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ABSTRACT:
The critical importance of primary health care in maintaining a healthy population is well established internationally. Nevertheless, general practitioner care is not always easily accessible for some patients in Australia, particularly in rural regions. This is partly due to an insufficient number of medical graduates entering and being retained in the rural general practitioner workforce. Key elements of international and national programs designed to address this shortfall are discussed and include the use of entry requirements that preferentially select for applicants from a rural residence background, and immersion of medical students for a large share, or entire duration, of their training in rural communities. In addition, other factors that can influence decisions to enter and stay in rural practice are discussed.
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Background

Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, proclaimed by the UN in 1948, affirms that all individuals have the right to a standard of living that is adequate for the health and wellbeing of themselves and their families, including food, clothing, housing and medical care\(^1\). Primary health care has long been identified as an essential component for safeguarding this human right. The Declaration of Alma-Ata, adopted at the International Conference on Primary Health Care in 1978, states that primary health care ‘forms an integral part both of the country’s health system, of which it is the central function and main focus, and of the overall social and economic development of the community\(^2\).

Former Director-General of the World Health Organization, Halfdan Mahler, advocated in 1981 that ‘health services must be accessible to all through primary health care, in which basic medical help is available in every village, backed up by referral services to more specialized care\(^3\). Inequalities in access to primary health care can lead to poorer health outcomes. For example, lack of continuity of primary care has been found to result in higher downstream hospital admissions for ambulatory care\(^4\). A study from Canada found that factors that contributed to a shortage of physicians included past healthcare policies (such as retirement incentives and reduced medical school enrolments), shifts in population and physician demographics, medical student career preferences, a decline in direct patient care hours per physician and an uneven physician distribution\(^5\). While the recruitment of international medical graduates is often seen as a part solution to this problem, there are reports from Canada and the UK that recruitment of overseas medical graduates can lead to a shortage of doctors in source countries, including developing countries that have invested limited resources in the education and training of their doctors\(^6\,7\). This raises a moral and ethical question with regard to the active recruitment of international medical graduates to fill gaps in physician numbers in higher income countries.

In Australia, it is recognised that geographical disparities in access to health services are prevalent. According to the Australian Medical Association in November 2018, ‘Australians living in rural and remote areas have poorer access to local health services and higher rates of morbidity and mortality than Australians living in metropolitan areas\(^8\). A maldistribution in the medical workforce is believed to play a role in perpetuating this health disadvantage in rural and remote Australia\(^9\). This includes the distribution of general practitioners (GPs) whereby the full-time service equivalent of GPs per 100 000 population decreases from 103.5 in major cities to 81.4 in remote communities and 70.5 in very remote communities\(^10\). Furthermore, of all clinician groups of the medical practitioner workforce in Australia in 2015, GPs had the highest proportion (40.5%) that were aged 55 years or more\(^11\). Here, we review measures that are being undertaken to increase the workforce of rural GPs in Australia and overseas. We discuss factors that, based on the available evidence, appear to promote higher levels of recruitment of medical graduates to rural locations, and provide examples of their incorporation into medical education.

Rural immersion during medical education

The first medical school in Australia was established at the University of Melbourne in 1862\(^12\). Today, there are 21 universities in the country with medical schools offering 5- or 6-year undergraduate medical courses, or a 4-year graduate entry degree. There has been an apparent trend towards the latter structure over recent years with a Doctor of Medicine or equivalent graduate program\(^13\). Historically, medical education and training had been conducted predominantly in large population centres from which graduates emerged and entered an urban or rural workforce. Today, many Australian universities integrate rural immersion in their medical education programs, aided by an increase in the number of rural clinical schools (RCSs) attached to medical schools\(^12\). Depending on the specific medical program, rural immersion in Australia can range from 1 year to the full duration of the course. A relationship between increased duration of rural immersion at Australian medical schools and subsequent rural internship has been recognised\(^14\).

