

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Rural hospital bed management practices during influenza season

AUTHORS



Colleen Ma¹ MBBS, Rehabilitation Medicine Registrar *

Chen Pettit² MD, Resident Medical Officer

Michelle Giles³ PhD, Manager



Michelle Bolte⁵ RN, Clinical Nurse Consultant

CORRESPONDENCE

*Dr Colleen Ma colleen.ma@health.nsw.gov.au

AFFILIATIONS

^{1, 2} Tamworth Rural Referral Hospital, Dean Street, Tamworth, NSW 2340, Australia

^{3, 4} Hunter New England Nursing & Midwifery Research Centre, James Fletcher Campus, Gate Cottage, 72 Watt Street, Newcastle, NSW 2300, Australia

⁵ Infection Prevention Service, Tamworth Rural Referral Hospital, Dean Street, Tamworth, NSW 2340, Australia

PUBLISHED 19 February 2022 Volume 22 Issue 1

HISTORY

RECEIVED: 14 July 2021

REVISED: 21 October 2021

ACCEPTED: 21 November 2021

CITATION

Ma C, Pettit C, Giles M, Ohr S, Bolte M. Rural hospital bed management practices during influenza season. Rural and Remote Health 2022; 22: 7015. https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH7015

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Rural health services face greater challenges in managing infectious patients due to lack of specialty beds and longer lengths of stay. The pressures of seasonal influxes of influenza patients with a heavy burden of chronic disease and an ageing population result in an increased demand for hospital beds. During these peak periods it is common for rural hospitals to experience bed block. The result is that patients may be placed into any available bed or ward at the time, increasing the need for transfer and the risk of spreading hospital-acquired respiratory illnesses to other patients and staff across the hospital. This further exacerbates bed block, with patients then requiring more specialised treatment and longer lengths of stay. This places additional strain on already existing workforce shortages and limited resources that must accommodate higher-than-normal patient loads. The objective of this study was to examine rural hospital bed management practices with a focus on the transfer of patients who are actively diagnosed with influenza (either on admission or during their stay in hospital) and to investigate the association of increased bed movement with the rate of nosocomial transmission and staff workload.

Methods: The rates of patients admitted to a rural hospital in New South Wales, Australia, during the yearly peak influenza season (July to September) during 2016–2019 with either community-acquired or hospital-acquired influenza were examined using an infection control surveillance program. Bed management practices related to these inpatients were audited to examine their contribution towards nosocomial transmissions and staff workload during these periods.

Results: A total of 229 patients presented to this hospital with an influenza diagnosis over the study period and generated 175 bed transfers. Forty percent of community-acquired and 70% of hospital-acquired influenza inpatients experienced one or more intrahospital bed transfers during their active infection period. Half of all bed transfers involved patients being transferred to another ward (interward) and the other half consisted of those transferred within the same ward (intraward). These transfers impacted staff workload, requiring a total of 245 extra hours from nursing and cleaning staff to facilitate – time not included when allocating staff at the start of each shift.

Conclusion: Findings from this study indicate that there is no active strategy for influenza containment during high-occupancy periods for this rural facility. This resulted in multiple bed transfers occurring during the active phase of influenza infection. This then led to an exacerbation of bed block and thus further inappropriate placement of newly admitted patients. The development of an optimal bed management plan for future surges of influenza and other highly infectious respiratory illnesses is essential to reduce nosocomial infection and staff workload, especially given the limited resources available in rural areas compared to metropolitan centres.

Keywords:

Australia, cross-infection, hospitals, infection control, influenza.

FULL ARTICLE:

Introduction

Hospital-acquired (nosocomial) influenza is a concern for many health organisations and policymakers around the world, as it presents a threat to both healthcare service provision and inpatient outcomes¹. The risk is heightened for hospitalised patients, who are often older with existing comorbidities, making them more vulnerable to infection².

Influenza is highly infectious, thus effective management of inpatients with this illness in order to minimise nosocomial infections is crucial. Isolating respiratory infectious patients within the inpatient hospital setting should be a key priority given the current COVID-19 crisis, as both viruses are transmitted by contact, droplets and fomites³.

Australian rural and remote health services have fewer resources, as well as chronic workforce shortages⁴. The demand for health services is highlighted in rural areas due to an increasing ageing population with chronic and complex chronic disease, and it is exacerbated by limited health services organisations and remoteness⁴. This scenario adds burden to hospital resources during influenza season and can result in a somewhat reactionary bed management system, as bed transfers are used as one of the primary strategies to manage hospital bed block⁵.

Reactionary bed management results in placement of infectious

patients into any ward initially where there is a bed available, which then requires relocation of other patients⁵. This is especially an issue in rural and remote hospitals where there may be limited or non-existent specialty beds or wards specifically for respiratory illnesses. Placement of infected patients amongst non-infectious patients increases the risk of nosocomial spread.

All of these bed transfers have a significant impact on the workloads of both nurses and cleaning staff. Initial suboptimal patient bed placement exacerbates the demands placed on an already chronically understaffed rural clinical workforce^{5,6}.

