

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education, Practice and Polic

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Development of a model for sustainable delivery of outreach allied health services to remote north-west Queensland, Australia

KM Battye¹, K McTaggart²

¹Kristine Battye Consulting, Townsville, Queensland, Australia ²North and West Queensland Primary Health Care, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

Submitted: 13 March 2003; Revised: 27 October 2003; Published: 2 December 2003

Battye KM, McTaggart K

Development of a model for sustainable delivery of outreach allied health services to remote north-west Queensland, Australia

Rural and Remote Health 3 (online), 2003: no. 194

Available from: http://rrh.deakin.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Development of sustainable multidisciplinary services in north-west Queensland, Australia, is challenged by large distances, culturally diverse communities, a prolonged wet season, a history of poor retention of allied health professionals (AHPs), and high prevalence of chronic disease. In 2001, the Northern Queensland Rural Division of General Practice drew on wide community consultation and recent research into the recruitment and retention of AHPs to develop a Model for delivery of outreach allied health services operating within a primary healthcare framework. Aim: to develop a Model of allied health service delivery using the principles of primary healthcare, to meet the needs of 11 culturally diverse (indigenous, non-indigenous and mixed) remote communities, in an area of over 373 000 km².

Methods: The development of the outreach Model of allied-health service delivery occurred over four stages: (1) Formulation of a planning matrix as the outcome of a workshop to identify the core components; (2) Environmental scan including mapping of existing allied health services and identification of gaps in service delivery; community consultation to assist in the gap analysis of service delivery, identification health priorities at a local level, and provision of context as to how services should be provided; analysis of available morbidity, mortality data and screening data; (3) Desktop analysis of previous research into the recruitment and retention of allied health professionals, and determination of a 'reasonable' level of service delivery in rural and remote areas; and (4) Synthesis of information to develop options or a Model of service delivery. **Results:** The environmental scan indicated the need for a raft of AHPs to address chronic disease and injury in the target communities, including the disciplines of physiotherapy, podiatry, dietetics, occupational therapy, speech pathology and psychology. The Model of service delivery sought to provide services in a form appropriate to communities (ie regular and reliable), with duration of visit providing opportunities for case conferencing and inservice education with resident health professionals and workers. A range of strategies were developed to support the



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

recruitment and retention of allied health professionals, including working and travelling in functional teams; orientation to remote practice; schedules that recognize the need for time back at base, and utilisation of aircraft to minimise travel time; employment packages recognizing isolated and remote practice, professional development, postgraduate study, professional and clinical mentoring; financial subsidies for housing and childcare. A hub-and-spoke model of service delivery was developed with functional teams visiting each community on a 6-weekly rotation for 2-3 days/community per rotation, dependent on population. The duration of visit would promote opportunities for community development, training therapy assistants to promote continuity of care, and health promotion activities within the primary healthcare framework under which the service would operate. Integration and coordination with existing resident health and community services, and visiting services, was considered through the development of a 6 month calendar of service delivery, and Memoranda of Understanding with state health services to allow access to clinics and client records.

Conclusion: The planning matrix informed and underpinned the final form of the outreach Model of service delivery. The process described enabled the development of a Model for delivering allied health services in a remote rural area that considered the burden of disease, context for service delivery addressing community concerns with visiting services, recruitment and retention of health professionals and integration with resident and visiting health and community services. The strategies employed provide a template for allied health service development in rural and remote areas internationally.

Key words: allied health, allied health professionals, Australia, outreach, primary healthcare, Queensland, service delivery model.

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to develop a model for the delivery of allied health services to remote communities in north-west Queensland, Australia. The impetus for the study came from general practitioners working in the region, who identified considerable difficulty in accessing allied health professionals (AHPs) for their patients in the regional centre as well as the 11 remote communities, with a complete absence of some allied health disciplines in the area. The outcome of the study was a submission to the Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing to institute a Regional Health Service based on the proposed Model of allied health service delivery.

The development of sustainable allied health services in remote north-west Queensland, Australia, was challenged by a number of factors including geography, low population density (ranging from 0.03 to 0.55 persons/km² cultural diversity, and historical difficulty in recruiting and retaining APHs to rural and remote areas.