A study of 965 graduates from the Australian National University medical school from 2007 to 2017 reported that 24.6% (n=51/207) of extended rural placement graduates were working in Remoteness Areas (RA) 2–5 of the Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) system, compared to 9.3% of non-rural stream graduates (n=66/708)\(^15\). A relatively high proportion of students who trained entirely in a rural setting through James Cook University went on to undertake a rural internship (67%, n=194/292) compared to programs with a 1-year rural immersion period\(^14\,16\). A recent cross-sectional study of 12 RCSs in Australia found that students who participated in extended RCS placement were 1.5 and 2.6 times more likely to practice in an area classified as rural under the ASGC and the Modified Monash Model, respectively, after controlling for rural background\(^17\). A study by Wilkinson et al of 2414 graduates of Australian medical schools found that rural GPs were more likely to report having had rural undergraduate training at medical school (odds ratio (OR)=1.61; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.32–1.95) or rural postgraduate training (OR=3.42; 95%CI: 2.39–4.89) than their urban GP counterparts\(^18\).

A 2012 study from the University of Manitoba involving 1269 physicians who graduated between 1965 and 2000 reported that medical graduates who had part of their medical school education in a rural setting were more likely to practise in rural locations in Canada (OR=1.34; 95%CI: 1.09–1.75)\(^19\). Furthermore, rural experience during residency training related significantly to a higher likelihood of rural practice. The investigators also identified a direct relationship between greater distance of the rural undergraduate medical education and residency training experience from an urban centre, Winnipeg, and an increased likelihood of rural practice\(^19\). Of note, in the USA, a study from the University of Louisville found that rural immersion medical studies...
for urban-origin students led to a more positive outlook toward pursuing a career in a small town setting, even after a relatively short (8-week) rural surgical clerkship. New Zealand’s two medical schools, located at the University of Otago and University of Auckland, operate a 6-year undergraduate medical course in which students can apply to undertake a 1-year rural medical immersion program for year 5 of their studies. From a survey in 2013 of graduates who underwent the rural immersion program at the University of Auckland, known as Pōkawakawa, 62% (n=28/45) of respondents were working in regional or rural hospitals as opposed to urban hospitals. A study of 733 University of Otago medical graduates reported that students who underwent its Rural Medical Immersion Programme (RMIP) in year 5 exhibited a more than two-fold higher propensity (OR=2.5; 95%CI: 1.4–4.5) to practise outside of a major urban centre in New Zealand compared to graduates with no rural training. It is worth noting that the proportion of University of Otago medical students who undertake the RMIP has been reported to be below 10%. Nevertheless, medical students from the RMIP were found to report greater experience of patient examination and patient education skills, and similar levels of total clinical skills experience and confidence to traditional medical students.

Rural background for the selection of medical students

A decision by an individual on geographical location post-qualification is multi-factorial and other considerations besides rural immersion can have a bearing on this outcome. A systemic review in 2003 by Laven and Wilkinson of 12 studies conducted in the USA, Canada and Australia found that rural background and rural schooling both increased the likelihood of subsequent rural practice by approximately two-fold. This is supported by the University of Manitoba study of 1269 physicians from 2012 which determined that medical graduates who had attended rural high schools were more likely to practise in rural locations in Canada than their urban counterparts (OR=1.57; 95%CI: 1.09–2.26). The investigators noted the importance of a continuum of education from high school to the end of residency training as a predictor of rural medical practice. This is in agreement with earlier findings from the University of Western Ontario which reported that practising rural physicians were significantly more likely to have come from a rural background (34.9% v 14.6%), to have had undergraduate rural medical education (55.4% v 35.2%), and to have undergone postgraduate rural training (38.8% v 20.2%) than urban physicians. In Australia, a study of 508 medical graduates from the University of Western Australia determined that students from a rural background were nearly four times more likely to practise rurally than their urban-origin counterparts (OR=3.9; 95%CI: 2.1–7.2). Similarly, based on longitudinal data from 448 students who entered the University of Sydney medical program in consecutive years from 2005 to 2007 (55% of the total number of students, n=812, who enrolled in the program during 2005–2007), investigators reported that students from a rural background were significantly more likely to exhibit a preference for a rural future practice both at the start and at the end of their medical studies. It is worth noting, from the 2019 national data report of the Medical Deans Australia and New Zealand, which is based on the Medical Schools Outcome Database survey of final-year students in medical schools across Australia, that medical students from a rural background consistently expressed a higher interest in Indigenous health than their counterparts from non-rural backgrounds. This indicates that an important parallel exists between intention to practise in a rural location and an interest in serving the health needs of Indigenous communities.