This article presents findings on bed management practices for influenza patients admitted to a rural referral hospital.

Methods

This quantitative retrospective descriptive case study examined the relationship of nosocomial influenza infections and organisational bed management. A review of clinical cases, nursing and cleaning staff workloads was conducted during the influenza seasons for 2016 to 2019.

Setting

The study setting was a rural referral hospital in New South Wales, Australia with 282 beds, providing acute care services to a population base of 77 029, with 25.5% aged 60 years or greater⁷. The hospital consists of an intensive care unit, four acute care wards (coronary care unit, one medical ward, two surgical wards) and one subacute rehabilitation ward. An escalation unit, comprising 26 beds, is only operational when the hospital is nearing bed block.

Participants

Participants were adult inpatients with a diagnosis of either community-acquired influenza (on admission or within 48 hours of admission) or nosocomial influenza (defined as a positive swab after 3 days in hospital)⁸. Patients diagnosed with influenza were considered to be infectious for a period of 7 days according to district guidelines. Inpatients aged less than 18 years were excluded as they were placed in the children's ward and not moved throughout the hospital during their admission.

Data collection

Retrospective data were collected over four peak influenza seasons (July to September each year) for the years 2016–2019. Two clinical databases were used to track influenza cases and bed movements of patients throughout the hospital.

In addition, retrospective data was collected from existing cleaning logbooks, which provide a record of the cleaning time of infectious rooms, known as terminal cleans, after patient transfers or discharges.

Informal observations were made by nurse educators to record the length of time required by staff for a regular bed transfer. The informal observational review was performed to quantify the time reported by the nurse educators with the time noted in published studies by Blay⁹.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using descriptive statistics. Differences of categorical data were tested using Pearson's χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. Differences of continuous variables were detected using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test after the normality test. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 is considered to be statistically significant and all *p*-values are two-tailed.

Ethics approval

The project was reviewed by the local human research ethics committee and was classified as negligible risk research activity (AU202005-09).

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 229 patients had a diagnosis of either type A or type B influenza during the study period. Of those, 86.9% of patients had community-acquired influenza and 13.1% were nosocomial cases.

Characteristic	Community- acquired influenza	Hospital-acquired influenza	<i>p</i> -value
Number of patients, n (%)	199 (86.9)	30 (13.1)	
Age, mean	69.1	73.8	0.07
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)			
Male	97 (48.7)	12 (40)	0.37
Female	102 (51.3)	18 (60)	0.37
Influenza type, n (%)			
A	173 (86.9)	30 (100)	0.04
В	26 (13.1)	0 (0)	0.04
Year, <i>n</i> (%)			
2016	20 (10.1)	3(10)	0.17
2017	109 (54.8)	13 (43.3)	0.17
2018	12 (6)	0 (0)	0.17
2019	58 (29.1)	14 (46.7)	0.17

Table 1: Overview of patient characteristics

Bed transfers

As shown in Table 2, of the 199 patients admitted with communityacquired influenza, more than 40% (n=85) were subsequently transferred elsewhere from their admission bed during the infectious period. The initial admission of patients from the emergency department bed to a ward bed was not counted as a transfer.

Of 30 patients who contracted influenza in hospital, 70% (n=21) were transferred from one bed to another during their infectious

period.

Of the patients who did get transferred during the infectious period, some were transferred more than once (Fig1).

Table 3 shows different types of transfers during the patient's infectious period. It was noted that 85 patients with community-acquired influenza were associated with 142 bed transfers. This included 50% of patients being transferred to another ward (interward) and the other half being transferred within the same ward (intraward).

Table 2: Patients transferred with community- and hospital-acquired influenza at a rural hospital, New South Wales, July– September 2016–2019

Transmission	Not transferred <i>n</i> (%)	Transferred [†] <i>n</i> (%)
Community-acquired	114 (57.3)	85 (42.7)
Hospital-acquired	9 (30)	21 (70)

[†] Transfers include from intensive care unit to ward, intra- and interward transfers, exclude transfers from emergency department to ward.

Table 3: Intra- and interward transfers during patients' infectious period at a rural hospital, New South Wales, July–September 2016–2019

Transmission	Intraward transfer [†] <i>n</i> (%)	Interward transfer [¶] <i>n</i> (%)
Community-acquired	72 (50.7)	70 (49.3)
Hospital-acquired	23 (69.7)	10 (30.3)

[†] Patient remains on the same ward, but is transferred from one bed to another bed [¶] Patient is transferred from one ward to another.



Figure 1: Transfers per patient with influenza (during infectious period) at a rural hospital, New South Wales, July–September 2016–2019.

Staff hours related to bed transfers

The large number of transfers required significant amounts of clinical hours from nursing and cleaning staff to facilitate the transfer and to perform terminal cleans, respectively. Informal observation found that it took an average of 46 minutes of nursing time to facilitate one patient transfer. This is comparable to the literature, which shows that each transfer requires an average of 57.5 minutes to complete⁹. The audit of the cleaning logbook indicated that each terminal clean took an average of 38 minutes. This equates to 84 minutes of combined staff time being required for each inpatient transfer, with a total of 245 staff hours to facilitate all 175 transfers (which only included patients with influenza) over peak influenza seasons in the past 4 years.