The target region covers $373\ 000\ \text{km}^2$, and has a population of 16 081. Large distances erode the clinical working time of visiting

health professionals, and difficult climactic conditions renders road travel unreliable and hazardous during the prolonged wet season. The populations of the communities in north-west Queensland are small (between 200 and 1400 people) so that full-time employment of resident AHPs is unviable. The region can be described in terms of three distinct precincts (Table 1), and the communities are culturally diverse requiring health professionals to be experienced and sensitive to a range of issues with respect to aboriginal culture, rurality and the remote pastoral industry.

The recruitment and retention of APHs to rural and remote areas is a recognized problem in Australia. A national survey of rural and remote AHPs conducted by Services for Rural and Remote Allied Health (SARRAH) found that the allied health workforce in rural and remote Australia is most likely to be located in a regional centre, with more than half providing services to multiple geographic locations (ie outreach service). Turnover of AHPs was high with 42% of respondents employed in their current position for less than 2 years¹.

© KM Battye, K McTaggart, 2003. A licence to publish this material has been given to ARHEN http://rrh.deakin.edu.au/

Rural and Remote Health

6575

9248

22739

Population

Precinct

Gulf

Inland

Mt Isa⁺

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Indigenous (%)

58

14

13

Table 1: Composition of the three precincts of the allied-health service study area

Structure

community 5 Communities

2 Local government areas

4 Local government areas

1 Local government area

1 Deed of Grant in Trust

		2 Communities	
[†] Because Mt I	sa city has a populati	on in excess of 5000 people it was n	ot eligible for service
delivery under	the Commonwealth F	Regional Health Strategy. The target	community within this
precinct has a	population of 258 of v	which 46% are indigenous.	

5 Communities

In Queensland, publicly funded allied health services are provided by Queensland Health. Staff payroll data showed that per 10 000 population, that metropolitan areas had better rates of allied health resourcing than non-metropolitan areas, and that there was considerable variation in the ratio of allied health resources by discipline and district population. The majority of allied health employees were based in hospitals, and those disciplines which have a key role in the provision of community based care (eg occupational therapy, nutrition and dietetics) were not represented in the community in significant numbers when compared with numbers of similar hospital-based personnel. Few allied health services were provided to Indigenous communities, and Indigenous Australians had limited access to occupational therapy, physiotherapy, prosthetics and orthotics, psychology and speech pathology services².

These two studies have provided evidence for the reasons behind poor retention of APHs in rural and remote areas. The contributing factors relate to management issues, including lack of advocacy; inappropriate line supervision (ie by non-allied health professional); lack of clinical and professional support (particularly in solo positions); difficulties in accessing professional development due to geographic isolation, cost, and lack of information technology access; lack of orientation; unrealistic expectations and pressure on APHs for large caseloads including excessive travel and failure to backfill or delay in recruiting vacant positions, lead to loss of esteem for the allied health positions and a backlog of work. In the Queensland study, AHPs reported satisfaction with their preparation for clinical practice but dissatisfaction with preparation regarding health systems, workload, business and personnel management, team processes and preparation for rural practice.

The low population density in rural and remote Queensland has led to the development of outreach models of health service delivery. A challenge in the delivery of outreach services is the development of strategies that ensure that services can be delivered in a sustainable manner with respect to both the recruitment and retention of the health professionals, but also in a way that ensures the services provided are effective (ie make a difference).

The philosophy of primary healthcare defined in the United Nations' Declaration of Alma Ata³ is based on a holistic understanding and recognition of the multiple determinants of health, equity in health care, community participation and control over health services, focus on health promotion and disease prevention, use of accessible, affordable and acceptable technology, and provision of health services based on research methods (best practice)⁴. Primary healthcare operates across the continuum of health education, health promotion, early intervention, primary prevention, treatment, secondary prevention and chronic disease management. Historically, AHPs operate in only the latter part of this continuum (ie treatment, secondary prevention and chronic disease management). This is supported by the Queensland Health study², where 'few' AHPs participated in public health or primary



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

healthcare activities, and identified a lack of skills and knowledge in primary healthcare-type activities. However, in outreach service delivery the emphasis must be placed on the earlier part of the continuum as services are provided periodically, and there must be self and community development in the management of health issues.