In New Zealand, the University of Otago applies a subcategory known as New Zealand Rural Origins while the University of Auckland runs a Rural Regional Admission Scheme for the selection of a proportion of students with a rural background into their respective medical programs. Graduates from the University of Otago with a rural background had an approximately two-fold higher likelihood (OR=2.1; 95%CI: 1.2–3.6) of practising outside a major urban centre in New Zealand compared to graduates with no rural background. As in Australia, there are reports of an ongoing shortage of GPs in certain parts of New Zealand. The GP workforce is ageing, with the 2018 Workforce Survey by the Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners indicating that 47% of New Zealand’s current GP workforce, which has an average age of 50.3 years, intend to retire by 2028. Proposals to address this include a graduate-entry medical school proposed by the University of Waikato and Waikato District Health Board, and a national interprofessional school of rural health proposed by the University of Otago, University of Auckland and Auckland University of Technology. In April 2019, the New Zealand Minister of Health announced that he was requesting the New Zealand’s Rural General Practice Network and College of General Practitioners, as well as health academics at the Universities of Otago and Auckland, to work together with district health boards to scope a first rural health training hub in New Zealand and report on how it could be rolled out to other locations.

Recent policy initiatives to strengthen GP numbers in rural Australia

To address inequities in health status for people residing in rural, remote and regional areas, the Australian Government undertook the establishment of a network of university departments of rural health and RCSs to provide an academic framework for the training of health professionals including GPs to improve the distribution of the medical workforce. In 2016, the University Departments of Rural Health Program, the Rural Clinical Training and Support Program, the Dental Training – Extended Rural Placements Program and the Northern Territory Medical Program were merged into the Rural Health Multidisciplinary Training (RHMT) Program. Today, the RHMT program funds a nationwide network of 19 RCSs, 16 university departments of rural health, and six dental schools to support rural placements for students in medicine, nursing, allied health and dentistry. To build on the RHMT program network, the Australian Government announced in 2017 the establishment of 26 regional training hubs with objectives that included enabling students intending to practise rurally to complete as much of their medical training as possible in
Following on from these programs, the Australian Government, as part of its 2018–2019 federal budget, announced in May 2018 a $95.4 million investment over 4 years in the Murray–Darling Medical Schools Network initiative, under its Stronger Rural Health Strategy. The goal of the network is to provide end-to-end teaching and training of medical students in rural Victoria and New South Wales. The network consists of the following member institutes (and locations): University of New South Wales (Wagga Wagga), University of Sydney (Dobbo), Charles Sturt University/Western Sydney University (Orange), Monash University (Bendigo, Gippsland and Mildura) and University of Melbourne/La Trobe University (Shepparton, Bendigo and Albany-Wodonga). The La Trobe University and University of Melbourne partnership, which took in its first students in March 2019, commences with a 3-year undergraduate Bachelor of Biomedical Science (Medical) degree at the Bendigo or Albury-Wodonga campuses of La Trobe University, followed by a 4-year Doctor of Medicine (Rural Stream) degree at the Shepparton campus of the University of Melbourne. Therefore, students undergo all years of their pre-medical and medical study in an ASGC RA2. In addition, for selection into the program, applicants must have resided in an RA2 to RAS area for a minimum number of years. Intakes into rural medicine at other institutes belonging to the Murray–Darling Medical Schools Network are due to follow in the coming years and this should produce valuable data for the further optimisation of selection and rural immersion processes.

