Referral patterns of patients presenting with chest pain at two rural emergency departments in Western Australia
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Coronary heart disease is the largest single cause of death in Australia. In Western Australia invasive diagnostics and therapies for acute coronary syndromes are only provided in the metropolitan hospitals of Perth. Patients in rural hospitals who need invasive cardiac care have to be transferred to Perth. The aim of our research was to determine which patient factors are associated with referral to advanced cardiac care at metropolitan level and how this compares to Australian guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes.

Method: Data was collected from patients presenting with chest pain to the rural emergency department, who were at least 18 years old and had given their consent. Exclusion criteria were chest pain accompanied or precipitated by significant co morbidity and prior enrolment in this study protocol. Socioeconomic and medical information of patients was collected from their medical records. Data was analysed using \( \chi^2 \) tests, independent sample \( t \)-tests and multivariable logistic-regression models (stepwise backwards procedure).

Results: The study included 115 rural patients with chest pain with a mean age of 58 years: 66 (57%) men, 12 (10%) indigenous Australians and 38 (33%) transferred patients. Of all transferred patients 19 (50%) had a positive peak troponin-T, 13 (36%) a high peak creatine kinase (CK) and 12 (32%) persistent ST-elevation on their electrocardiogram, compared with 10 (14%), 12 (17%) and 11 (14%) respectively for non-transferred patients. Chi-square-tests showed significant differences between transfer groups in...
all three essential initial cardiac investigations and known dyslipidaemia. In multivariate analyses the positive peak troponin-T increased odds of transfer (OR6.40; 95% CI 2.55–16.08). This effect increased after adjustment for gender, serum creatinine and known dyslipidaemia (OR27.61; 95% CI 6.41–119.04). When adjusted for the peak troponin-T, neither ECG with persistent ST-elevation nor high peak CK remained significant. Known dyslipidaemia remained significant and serum creatinine became significant. Gender became significant when adjusted for troponin-T, known dyslipidaemia and serum creatinine.

Conclusions: Peak troponin-T is an independent determinant associated with the transfer to advanced care at metropolitan level, but ECG with persistent ST-elevation and peak CK (other essential initial cardiac investigations) are not. Further investigation of the available and provided cardiac care in rural Western Australia is required.
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Introduction

Coronary heart disease is the largest single cause of death in Australia¹. Cardiovascular diseases contribute 18% to the total burden in disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and accounted for 47,637 deaths in 2004 in Australia²,³ and 36% of deaths in Western Australia (WA)⁴. Overall Australian death rates rise with increasing remoteness, with circulatory diseases as the leading cause⁵. This is possibly due to worse socioeconomic factors (higher prevalence of smoking and obesity) and impaired access to health care.

In WA, invasive treatments for acute coronary syndromes (ACS) are only available in the metropolitan hospitals of Perth. Patients admitted to rural clinics have to be transferred if they need invasive cardiac care. For patients with suspected ACS every hour counts; medical guidelines⁶ and scientific papers⁷-¹¹ emphasize the importance of (early) recognition of ACS, by both patient (community) and medical staff, in order to effectively implement proven therapies and optimize patient outcomes¹². Early invasive therapy and in-hospital revascularization leads to better survival rates of patients with a suspected ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), but also for those with a non ST-elevation ACS¹³,¹⁴.

The ability of rural medical staff to accurately determine which patient with chest pain is likely to have ACS and needs to be transferred for invasive treatment in a metropolitan hospital, is crucial in the medical process. This study explored chest pain management in rural WA hospitals to determine if it agrees with Australian guidelines for the management of ACS¹⁵.

Methods

Background

There are just over 2,000,000 citizens in WA and they are spread over 2,550,000 square kilometres¹⁶. Approximately 1,550,000 people live in the metropolitan area of Perth. The capital contains hospitals with many specialists, whereas large rural hospitals only have specialized emergency departments.

Two large rural centres are stationed in Geraldton and Kalgoorlie. Together they provide health care for approximately 100,000 people in the Midwest and Goldfields Region (an area of more than 1 million km²), including a relatively high Aboriginal population¹⁶. These centres also act as the intermediate centres for transfers from even smaller clinics to Perth. Acute patients are transferred on airplanes; depending on the urgency of needed care, patients’ transfer times differ.

Patient population

The recently finalized Australian Acute Coronary Syndrome Prospective Audit (ACACIA)⁷,¹⁷ registry gathered data of
3402 ACS Australian patients. Chew et al. found that major
preventable risk factors for cardiovascular disease\(^1\) and in-hospital
measurements were independent predictors of hospital mortality
of acute coronary events\(^18\). A simplified version of this
method\(^17,19\) was used to gather retrospective data at the Geraldton
Regional Hospital and the Kalgoorlie Hospital.