Therefore the aim of this study was to develop an outreach model of allied health service delivery operating in the primary healthcare paradigm.

Methods

The development of the outreach Model of service delivery occurred over four stages. These were:

- Development of a planning matrix
- Environmental scan
- Desktop analysis of previous research relevant to the study
- Synthesis of information to develop a model

Development of planning matrix

The development of the Model commenced with a 'scenario planning workshop' of key stakeholders (Appendix I) convened by the Northern Queensland Rural Division of General Practice at Mt Isa, Queensland, in June 2000.

The purpose of the workshop was to develop a planning framework that would inform and assist the consultant in the development of the model. The workshop drew on the expertise of the stakeholders to identify the key components or elements that the model should address:

- Recruitment
- Retention
- Training
- Housing/location of the service
- Spouse/family support
- Travel
- Employment

The second part of the framework was the identification of specific roles or factors to be considered within the strategies. The workshop participants identified these to be:

- Role of professional organizations
- Information/orientation to communities
- Incentives/remuneration
- Community need/skill mix
- Community role/ownership
- Management

The Model

The outcome of the workshop was the Model, presented as a planning matrix that described the key elements (on the X-axis) and factors/roles (on the Y-axis) that were identified by the workshop participants. The matrix/Model was populated by activities that the participants identified as crucial to each element, and allocated against who was responsible or could contribute to these activities (Table 2).

The planning matrix assisted identification of the type of information required to develop a model, from community members, local government, health service providers and AHPs working in north-west Queensland.

Environmental scan

The environmental scan consisted of three components:

- 1. Mapping and gap analysis of existing allied health services.
- Community consultation to inform service mapping, identification of community health issues, and context for service delivery.
- 3. Analysis of available morbidity and mortality data.





Table 2: The planning matrix that describes the Model of allied health service delivery to remote areas devised by the workshop of key stakeholders at Mt Isa, Australia, June 2000

Role or factor	Model key components						
	Recruitment	Retention	Training	Housing/location of service	Spouse/family support	Travel	Employment
Professional organization	Position description Staff selection	Recognition of position Register-able Meets minimum standards Indemnified	-Undergraduate -Postgraduate -Continuing professional development -Recognition of upskilling non- AHPs	Appropriate & meets minimum standards for the profession	-	Advocacy for safety Equipment requirements transportable	Union Advocacy Agency
Information/ orientation to communities	Orientation at recruitment stage Interview on-site Includes family orientation	Environment & conditions Cultural/indigenous Rural/remote Social integration with other health professionals Honest appraisal/ position presentation	Environment & context specific Reflects demographics Emergency skills	Information on locations, facilities	Information on: -Child care -Child support -Schools Employment opportunities for spouse & dependents	Safety Dirt driving Car maintenance Environmental consideration	Conditions of employment
Incentives/ remuneration			Professional development accessible	Rental subsidy	Assist partner/ spouse to find employment	Good quality equipment	-Flexibility -Family-friendly -Right to private practice -Variation in job content ⁺
Community need (skill mix)	Match to community demographics/ health profile Match to team composition Consider skill mix on basis of disease stream‡		Team-focused (train to fill gaps) Integrated with providers from other services	Facilities/ clinics able to accommodate team	_	Overnight accommodation available Car/ plane able to accommodate team	Manager to monitor: -Team workload -Travel Outreach visits equal to best- practice clinical care



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Community role (ownership)	Input to local guidelines for selection Input to position description formulation	-Welcoming committee -Integration into community -Orientation to community dynamics -Value the position/ service -Provide feedback -Provide mentoring	Contribute to environmental aspects/ context of training	Identify appropriate facilities to increase access/ uptake of service Maintain facilities (work & accommodation) Facilities secure & comfortable	Employment Childcare Respect time off	Local recognition of arduous nature of travel	_
Management	Develop career paths Recognition as elite team Review / evaluate retentio on those successful Establish mentorship		Backfill position during training	Identify opportunities to co-locate with other providers	-	Opportunities to coordinate travel with other services¶	Central coordination of outreach services Central administration to reduce administration load of AHPs

† For example service delivery as well as teaching.