In its 2019–2020 federal budget, the Australian Government announced another measure, the Better Distribution of Medical Practitioners, to reduce the number of overseas-trained doctors granted visas to practise in well-serviced major capital cities under the Visas for GPs Program of the Skilled Migration Program. Employers sponsoring an overseas doctor’s application for a visa under the program will be required to obtain a Health Workforce Certificate from a rural workforce agency that assesses the position based on a number of criteria including GP catchment size, number of dedicated GPs and practices in the catchment, GP over-capacity, service complexity, level of socioeconomic disadvantage and the area’s Modified Monash Model (MMM) classification with respect to rurality and remoteness. This measure should better direct overseas-trained doctors to the so-called Distribution Priority Areas, which include areas in the Northern Territory, rural and remote areas classified under the MMM as MM 5 to MM 7, and other areas where the level of health services for the population does not meet a service benchmark. However, this measure still draws on a pool of graduates who have been educated and trained overseas and, as outlined in an earlier section, this can lead to considerations with regard to the potential effect on doctor supply in source countries.

Other considerations regarding rural practice preference

It is becoming apparent from the international data that the inclusion of rural residence requirements in student selection processes, and the immersion of medical students for longitudinal training in rural locations, can positively influence the proportion of graduates who decide to enter rural general practice. But it is important to note that these influences may not necessarily contribute equally to a preference for rural practice. For example, in the longitudinal study of 448 students who entered the University of Sydney medical program from 2005 to 2007, the authors performed an analysis on students who undertook an extended rural placement (n=98) versus students who self-identified as having a rural background (n=73). They reported that students who undertook an extended rural placement were more than three times as likely to express a rural internship first preference (23.9% v 7.7%), and more than twice as likely to accept a rural internship (21.3% v 9.9%) than students from a rural background in the same medical program. Therefore, in that particular study, rural immersion appeared to exhibit a higher impact than rural background on rural internship. More research with greater numbers of students in comparator groups is needed to ascertain whether these differences are observed across a number of medical programs over a longer period of time.

Several other factors in addition to rural immersion and background can influence decisions to practise rurally. The Western Australia study of 508 medical graduates found that the effect of immersion at a rural clinical school was statistically insignificant if there was no pre-existing intent to practise rurally (OR=1.38; 95%CI: 0.61–3.16; p=0.44). Therefore, selection processes that detect the presence of strong rural intent are an essential early component in the acceptance of candidates into medical education programs that aim to produce doctors for rural and remote areas.

Financial factors also play a part in the location choices and mobility of GPs in Australia. Data from the Medicine in Australia: Balancing Employment and Life survey revealed that GPs, once established, are not particularly mobile. Older, self-employed GPs are less likely to relocate than younger and employee GPs, and general practice ownership played nearly as great a role as did earnings. While it is estimated that around 10% of Australian GPs change their principal place of practice annually, most of this movement is between major cities, with limited movement from major cities to rural and remote destinations. Therefore, once GPs become established in a city, it is relatively difficult for them to be recruited to rural and remote Australia.

It is worth noting that while there has been a moderate increase in final-year medical students in Australia expressing a preference for future practice outside of capital cities (from 30.1% in 2014 to 35.8% in 2018), this has largely been driven by an increase in interest in practice in major urban centres and in regional cities or large towns. Interest has remained low regarding practice in smaller towns (3.2% in 2014 and 4.1% in 2018) and small communities (1.4% in 2014 and 1.3% in 2018). Similar findings have been reported from an analysis of New Zealand medical students with regard to a low preference for intended practice in towns (10 000–24 999 population) and small towns (<10 000 population). Therefore, when addressing the shortage of rural GPs, it will be important to ensure that measures encompass smaller towns and communities in addition to large rural and
regional centres, and that ‘rural’ is not treated as a single
homogenous grouping. Of note, New Zealand’s Health Research
Council announced in June 2019 that it was funding a project to
develop a consistent classification system for ‘rural’ and ‘urban’ in
relation to health care, which should assist in clearer quantification
of inequities in healthcare access and outcomes in rural New
Zealand.44