Consenting patients, 18 years or older, who presented to the
emergency department (ED) from January to May of 2008,
complaining of chest pain (CP) and who could either have left the
hospital that same day or stayed as in-patient for longer were
selected for the study. Patients were asked to participate either
prior to discharge or when called after discharge.

Exclusion criteria were ACS accompanied or precipitated by
significant co-morbidity (eg motor vehicle accidents, trauma,
severe gastrointestinal bleeding or peri-procedural MI).

These selection criteria were translated by a medical
researcher (BB) to major diagnostic categories (MDCs) and
Australian Triage Scale scores (ATS).

- The MDCs are mutually exclusive groups based on
  principal diagnosis; MDC-5, diseases and disorders
  of the circulatory system, was included in the study.
- Triaging is a systematic assessment determining
  patient’s priority at ED arrival. Patients with triage
  scores 1–3, conditions that require a response of
  assessment within 30 minutes or less, were included.

**Recruitment modalities**

Patients’ medical record numbers (MRNs) were selected and
medical records were checked if patients fitted the selection
criteria.

Of all these patients data were first registered of those who,
during their in-hospital stay:
- were transferred to Perth
- had had a proven myocardium infarction.

Overlapping occurred because some transferred patients had
an MI during their in-hospital stay.

Then, expanding the control group, the study aimed for twice
as many non-transferred as transferred patients at each study
site. Over a nine-week period from March till May 2008, all
patients fitting the profile were selected.

**Data collected**

The data for these patients are summarised in Table 1.

A cardiologist divided all electrocardiograms into four classes:

1. Class 1 – persistent ST-elevation > 1 mm in \(\geq 2\)
   contiguous leads, or new/presumed new left bundle
   branch block.
2. Class 2 – ST-depression > 0.5 mm, or T-wave
   inversion in \(\geq 2\) contiguous leads.
3. Class 3 – Q-waves or ST/T changes in \(\geq 2\) leads.
4. Class 4 – other, not necessarily normal ECG.

The outcome measure was a patient’s transfer or not
(discharge from the rural hospital), which shows the doctors’
interpretation of patients’ symptoms. If doctors intended to
transfer a patient, but the patient requested not to be, the
outcome was registered as ‘transfer’.

**Statistical analysis**

The \(\chi^2\) test was used to compare the single factors. If tests
were ‘not done’ only registered tests could be compared.

Independent sample \(t\)-tests were used to compare means.

Univariate and multivariate logistic-regression analyses
(stepwise backwards procedure) were used to determine
which binary factors were likely to influence the transfer-
outcome. Analyses were conducted with SPSS15 (SPSS;
www.spps.com).
Results

Over 50 consents were obtained at each site. Of the 115 enrolled patients (mean age of 58 years), 36 (31%) were transferred to Perth. Table 2 lists further characteristics.

The patients were separated in two groups: those who were meant to be transferred (transfer) and those who were not (no transfer). At each site one patient requested not to be transferred, despite doctor’s advice; these two patients were included in the transfer group.

Initially $\chi^2$ tests and independent sample $t$-tests were used to compare patient groups on relevant socio-demographic and medical characteristics. Tables 3 to 6 show these results (if blood tests were missing, percentages were calculated over the number of known test results: $n$–).

Neither the mean age nor the number of indigenous patients differed between transfer groups. More men than women presented with chest pain and were transferred, hence, there was no significant difference between genders.

Histories of cardiovascular diseases, high blood pressure, diabetes and smoking were not significant, nor was family history for coronary artery disease. Known history of dyslipidaemia was different between transfer groups ($p$-value was 0.028).

A positive peak troponin-T was measured in 19 (50%) transferred and 10 (14%) non-transferred patients. Of the transferred patients, 13 (36%) had high peak creatine kinase (CK) levels compared with 12 (17%) non-transferred patients. The ECGs of 12 (32%) transferred and 11 (14%) non-transferred patients were suspected for STEMI. All three determinants were significant in chi-squared tests.

Dialysis dependency, increased serum creatinine, high white cell count, high blood sugar level, high lipid profiles, high blood pressure and increased heart rate were not significant.

According to binary-logistics, patients with a positive peak troponin-T were more likely to be transferred (6.40; 95% CI, 2.55–16.08). In all multivariable models, troponin-T remained significant.

Peak troponin-T was a confounder for both ECG and peak CK; these variables lost significance when adjusted for peak troponin-T. A known history of dyslipidaemia remained significant and serum creatinine became significant.