‡ Notion of team travelling and working within communities, or example diabetes & chronic disease, child health, disability

& aged.

¶For example health, education, Telstra.

APH, Allied health professionals.

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Mapping and gap analysis of existing allied health services: A mapping exercise was undertaken to identify current allied health service provision in the 11 target communities. The mapping exercise sought to identify the disciplines, frequency and duration of service provision within each community. The mapping exercise also determined whether there were criteria for referral relating to the specific services.

Using the allied health service map as a basis, the consultant undertook a gap analysis that:

- Identified disciplines available in each target community, and disciplines that were absent
- Identified services that were target specific and therefore only available to a small number of people within a community (eg the occupational therapist employed by Education Queensland only treated specific, identified children)
- Identified positions that had been vacant for an extended period of time

Community consultation: Extensive consultation was undertaken with local/ resident health professionals, consumers, local government, schools, and other community agencies including Home and Community Care (HACC) agency, aged care hostels, ambulance service, indigenous corporations in each of the target communities.

Consultation was undertaken between November 2000 and February 2001. The purpose of the consultation was to:

- Identify perceived health priorities in each community
- Help inform the service mix required in each community
- Provide context for how services should be delivered to meet local need

The consultation was conducted as one-to-one interviews, focus groups and/or community meetings. In the indigenous communities, an indigenous project officer employed by the Division assisted in convening community meetings.

Analysis of available morbidity and mortality data: The morbidity and mortality data sources included hospital separation data for the Mt Isa District, an aggregated sample of screening data from the North Queensland Tropical Public Health Unit (Well Person's Health Check), and diabetes audits of general practices in some of the target communities.

These data were analysed to identify the major causes of mortality and morbidity in the region, with the view to identify the allied health service mix required in the region, and estimate the number of people in a community with a disease such as diabetes, in order to inform the potential number of clients to establish the frequency of visits and number of full time equivalents to service demand and provide best practice care.

Triangulation of data: Information gathered from morbidity and mortality data, screening data, general practice audits, service mapping and gap analysis, and community identified health priorities informed the mix of disciplines required.

Desktop analysis of previous research relevant to the project

The two key areas of research that the consultant drew on to inform the development of the outreach allied health Model related to:

- Recruitment and retention of AHPs
- Determination of a 'reasonable' level of service delivery

Recruitment and retention

The two key documents that the consultant reviewed to inform the factors contributing to poor retention of AHPs in rural and remote areas were Queensland Health's *Allied Health Recruitment and Retention Taskforce Report* and Fitzgerald et al.'s *A Study of Allied Health Professonals in Rural and Remote Australia*^{1,2}.

The consultant sought to validate the issues identified in these studies with AHPs working in north-west Queensland. Interviews and focus groups were conducted with 12 AHPs by telephone, videoconference and face-to-face, either individually or in small



Rural-and Remote Health-

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

groups. The disciplines participating included physiotherapists, occupational therapists, psychologists, speech pathologist, dietitian, social worker and pharmacist. The majority of the AHPs interviewed provided predominantly (or some) outreach services. Three held hospital-based positions, and two were private practitioners who had previously worked in the public sector in the region (one in an outreach position and the other hospital-based).

The purpose of the interviews was to explore what attracted the AHP to north-west Queensland, the issues facing AHPs working in north-west Queensland, the core components required to sustain an outreach service, professional development requirements in the remote setting, spouse and family support requirements, factors to be considered in developing a position description and employment contract.

Determination of a reasonable level of service delivery

The consultant was unable to source published information with respect to recommended population to allied health discipline ratios for resident or outreach service provision. A project conducted in the Northern Territory Australia, which developed recommendations for outreach service delivery for several disciplines⁵ was reviewed. Two other providers of outreach allied health services in Queensland were contacted to examine their models of service delivery.

