

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Clinical characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a rural area in Germany

AUTHORS

Wolfgang C. G. von Meißner¹ MD, GP

Christoph Strumann² PhD, Postdoc *

Michael M Kochen³ Professor, GP and Emeritus

Paul Georg Blickle⁴ GP

Benno Wölk⁵ MD, Head of Molecular Virology

Josef Pömsl⁶ MD, GP

Wolfgang Fink⁷ Dipl, Executive Partner

Jost Steinhaeuser⁸ Professor, GP & Head of Institute, jost.steinhaeuser@uksh.de

CORRESPONDENCE

*Dr Christoph Strumann c.strumann@uni-luebeck.de

AFFILIATIONS

^{1, 4} Hausärzte am Spritzenhaus, Family Practice, Baiersbronn, Germany

^{2, 8} Institute of Family Medicine, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Lübeck, Germany

³ Institute of Family Medicine, University of Freiburg, Germany

⁵ LADR Zentrallabor Dr. Kramer & Kollegen, Geesthacht, Germany; and Institute of Virology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

⁶ Family Medicine Centre, Kaufering, Germany

⁷ Ärzte vorOrt – MEDI-MVZ GmbH, Ambulatory Health Care Center, Stuttgart, Germany

PUBLISHED

21 September 2020 Volume 20 Issue 3

HISTORY

RECEIVED: 21 July 2020

REVISED: 1 September 2020

ACCEPTED: 2 September 2020

CITATION

Von Meißner WC, Strumann C, Kochen MM, Blickle PG, Wölk B, Pömsl J, Fink W, Steinhaeuser J. Clinical characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a rural area in Germany. Rural and Remote Health 2020; 20: 6325. https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH6325

ETHICS APPROVAL

The ethics committee of the University of Lübeck (Germany) approved the analysis of the routine data presented here (reference

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

FULL ARTICLE:

Dear Editor

As of 1 May 2020, more than 3.4 million cases of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections and more than 240 000 deaths due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) had been reported worldwide^{1,2}.

In Germany, about 85% of COVID-19 patients are cared for mostly by family physicians in community practices, which allows hospitals to concentrate on critically ill patients. In order to ensure regular operations of primary care practices in Freudenstadt, and due to the limited supply of protective gear during the pandemic, one practice in the region was selected to diagnose and treat all suspected and/or confirmed COVID-19 cases.

This district in the Black Forest, in south-western Germany, comprises 870 km² with a population of 117 935 citizens. Patient care is provided via 98 primary care practitioners (family physicians, general internist and pediatricians) based in four small cities and 12 municipalities. One acute care hospital with 10 intensive care beds is located in the district. Here, we report and clinically characterize the first cohort of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients in this rural district.

Beginning with the first case reported on 4 March, until 24 April 2020, the district observed a period prevalence ratio of 442.6 PCR-confirmed cases/100 000 inhabitants to the national health authorities³. Thus, during the study period, Freudenstadt was considered one of the areas most severely affected by SARS-CoV-2 infections in Germany.

Between 4 March and 24 March, a total of 829 patients with a

suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection were sent to this practice by primary care physician for oropharyngeal, real-time RT-PCR testing⁴. A total of 102 (12.3%) patients tested positive. According to national guidelines, 100 of these patients went into quarantine at home, and two required hospitalization. Of the 100 patients who quarantined at home, 91 (91%) agreed to an extended follow-up period by this specialized COVID-19 practice for infection-related symptoms.

Corresponding to the national average, the most common risk factor among these 91 patients (median age 44.0 years, 57.1% male) was arterial hypertension, followed by diabetes mellitus, bronchial asthma and chronic heart failure (Table 1). The most common presenting symptoms were fatigue (84.6%), cough (75.8%), and loss of smell and taste (71.4%).

As shown in Table 2, 43.8% of the subjects had elevated ferritin levels and 41.0% had low levels of eosinophil granulocytes. C-reactive protein, D-dimers and LDH-levels were within normal range, reflecting the mild course of disease.

Between 5 March and 24 April, none of these patients required hospital admission, and no deaths were reported. Elderly patients, often cared for in retirement homes by family physicians, are underrepresented in our sample.

Our cohort represents the first 91 COVID-19 patients from one of the districts most affected by the early phase of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in rural Germany. The exclusive management of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 by specialized community practices could be an effective approach to treatment, as long as the clinical course of these patients remains mild.