The stepwise backwards procedure was used to search for all determinants that remain or become significant when adjusted for each other in a regression model.

Gender and serum creatinine, which were not significant predictors on their own, became significant when taken into account with troponin-T and known dyslipidaemia. All four factors had significant increased or decreased odds when adjusted for each other (Table 7).
Table 2: Patient characteristics, by site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Geraldton</th>
<th>Kalgoorlie</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consents</td>
<td>n = 58 (%)</td>
<td>n = 57 (%)</td>
<td>n = 115 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24 (41)</td>
<td>25 (44)</td>
<td>49 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years</td>
<td>61 (16)</td>
<td>56 (15)</td>
<td>58 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>12 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG class 1</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>11 (19)</td>
<td>23 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG class 2</td>
<td>17 (29)</td>
<td>13 (23)</td>
<td>30 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG class 3</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
<td>16 (28)</td>
<td>24 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to transfer</td>
<td>18 (31)</td>
<td>20 (35)</td>
<td>38 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to Perth</td>
<td>17 (29)</td>
<td>19 (33)</td>
<td>36 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Demographic patient characteristics and p-values, by transfer status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No transfer</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consents</td>
<td>n = 77 (%)</td>
<td>n = 38 (%)</td>
<td>n = 115 (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not transferred on pt request (n,%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n,%)</td>
<td>36 (47)</td>
<td>13 (34)</td>
<td>49 (43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n,%)</td>
<td>41 (53)</td>
<td>25 (66)</td>
<td>66 (57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years (mean,SD))</td>
<td>58 (17)</td>
<td>59 (14)</td>
<td>58 (16)</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous (n,%)</td>
<td>9 (12)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>12 (10)</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Social-medical history determinants and p-values according to transfer status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No transfer</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consents</td>
<td>n = 77 (%)</td>
<td>n = 38 (%)</td>
<td>n = 115 (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known diabetes (n,%)</td>
<td>23 (30)</td>
<td>13 (34)</td>
<td>36 (31)</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known dyslipidaemia (n,%)</td>
<td>36 (47)</td>
<td>26 (68)</td>
<td>62 (54)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known hypertension (n,%)</td>
<td>52 (68)</td>
<td>31 (82)</td>
<td>83 (72)</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known current smoking (n,%)</td>
<td>27 (35)</td>
<td>15 (39)</td>
<td>42 (37)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known former smoker (n,%)</td>
<td>21 (27)</td>
<td>15 (39)</td>
<td>36 (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known FHx of CAD (n,%)</td>
<td>19 (25)</td>
<td>12 (32)</td>
<td>31 (27)</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior MI (n,%)</td>
<td>16 (21)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>25 (22)</td>
<td>0.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior PCI (n,%)</td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>18 (16)</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior CABG (n,%)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>0.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior AF (n,%)</td>
<td>14 (18)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>18 (16)</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior stroke (n,%)</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Cardiac biomarkers and first ECGs of patients with chest pain, \( p \)-values by transfer status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No transfer</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consents</td>
<td>( n = 77 ) (%)</td>
<td>( n = 38 ) (%)</td>
<td>( n = 115 ) (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin-T not done (n,%)</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak troponin-T + (n,%) *</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td>19 (50)</td>
<td>29 (19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK not measured (n,%)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CK &gt; 195 U/L (n,%) *</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>13 (36)</td>
<td>25 (24)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG class 1 (n,%)</td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
<td>12 (32)</td>
<td>23 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG class 2 (n,%)</td>
<td>19 (25)</td>
<td>11 (29)</td>
<td>30 (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG class 3 (n,%)</td>
<td>21 (27)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>24 (21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(* n = original \ n - amount of tests not done or not filed in the medical records."

Table 6: In-hospital measurements and \( p \)-values according to transfer status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No transfer</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consents</td>
<td>( n = 77 ) (%)</td>
<td>( n = 38 ) (%)</td>
<td>( n = 115 ) (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis dependent (n,%)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial serum creatinine not done (n,%)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial serum creatinine &gt;150mmol/L (n,%) *</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial white cell count not done (n,%)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial WCC &gt; 11 \times 10^9/L (n,%) *</td>
<td>15 (21)</td>
<td>12 (34)</td>
<td>27 (25)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar level not measured (n,%)</td>
<td>9 (12)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First measured BSL &gt; 6 mmol/L (n,%) *</td>
<td>39 (57)</td>
<td>27 (71)</td>
<td>66 (63)</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid profile not measured (n,%)</td>
<td>33 (43)</td>
<td>15 (39)</td>
<td>48 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First total cholesterol &gt; 6 mmol/L (n,%) *</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>0.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First triglycerine &gt; 1.9 mmol/L (n,%) *</td>
<td>13 (30)</td>
<td>10 (43)</td>
<td>23 (34)</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First blood pressure &gt; 140 systmmHg (n,%)</td>
<td>30 (39)</td>
<td>17 (45)</td>
<td>47 (41)</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Heart Rate (bpm [mean,SD])</td>
<td>83 (26)</td>
<td>85 (17)</td>
<td>84 (24)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(* n = original \ n - amount of tests not done or not filed in the medical records."