Synthesis of information to develop the Model

Information gathered by the environmental scan and desktop analysis of previous research was synthesised to identify the disciplines of AHPs required to meet gaps in services and address identified chronic health, mental health and child health issues in the region. Mechanisms for service delivery were developed in response to community concerns about how visiting services had been delivered in the past. Strategies for the recruitment and retention of AHPs were developed to address the management and training issues raised by AHPs in the two recent studies.

The synthesis of information resulted in the development of four options or models of service delivery for consideration by the steering committee. These options sought to meet the criteria identified in the planning matrix. The steering committee identified the preferred option to be further developed by the consultant.

Results

Environmental scan

Mapping and gap analysis: Mapping of allied health services showed that allied health services to the 11 target communities were provided by four agencies: (i) The Mt Isa Health Service District; The Charters Towers Health Service District; (ii) (iii) Education Queensland; and (iv) Bush Children's. The communities received visiting physiotherapy, dietetics, podiatry and psychology services but the frequency of service provision was irregular, and could be withdrawn in response to shortages of staff at the base locations (the towns of Mt Isa and Charters Towers). There were no occupational therapy or speech pathology services to adults in the region, and only a limited provision of these services to children with medium to severe learning and behavioural disabilities.

Community consultation

Perceived gaps in current allied health service delivery: While a range of allied health services was provided to the target communities, the common themes arising throughout the community consultation were problems with frequency of service (identified as ideally 4-6 weekly visits, with preference for visits of a longer duration, ie > 1 day), consistency of service provision particularly if outreach staff were required to back-fill (relieve in) hospital positions, consistency of service provider due to high staff turnover, and the short length of time in a community (Table 3). Of concern was the complete absence of some disciplines (including occupational therapy and speech pathology) for older people, and these disciplines were only available to children who had a level of disability meeting certain criteria.

Other issues identified during the consultation (Table 3) related to:

 Lack of notice when visiting services were coming to a community, therefore low attendance



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

- Poor coordination with other visiting services resulting in 'bombardment' of communities, with clinic facilities lacking space, and resident staff having difficulty managing clients, and not having time to spend with the visiting health professional for case conferencing or skill development.
- Visits to the communities were too short, with patients left unseen, or missing out on appointments because they did not know the service was in town. The short visits negatively impacted on opportunities for education or inservice training with health workers
- Difficulty defining the system at a local level for referral to visiting services
- Consistency of personnel to build rapport and trust with clients
- Poor promotion/ marketing of visiting services to communities as well as to stations/properties
- Inadequate cultural awareness by visiting health professionals
- Communication with clients in language and level appropriate to the community

Major health issues for the community: While there was agreement between hospital separation data and community perceived health issues with respect to chronic diseases (particularly diabetes and heart disease), hospital separation data is a limited indicator of need as it does not provide information on outpatient or community care pre- or post-hospitalisation, or care that does not result in an admission.

Broad consultation within communities, rather than consultation only with health professionals, identified the need for health professionals to work within a primary healthcare framework. Community members and professionals outside the health sector placed a greater emphasis on visiting services undertaking health education and health promotion activities, and developing skills of local people.

In the Indigenous Australia communities, it was identified that the health professionals should be accessible at sites removed from the hospital/clinic setting. In many Indigenous communities there is an unwillingness for people to attend the hospital or clinic because it is seen as only a place to go when sick, and is associated with death. (There is also the problem of confidentiality in small communities as people are aware of which service is in town and there may be some stigma attached to attending.)

Information gathered during the community consultation placed a strong emphasis on the provision of services to children and older people. The perceived needs related to treatment of children with hearing and speech impairment, and learning difficulties. Similarly, it was felt that for older people, the need for home assessments and follow up after stroke (CVA) were identified. Mental health, and alcohol and substance abuse were identified as major health issues in all but two communities.

Analysis of available morbidity and mortality data

Analysis of Mt Isa District Health Service District hospital separation data showed that the major causes of mortality in the region contributing to greater than 50% of deaths were heart disease and cerebrovascular disease; cancer of the trachea, bronchus and lung, and breast; chronic airways obstruction; motor vehicle accidents; suicide; other accidental injury.

The region was shown to have a high burden of chronic disease evidenced by standardised hospital separations rates for the national health priority areas (ie diabetes, cardiovascular disease, injury, and mental health) being significantly higher than the Queensland average.