Discussion

Findings from this study provide an overview of inpatient

characteristics with influenza, the bed transfers experienced by these patients, and the impact on the staff workloads in a rural hospital. The report of 13.1% nosocomial transmission in this study is almost twice that of the 5.6% (96 of 1722 patients) reported in Godoy et al's study¹⁰.

This high rate of nosocomial infection may be linked to a high incidence of bed transfers at the study hospital as suggested in the literature^{9,10} and is corroborated by the number of bed transfers occurring, especially during 2017 and 2019 influenza seasons.

Ideally, community-acquired influenza patients should occupy a maximum of one bed during their stay. Optimal management of nosocomial influenza inpatients should include the occupancy of a maximum of two bed locations during their stay: the first bed assigned on admission (presumably having been diagnosed with a non-influenza-like illness), and the second after they have been moved in light of their influenza diagnosis, and only if required. Unlike tertiary and metropolitan centres, where patients are often admitted to a specialty ward and kept there for the duration of their admission, this is not possible in rural and remote centres due to reduced numbers of specialty beds available and high rates of non-infectious chronic disease^{4,11}.

With the limited specialty bed numbers within the hospital, there is a need for bed management practices that aim to reduce influenza transmission and bed transfers within the hospital. This is critical, especially during the active phase of infection for patients admitted with community-acquired influenza, as a reduction of these transfers will decrease the risk of nosocomial infections.

Findings from this study provide valuable insight into the rural hospital bed management practices (transfers in particular) for inpatients with influenza and the consequences associated with these transfers. This information will inform future development of an optimal bed management plan for surges of influenza and other highly infectious respiratory illnesses outside of metropolitan centres.

Limitations

There were some limitations to this study. One is the small sample size, secondary to the limited duration, of July to September for the previous 4 years. These 3 months each year usually showed

significant overlap, with increased periods of bed block due to the higher number of patient admissions during the winter months in Australia.

Conclusion

This study highlights the need for a formalised bed management plan that limits the number of bed transfers for infectious influenza inpatients in rural locations. There are a large number of inter- and intrahospital transfers of community-acquired influenza inpatients occurring during the infectious phase of the disease, and after diagnosis. These transfers are associated with increased risk of nosocomial influenza infection, with each transfer adding an increased burden to the workload of both nursing and cleaning staff and reducing the time nurses are able to spend to provide care for their patients.

Recommendations

It would be beneficial for rural and remote facilities to develop and implement an organisational bed management strategy that aims to reduce the number of intrafacility bed transfers of patients who have confirmed influenza, especially during the 7-day infectious period. A strategy that incorporates a designated respiratory ward (if possible) or expansion of hospital-in-the-home services would assist in decreasing intraward and facility transfers, reduce burdens on workloads and limit further exposures of healthcare staff.

REFERENCES:

1 Huzly D, Kurz S, Ebner W, Dettenkofer M, Panning M. Characterisation of nosocomial and community-acquired influenza in a large university hospital during two consecutive influenza seasons. *Journal of Clinical Virology* 2015; **73:** 47-51. DOI link, PMid:26540462

2 Schanzer DL, Langley JM, Tam TW. Co-morbidities associated with influenza-attributed mortality, 1994-2000, Canada. *Vaccine* 2008; **26(36):** 4697-4703. DOI link, PMid:18620016

3 World Health Organization. *Q&A: Influenza and COVID-19 – similarities and differences.* Available: web link (Accessed 8 September 2020).

4 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Rural and remote health.* 2019. Available: web link (Accessed 15 August 2020).

5 Blay N, Duffield CM, Gallagher R. Patient transfers in Australia: implications for nursing workload and patient outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Management* 2012; **20(3):** 302-310. DOI link, PMid:22519607

6 Phillips A, Smallwood L. Hospital beds and the quality of a national health system. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2010; **18(3):** 93-95. DOI link, PMid:20579018

7 Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census QuickStats: Tamworth. 2020. Available: web link (Accessed 21 August 2020).

8 Lessler J, Reich NG, Brookmeyer R, Perl TM, Nelson KE,
Cummings DA. Incubation periods of acute respiratory viral infections: a systematic review. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2009;
9(5): 291-300. DOI link

9 Blay N, Roche M, Duffield C, Xu X. Intrahospital transfers and adverse patient outcomes: an analysis of administrative health data. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2017; **26(23-24):** 4927-4935. DOI link, PMid:28748563

10 Godoy P, Torner N, Soldevila N, Rius C, Jane M, Martínez A, et al. Hospital-acquired influenza infections detected by a surveillance system over six seasons, from 2010/2011 to 2015/2016. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2020; **20(1):** 80. DOI link, PMid:31992207

11 Thompson SC, Nedkoff L, Katzenellenbogen J, Hussain MA, Sanfilippo F. Challenges in managing acute cardiovascular diseases and follow up care in rural areas: a narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2019; **16(24)**: 5126. DOI link, PMid:31847490

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/7015 for the Version of Record.