Table 7: Multivariate regression model, all 4 determinants adjusted for the other 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak troponin-T positive</td>
<td>29/108</td>
<td>26.85%</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>27.61 (6.41–119.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>59/108</td>
<td>54.63%</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>2.92 (1.00–8.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>62/108</td>
<td>57.41%</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>3.25 (1.12–9.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High serum creatinine</td>
<td>11/108</td>
<td>10.19%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00–0.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients with a positive peak troponin-T were 27.61 times more likely to be transferred and those with known dyslipidaemia 3.25 times more likely (95% CI, 6.41–119.04 resp.1.12–9.44). Men had a 2.92 times higher chance of being referred to a metropolitan hospital than women (95% CI, 1.00–8.55). High serum creatinine decreased odds of transfer (OR0.01; 95% CI, 00–0.15).

Discussion

Main findings

A positive peak troponin-T gives increased the chances of transfer, but ECG and CK do not when adjusted for peak troponin-T. Known dyslipidaemia increased and high serum
Creatinine decreased the chance of transfer. Men were more likely to be transferred than women.

**Limitations of this study**

The study represents patients who had given their consent. However, not all patients who fitted the selection criteria were reached. Patients and patient information may have been missed because hospital visits had not been filed properly. Furthermore, a short time was available for this trial and only 115 patients were enrolled. Due to the small transfer groups, the 95% confidence intervals were large.

**Comparison with other studies**

The results of this study do not agree with the finding of Chew et al.\textsuperscript{17} that patients with suspected STEMI are strongly associated with invasive management during their in-hospital stay. This service is not available rural WA. Might one assume that more patients would have been referred, if rural hospitals provided invasive cardiac care? Scott et al.\textsuperscript{20}, who observed routine care of ACS in Australia, state that admission to tertiary hospitals increases chance of referral to early coronary angiography.

Known dyslipidaemia appears to increase odds of transfer, probably because high cholesterol increases the risk of coronary heart disease\textsuperscript{21}.

Although the catchment area of Kalgoorlie has more men than women, the influence of gender cannot only be attributed to patient demographics. The treatment of men is approached more aggressively than of women; their chest pain is more often recognized, diagnosed and treated\textsuperscript{22,23}.

In the ACACIA registry results, distance to invasive services does not appear to negatively impact upon events for Australian ACS patients\textsuperscript{24}. Rural patients with suspected ACS were only enrolled in their study if they were transferred to a study hospital less than 12 hours after initial presentation, whereas this trial detected many patients transferred after more than 12 hours or not transferred at all. This worsens the outcome for ACS patients in rural WA.

Transfer rates for both patients with *chronic* heart failure and those presenting with *acute* coronary syndromes appear to be lower in rural areas than in urban areas\textsuperscript{25,26}. The prevalence of chronic heart diseases is higher among people living outside capital cities\textsuperscript{27} and rural death rates are also higher\textsuperscript{28}.

**How to proceed?**

Changes in ECG, and troponin-T and CK levels are the main signs of a present acute coronary syndrome\textsuperscript{15}. Although many electrocardiograms were faxed to and discussed with cardiologists in Perth, rural clinics have missed suspected STEMs, indicating that skills in reading electrocardiograms could be improved. Many rural WA patients have cardiac risk factors that indicate a need to reduce preventable risk factors. This study was too small to make a definitive comment on the quality of care provided for patients with ACS in rural Western Australia. It has, however, raised significant questions that should be explored through larger studies.

**Acknowledgements**

Special thanks are extended to Dr JHA Janssen and Dr EF van Beeck for supervising Dr BM Blokker during her research. Thanks also to the Medical Directors of the Geraldton Regional Hospital and the Kalgoorlie Hospital, Dr F Moss and Dr P Barrett, for providing accommodation and access to medical records. Thanks to Dr R Moorin, Director of Health Science Studies and ACERH for providing access to SPSS at the University of Western Australia.

**References**