Triangulation of the information gathered through the environmental scan indicated the need for a raft of AHPs to address the chronic disease, mental health and child health problems in the region, and augment the services provided by other agencies (ie Queensland Health, Education Queensland and Bush Children's). The disciplines to be included in the model were physiotherapy, dietetics, podiatry, occupational therapy, speech pathology and psychology.

Analysis of relevant research

Recruitment and retention of AHPs in rural and remote areas: Interviews and focus groups conducted with AHPs working in



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

north west Queensland validated issues raised previously by Fitzgerald et al. and the Allied Health Taskforce $Report^{1,2}$ (both summarised in the Introduction of the present report).

The main issues impacting on the AHPs were professional isolation, lack of clinical support within their discipline, and lack of orientation to the communities they are working with. The AHPs felt professionally isolated because most worked in sole positions within their organizations, and most disciplines (with the exception of physiotherapy) were represented by recent graduates who felt they needed more input from experienced professionals. Orientation to the communities was considered to be important, irrespective of whether the AHP was undertaking outreach work, because it was necessary to understand the living conditions and infrastructure within the communities following client discharge from hospital and follow up.

The key conditions identified by the AHPs to be included in an employment contract were accommodation subsidies that did not cease after a fixed period of time, an airfare to 'home' at least twice a year, paid professional development/conference attendance, 5 or 6 weeks annual leave, professional mentoring, retention payment after a period of service, relocation expenses, post-graduate study leave and assistance with childcare.

As identified in the planning matrix (Table 2), the availability of overnight accommodation for visiting health professionals was important. The standard safety of such accommodation varied, and part of the community consultation involved identifying secure accommodation for visiting AHPs in each community.

Determination of a 'reasonable' level of service delivery: The published recommendations of Curry for outreach service delivery in the Northern Territory of Australia³, and unpublished information from providers of outreach services in other parts of Queensland, recommended service frequency on a monthly basis. In addition, these sources recommended that the duration of visits by AHPs was dependent on the size of the community, the level of morbidity, and whether the health professional undertook health promotion or education during the visit.

A benchmark for the number of AHPs to population could not be found. Therefore, the determination of the number of full-time equivalent AHPs required per discipline was based on the population based Curry's recommendations (ie number of days of service/month x size of community)³. The Mt Isa Health Service District had designated outreach positions for physiotherapy, podiatry and dietetics and this was taken into consideration in calculating the full-time equivalent requirements.

Both Curry and the Queensland outreach service providers identified follow up of clients between visits as a limitation to outreach service delivery³. During the community consultation, health workers in several communities indicated the need to train local people to provide follow up and support to clients to improve outcomes from therapists' interventions⁶, to build sustainability of the service, and to improve continuity of service when professional staff leave and are replaced.

Table 3: Strategies identified that supported the Model of allied health service delivery (Table 2) and demonstrate the strong link between the preparatory and research phases





The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Identified problem or requirement (research phase†)	Strategy in the Model to address problem or requirement			
Operational issues				
Solo positions & solo travelling	Develop functional teams that relate to client groups‡			
Inservice training (locals/ indigenous health workers)	Longer duration visits to accommodate primary healthcare framework			
Health promotion/ education (community level)				
Varying referral mechanisms Inadequate notice of visit	Centralised booking system:1800 number (freecall)			
Contact with local health professionals	Case conference each visit: AHP, resident doctor, director of nursing			
Recruitment & retention of allied health profe	ssionals			
Clinical & professional mentoring Access to professional development & support for postgraduate education	Develop mentoring program (via video- & teleconference, email) for 3 h/month 1 x conference/ year in contract with budget for registration, travel, leave Postgraduate study leave negotiable Inservice primary healthcare training			
	Mandatory GradCertHealth (Rural Practice) ¶			
Orientation to rural & remote practice	Indigenous mentoring/ buddy system in teams			
Lack of cultural awareness	Time allocated for relieving staff			
Lack of locum cover	Charter flights to Gulf & Highway precincts			
Excessive travel	Staff away from 'base' ≥ 50% of time			
Excessive workload	Manager to monitor teams' workload			
Community input into service	<i>.</i>			
Community input needed	Regular (quarterly) meetings of the community panel¶¶			
	Input from community panel to staff position descriptions & selection criteria			
Sustainability Issues				
Insufficient AHP unavailable to relieve	Arrange funds for employment of additional staff for outreach service provision through development of a cost recovery practice in Mt Isa			
Integration				
Contact with local health professionals	Case conferences each visit			
	Arrange for AHP to work from local health clinics & write notes in patient records			
Employment conditions				
Pay inadequate for local conditions	AHP pay level = APH graduate + n years experience			
Housing subsidy to be ongoing	\$150 / fortnight ongoing (renting or buying)			
Paid professional development	1 x conference/ year			
Return airfare to 'home'	1 x airfare to State capital or equivalent/year			
Assistance with relocation costs Relocation cost funding				
Assistance with child care costs Subsidy for childcare				
Finding employment for partners	Use local networks & contacts			