Table 1: Characteristics of the patient cohort, and nationwide averages⁵⁻¹⁰

Nationwide average (%)	Number of patients n (%)
47.8†	44
6.5 [†]	0 (0)
15.7†	4 (4.4)
28.2 [†]	6 (6.6)
50.6 [†]	39 (42.9)
49.4 [†]	52 (57.1)
23.81	9 (9.9)
31.81	21 (23.1)
7.71	6 (6.6)
6.2¶	4 (4.4)
4.81	3 (3.3)
	9 (9.9)
	77 (84.6)
	69 (75.8)
Loss of smell/taste	
Running nose 64 (7	
	62 (68.1)
Headache	
Sore throat	
Sudden onset of illness	
Fever	
	33 (36.3)
	24/89 (27.0)
Chest pressure	
	Nationwide average (%) 47.81 6.5 ¹ 15.71 28.2 ¹ 50.61 49.41 23.8 ⁵ 31.8 ⁵ 7.71 6.2 ⁵

¹ Data from 2017. ¹ Data for only 89 patients were available

Parameter	NR	Median	% <lnr< th=""><th>% >UNR</th></lnr<>	% >UNR
Leukocytes (10 ³ /µL)	3.50-9.80	6.1	1.1	6.6
Erythrocytes (10 ⁶ /µL)	4.5-5.9	4.9	7.7	3.3
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.5-17.5	14.8	5.5	0.0
Hematocrit (L/L)	0.40-0.53	0.4	8.8	0.0
Thrombocytes (10 ³ /µL)	140-360	247.0	9.9	13.2
Neutrophilic granulocytes (n=83) [†] (%)	40-75	57.3	2.4	1.2
Lymphocytes (n=83) [†] (%)	18-48	32.1	2.4	1.2
Monocytes (n=83) [†] (%)	4-11	8.2	1.2	14.5
Eosinophilic granulocytes (n=83) [†] (%)	1.0-8.0	1.2	41.0	0.0
Basophilic granulocytes (n=83) [†] (%)	0.0-1.0	0.5	-	3.6
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.67-1.17	0.8	6.6	1.1
Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	>60	106.0	2.2	-
Glutamate pyruvate transaminase (IU/L)	<50	27.0	-	17.6
Gamma-glutamyltransferase (IU/L)	<60	27.0	-	17.6
Lactate dehydrogenase (n=89) [†] (IU/L)	< 49	183.0	-	16.9
C-reactive protein (n=90) [†] (mg/dL)	<0.5	0.5	-	27.8
D-dimers (n=87) [†] (µg/L)	<499	353.0	-	34.5
Ferritin (n=89) [†] (µg/L)	30-400	240.0	1.1	43.8
Procalcitonin (n=89) [†] (µg/L)	<0.5	0.0	-	1.1
Troponins (n=89) [†] (pg/mL)	Negative	4.2	-	3.4

Table 2: Laboratory findings for patient cohort

Data not available for all 91 patients but only for the specified number. Date of sample collection: first follow-up on 26 and

27 March. NR, normal range. LNR, lower normal range. UNR, upper normal range

WCG von Meißner and PG Blickle, Hausärzte am Spritzenhaus, B Wölk, LADR Zentrallabor Dr. Kramer & Kollegen, Geesthacht, Family Practice, Baiersbronn, Germany

C Strumann and J Steinhäuser, Institute of Family Medicine, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Lübeck, Germany

> MM Kochen, Institute of Family Medicine, University of Freiburg, Germany

Germany; Institute of Virology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

J Pömsl, Family Medicine Centre, Kaufering, Germany

W Fink, Ärzte vorOrt – MEDI-MVZ GmbH, Ambulatory Health Care Center, Stuttgart, Germany

REFERENCES:

1 Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infectious Diseases 2020; 20(5): P533-P534. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1

2 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Available: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus /2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html (Accessed 29 April 2020).

https://experience.arcgis.com/experience /478220a4c454480e823b17327b2bf1d4/page/page_1 (Accessed 24 April 2020).

4 Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DKW, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Eurosurveillance 2020; 25: 2000045. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045 PMid:31992387

3 Robert Koch Institute. Covid-19 dashboard. Available:

5 German Federal Bureau of Statistics. *Current population*. Available: https://www.destatis.de/EN/Themes/Society-Environment/Population/Current-Population/_node.html (Accessed 27 April 2020).

6 Zeiher J, Kuntz B, Lange C. Smoking among adults in Germany. *Journal of Health Monitoring* 2017; **2(2):** 57-63.

7 Steppuhn H, Kuhnert R, Scheidt-Nave C. 12-month prevalence of asthma among adults in Germany. *Journal of Health Monitoring* 2017; **2(3):** 34-42.

8 Heidemann C, Kuhnert R, Born S, Scheidt-Nave C. 12-Month prevalence of known diabetes mellitus in Germany. *Journal of Health Monitoring* 2017; **2(1):** 43-50.

9 Busch MA, Kuhnert R. 12-Month prevalence of coronary heart disease in Germany. *Journal of Health Monitoring* 2017; **2(1)**: 58-63.

10 Neuhauser H, Kuhnert R, Born S. 12-Month prevalence of hypertension in Germany. *Journal of Health Monitoring* 2017; **2(1):** 51-57.

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/6325 for the Version of Record.