The attractiveness of general practice on the whole is also an
important factor in whether there will be a sufficient supply of
medical graduates entering rural medical practice. In a study
conducted by Hay et al, which examined 5862 Australian
undergraduate medical students who commenced from 2006 to
2013 across nine medical schools, less than one-fifth of rural-origin
undergraduate medical students who commenced from 2006 to
2013 across nine medical schools, less than one-fifth of rural-origin
students (17.6%) and only 10.5% of urban-origin students
indicated a preference for future general practitioner at course
exit.45 A significant predictor of a preference for general practice
was female gender (OR=1.7; 95%CI: 0.39–0.94; p<0.05). This is in
agreement with an earlier longitudinal study in the UK by Sinclair
et al, which also found that females were more likely to favour a
career in general practice.46 In a study of 5688 medical students in
Australia who graduated from 2008 to 2011, female gender was
also associated with a preference for future general practice in a
rural location (OR=1.24; 95%CI: 1.01–1.53; p<0.05).47 Reasons
provided in the Sinclair et al study for a general practice
preference included working in and being part of a community,
contact with patient contact, the range of illnesses and people
encountered, undergraduate teaching experiences, dislike of
hospital medicine and an awareness of lifestyle opportunities
including the possibility of working part-time.46 Reasons given
against a general practice career included that it was considered
dull and routine; that it lacked variety, challenge and seriously ill
patients compared to hospital medicine; and that it ‘was seen by
some as a waste of their undergraduate medical degree’.
Adult Medicine/Internal Medicine/Physician has been the most preferred
specialty of future practice for final-year medical students in
Australia since 2014 (at 19.1% in 2018) followed by Surgery (15.5%
in 2018) and General Practice (15.4% in 2018).29 As part of
strategies to address a shortage in rural GPs, the broader reasons
behind medical graduates choosing a career outside general
practice must also be addressed.

While much of the emphasis to date has centred on attracting and
recruiting doctors to rural areas to practice, there also needs to be
a strong focus placed on providing the necessary conditions that
courage medical graduates to stay in rural regions after they
have commenced their practice there. As reported recently by
Cosgrave et al, there is an identified need to develop retention
strategies for the rural health workforce that enhance rural
familiarity and interest, social connectiveness, community
participation and satisfaction, and the fulfilment of life aspirations
including educational and clinical experiences as well as career
progression.48

Future perspectives and conclusions

To address a number of the factors associated with the medical
workforce shortage in rural areas, the Australian Medical
Association has proposed a set of solutions for the government to
implement which are based on five key priority areas:49

1. encourage students from rural areas to enroll in medical
school and provide medical students with opportunities for
positive and continuing exposure to regional/rural medical
training;
2. provide a dedicated and quality training pathway with the
right skill mix to ensure doctors are adequately trained to work
in rural areas;
3. provide a rewarding and sustainable work environment with
adequate facilities, professional support and education,
personal comfort, and flexible work arrangements, including
locum relief;
4. provide family support that includes spousal
opportunities/employment, educational opportunities for
children’s education, subsidy for housing/relocation and/or tax
relief; and
5. provide financial incentives including rural loadings to ensure
competitive remuneration.

Measures that will assist in the achievement of these aims with
respect to pre-vocational and vocational training of medical
graduates in the rural setting include:

- expansion of the regional training hubs to support and
mentor more rural junior doctors, rural generalists, specialist
training posts in rural areas and Aboriginal medical trainees
- further emphasis on the creation of training pathways for
rural generalists led by the National Rural Health
Commissioner and investment in additional training
positions
- future initiatives to grow specialist training outside
metropolitan regions, such as selection of a quota of
rural/regional trainees to college training posts, and
innovative supervision models for junior doctors early in their
specialist training, potentially involving partnerships between
regional training hubs and RCSs to overcome insufficient
numbers of specialists in regional and rural Australia to
supervise trainees.

Adoption of these measures is key to strengthening the rural
health system and improving health outcomes, but it will require
substantial investment coupled with ongoing evaluation and
enhancement of rural and remote training programs.

It is envisaged that the steps enacted by the government and
medical schools in Australia to date will augment the recruitment
of graduates to rural practice. However, a national longitudinal
study that examines and quantifies each of the variables that affect
entry into rural general practice, while controlling for confounding
factors, is needed. This will guide further measures to attract
higher numbers of medical graduates into rural general practice.
Initiatives are also required to optimise the retention and career
development of GPs in rural communities. The experiences and
findings from Australia will likely assist efforts in other countries
to ensure that access to primary health care is an exercisable right for
all, including populations in rural and remote regions. This should
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