AHP, Allied health professional.

†Environmental scan & desk-top analysis.

‡ Team A: physiotherapist, podiatrist, dietitian; Team B: occupational therapist, speech pathologist, psychologist.

¶ At Centre for Remote Health, Alice Springs, Australia (includes cultural awareness/ cultural safety component).

 $\P\!\!\P$ To inform service development, delivery and formative evaluation.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Table 4: The three areas or precincts serviced by the Outreach Allied Health model

Precinct	No. communities	Features	Access
Gulf 5 Indigenous population of 50% to 95% in towns Distances of > 500 km One island community Access difficult in wet season		Charter aircraft	
Highway	3	Spans highway from Mt Isa to 500km east Predominantly non-indigenous pastoral communities	Charter aircraft ⁺ and sealed road [¶]
Mt Isa†	Isa [†] 3 2 Mainly Indigenous communities 1 Mixed Indigenous and non-Indigenous communities All communities within 100-200 km of Mt Isa		Sealed road

[†] To the more distinct communities (400 and 500 km). ¹¹To closer community (200 km).

Table 5: Disciplines to be delivered in the region shown by full-time equivalent (FTE) status

	Full time equivalent			
Discipline	Regional health service	Outreach State funded service		
Physiotherapy	1	1		
Podiatry	1	1		
Dietetics	1	1		
Psychology	1.5	Visiting service		
Occupational therapy	1.5			
Speech pathology	1.5			

--, No funded staff

Visiting service provided but not designated outreach positions

Description of the Model

The final Model of service delivery sought to address:

- The concerns expressed by communities with respect to how outreach services had previously been delivered
- Factors contributing to the poor retention of AHPs
- Integration with other health-service providers
- Community input into the development of the service, service delivery and ongoing evaluation and review
- Sustainability strategies

Issues or problems identified during the environmental scan and desktop review and the strategies developed in the Model to address these problems are shown (Table 3), demonstrating the strong linkage between the preparatory work of developing the planning matrix, and issues and strategies identified in the research phase. Strategies additional to those identified in planning matrix are shown in Appendix (Appendix II).

Allied health services are delivered under a hub and spoke model, with AHPs based in Mt Isa. Mt Isa (the hub) is a centrally located

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

inland city that is the commercial and administrative centre for the region; it has a base hospital. The allied health service outreaches to three geographically separate areas (the spokes): (i) the Gulf precinct; (ii) the Highway precinct; and (iii) the Mt Isa precinct (Table 4).

The disciplines identified to be delivered in the region are shown (Table 5); it is planned that they will augment the three outreach positions provided by Mt Isa Health Service District.

Evaluation

The outreach allied health service Model will be evaluated on a formative and summative basis. The evaluation will target the key domains of recruitment and retention, integration, community impact as well as an economic analysis and cost-effective analysis. The community panel will be integral to the formative evaluation. Necessary revisions to the Model will be negotiated at the management and planning levels to ensure that the Model maintains a primary healthcare focus, accessible to all client groups within the target region, and is achieving improvements in client/community outcomes.

