortages of medical personnel at community health centers: implications for planned expansion. *JAMA* 2006; **295(9):** 1042-1049.

78. Pathman DE, Konrad TR, King TS, Taylor DH Jr, Koch GG. Outcomes of states' scholarship, loan repayment, and related programs for physicians. *Medical Care* 2004; **42(6):** 560-568.

79. Stageman JH, Bowman RC, Harrison JD. An accelerated rural training program. *Journal of the American Board of Family Practice* 2003; **16(2)**: 124-130

8. Mak D, Plant AJ. John Flynn Scholarship Students: case studies of useful contributions to remote health care. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2001; **9:** 246–250.

81. Duttera MJ Jr, Blumenthal DS, Alan Dever GE, Lawley JB. Improving recruitment and retention of medical scholarship recipients in rural Georgia. *Journal of Health Care of the Poor and Underserved* 2000; **11(2):** 135.

82. Perez, JA. Discussion, in Wibulpolprasert S. Inequitable distribution of doctors: can it be solved? *Human Resources Development Journal* 1999; **3(1):** 2-22.

83. Gardiner M, Sexton R, Durbridge M, Garrard K. The role of psychological wellbeing in retaining rural GPs. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2005; **13:** 149-155.

84. Gardiner M, Sexton R, Kearns H, Marshall K. Impact of support initiatives on retaining rural general practitioners *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2006; **14:** 196-201.

85. McLean R. Continuing professional development for rural physicians: an oxymoron or just non-existent? *Internal Medicine Journal* 2006; **36(10):** 661-664.

86. Kamien M. Staying in or leaving rural practice: 1996 outcomes of rural doctors' 1986 intentions. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1998; **169**: 318-321.

87. Richards HM, Farmer J, Selvaraj S. Sustaining the rural primary healthcare workforce: survey of healthcare professionals in the Scottish Highlands. *Rural Remote Health* **5:** 365. (Online) 2005. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

88. Jones JA, Humphreys JS, Adena M. Rural GP's ratings of initiatives designed to improve rural medical workforce recruitment and retention. *Rural Remote Health* **4:** 314. (Online) 2004. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).

89. Humphreys JS, Jones MP, Jones JA, Mara PR. Workforce retention in rural and remote Australia: determining the factors that influence length of practice. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2002; **176:** :472-476.

90. Pathman DE, Konrad KR, Agnew CR. Studying the retention of rural physicians. *Journal of Rural Health* 1994; **10**: 183-192.

91. Fahey CM, Monaghan JS. Australian rural midwives: perspectives on continuing professional development. *Rural Remote Health* **5:** 468. (Online) 2005. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 15 April 2008).



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

92. Humphreys J, Wakerman J, Wells R, Kuipers P, Jones J, Entwistle P et al. *Improving primary health care workforce retention in small rural and remote communities: how important is ongoing education and training?* Canberra, ACT: Australian Primary Health Care Research Institute, Australian National University, 2007. Available: http://www.anu.edu.au/aphcri/ Domain/Workforce/Humphreys_25_final.pdf (Accessed 2 June 2008).

93. Silver I, Campbell C, Marlow B, Sargeant J. Self-assessment and continuing professional development: the Canadian perspective. *Journal of Continence Education Health Professionals* 2008; **28(1):** 25-31.

94. Gagliardi AR, Wright FC, Anderson MA, Davis D. The role of collegial interaction in continuing professional development. *Journal of Continence Education Health Professionals* 2007; **27(4):** 214-219.

95. Edginton ME, Holst HL. Doctors in rural hospitals in Kwazulu and Natal. *South African Medical Journal* 1991; **80:** 511.

96. Health Systems Trust. *HST publishes its 10th SAHR: The Importance of the Health Worker*. (Online) 2005. Available: http://www.hst.org.za/news/20040927 (Accessed 22 May 2008).

97. Strasser R. Training for rural practice: lessons from Australia. *Canadian Family Physician* 2001; **47:** 2196.

98. Couper ID, Hugo JFM, Conradie H, Mfenyana K. Influences on the choice of health professionals to practice in rural areas. *South African Medical Journal* 2007; **97(11)**: 1082.

99. Bond JT, Galinsky E, Swanberg JE. *The 1997 National Study of the Changing Workforce*. New York, NY: Family and Work Institute, 1998.

100. Jacobs JA, Gerson K. The endless day or flexible Office? Working hours, work-family conflict, and gender equity in the modern workplace. New York, NY: Alfred P Sloan Foundation, 1997. 101. Carr PL, Gareis KC, Barnett RC. Characteristics and outcomes for women physicians who work reduced hours. *Journal of Womens Health* 2003; **12:** 399.

102. Sempowski IP. Effectiveness of financial incentives in exchange for rural and underserviced area return-of-service commitments: systematic review of the literature. *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 2004; **9(2):** 82.

103. Ross AJ, Couper ID. Rural Scholarship Schemes: A solution to the human resource crisis in rural district hospitals. *South African Family Practice* 2004; **46(1):** 5-6.

104. Katrak H. Measuring the shortage of medical practitioners in rural and urban areas in developing countries: a simple framework and simulation exercises with data from India. *International Journal of Health Planning and Management* 2007; **23**: 93-105.

105. Cantillon P, Jones R. Does continuing medical education in general practice make a difference? *BMJ* 1999; **318(7193):** 1276-1279.

106. Health Professions Council of South Africa. *Continuing professional development*. (Online) 2007. Available: http://www. hpcsa.co.za/hpcsa/default.aspx?id=183 (accessed 5 May 2008).

107. Bowman RC. They really do go. *Rural Remote Health* **8**: 1035. (Online) 2008. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 29 May 2009).

108. Du Toit J. PPP insights in South Africa. *World Hospitla Health Service* 2003; **39(1)**: 2-10,30,32.

109. Mokoena T. Public-private partnerships in academic medicine
an 'ideal nation' model. *South African Medical Journal* 1999;
89(2): 134-135.

110. Shuchman M. Searching for docs on foreign shores. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2008; **178(4):** 379-380.

111. Couper ID. Mid-level workers: high-level bungling? *South African Medical Journal* 2007; **97(3):** 146. (Comment)



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

112. Hugo J, Mfenyana K. Mid-level workers: high-level bungling? *South African Medical Journal* 2007; **97(3):** 146,148. (Comment)

113. Van Niekerk JP. Mid-level workers: high-level bungling? *South African Medical Journal* 2006; **96:** 1209-1211.

114. Hugo J. Mid-level health workers in South Africa: not an easy option. In: P Ijumba, P Barron (Eds). *South African Health Review*.
(Online) 2005. Available: http://www.hst.org.za/generic/29 (Accessed 5 July 2008).

115. Pfaff C. Mid-level primary health care workers in Nepal. *South African Family Practice* 2004; **46(2):** 32-33.

116. Thetard R. Clinical officers in Malawi. *South African Family Practice* 2004; **46(2):** 32.

117. Mittman DE, Cawley JF, Fenn H, Physician assistants in the United States. *BMJ* 2002; **325:** 485.

118. Pick WM, Khanyisa N, Cornwall JT, Masuku M. *Human resources for health: a national strategy*. Pretoria: Department of Health, 2001.

119. Kuhn L, Zwarenstein MF, Thomas GC, Yach D, Conradie HH, Hoogendoorn L et al. Village health-workers and GOBI-FFF. An evaluation of a rural programme. *South African Medical Journal* 1990; **77(9):** 471-475.

120. Doherty TM, Coetzee M. Community health workers and professional nurses: defining the roles and understanding the relationships. *Public Health Nursing* 2005, **22(4):** 360-365.