A further aspect of the evaluation will be the impact of enhanced primary healthcare on the utilisation of, and demand for, other local and regional services (ie a flow-on effect). It is planned that this be monitored to assist in health service planning in the secondary and tertiary sectors.

Conclusion

The planning matrix has informed and underpinned the final form of the outreach Model have been given to the utilisation of professional associations and regionally based AHPs for ongoing professional support and mentoring. Formal training, leading to a postgraduate qualification in remote practice, and informal cultural training through the local buddy initiative will be a component of orientation of the AHPs to remote practice and strengthen cultural sensitivities. Remuneration and entitlements reflect isolated practice. Community participation has been integral to the development of the service, and the community panel will provide a structure for ongoing involvement in monitoring and evaluation of the service. Internal management structures, with the employment of an AHP as manager, support line supervision by an AHP and (in the longer term), provide a career path for employees. The development of therapy assistant positions in each community will facilitate management of clients between visits, optimise treatment, provide continuity of service during turnover of AHPs, and build skills within the communities. Ongoing cooperation and service planning by the partner organizations is imperative to sustainable service delivery.

The effectiveness of this Model is yet to be evaluated with respect to access and availability at a community level, client outcomes, and retention of AHPs. However the strategies employed in the development process provide a template for allied health service development in rural and remote areas internationally.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the Australian Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing.

References

1. Fitzgerald K, Hornsby D, Hudson, L. (2000) *A Study of Allied Health Professionals in Rural and Remote Australia. A Report to The Rural Health Support, Education and Training Program*, Commonwealth of Australia, 2000. http://www.ruralhealth.org.au/sarrah (accessed June 2002).

Director General of Health. *Allied Health Recruitment and Retention Taskforce Report.* Brisbane: Health Advisory Unit, Queensland Health, 2000.

3. World Health Organization. *Alma Ata 1978. Primary Health Care. Report of the International Conference, Alma-Ata.* Geneva: WHO, 1978.

 Rogers W, Veale B. Primary health care and general practice: a scoping report. Adelaide, South Australia: Department of General Practice, Flinders University of South Australia, 2000.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

5. Curry R. Allied health therapy services in aged and disability care in remote Aboriginal communities of the Northern Territory: a framework for quality service provision. Darwin: Top End Division of General Practice, 1999.

6. Hall M. Integrated therapy assistants and videoconferencing. In: *Proceedings, 7th National Rural Health Conference*, April 2003, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia; p. 124.

Appendix I: Key stakeholders who participated in the development of the planning matrix

Local general practitioners

Local Government

Royal Flying Doctor Service

Academics with expertise in rural and remote health (Mt Isa Centre for Rural and Remote Health and James Cook University)

Mt Isa District Health Service

Mt Isa District Health Council

Northern Queensland Rural Division of General Practice

Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care

Queensland Health Advisory Unit

Queensland Divisions of General Practice

Australian Divisions of General Practice

Appendix II: Additional strategies identified in the research phase of the project to develop a Model of allied health service delivery

Identified problem (research phase†)	Strategy		
Operational issues			
Irregular service	6-month calendar service delivery for 11 target communities		
Clash with other visiting services	Coordinated with other visiting services		
Optimal frequency undetermined Communities want visit duration >1 day	Community-approved 6-weekly visits of longer duration Duration of visit related to population of community (2 or 3 days)		
Client follow up	Therapy assistant positions in each community under AHP supervision‡ Videoconferencing for client follow up & support of therapy assistants		
Recruitment & retention of AHP			
Annual leave amount should compensate for remote location & travel	6 weeks annual leave		
Line supervisor not AHP/ clinical qualified	Use AHP with rural & remote experience		
Community input into service			
Community input	Community panel to meet quarterly f		
Sustainability issues			
Sustainable delivery in an outreach model	Primary healthcare framework; APH to work at range of sites in each communityfM To develop community capacity, work closely with local health & community service providers, & with community groups		

†Environmental scan & desk-top analysis.

‡ Non-budget strategy so involve a range of carers in established positions, eg teacher's aides, child-care workers, indigenous health workers, enrolled nurses.

¶To inform service development, evaluation & review of services.

MISites include hospital/clinics, schools, aged care facilities, child care centres, home visits.

