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Abstract

Introduction: Australia is a country with a relatively small rural population dispersed over an enormous area. Issues similar to how best to deliver health services and recruit health professionals to rural areas exist in other countries. For professional and lifestyle reasons, most specialist doctors (including emergency medicine specialists), choose to live and work in major metropolitan centres. Outside the major Australian cities, most presentations to emergency departments are dealt with by ‘non-specialist’ doctors, often with limited specialist back up. Recruitment of suitably trained medical staff is increasingly difficult. There is increasing reliance on overseas trained doctors from widely varying backgrounds. In Canada and New Zealand, family medicine trained emergency medicine doctors are a significant proportion of the workforce in rural and regional emergency departments. Aim: To undertake a detailed investigation of the non-specialist emergency medicine doctors in Australia, and examine strategies to secure a more highly trained emergency medicine workforce for rural and regional Australia.
Methods: A survey was undertaken of 230 doctors working in 57 rural and regional Australian emergency departments. Consultations and interviews were held with 53 key clinicians, educators and administrators.

Results: There were no training or education standards for doctors working in smaller Australian emergency departments. There was considerable instability in the workforce with many planning to leave their current position or reduce their involvement in emergency medicine. The vast majority felt a need to undertake further emergency medicine training. There was little agreement among stakeholders as to how emergency medicine should be taught, or services delivered in rural and regional Australia.

Conclusions: There is need in Australia to offer a specific postgraduate qualification in emergency medicine for doctors wishing to practise emergency medicine outside major city hospitals. Ideally, such a course would be largely taught in rural and regional hospitals and would contain additional elements relevant to rural practice. The Canadian and New Zealand emergency medicine qualifications may be useful models.
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Introduction

While the number of specialist emergency physicians in Australia is increasing, most of these doctors practise in large tertiary hospitals. Australian Medical Workforce Advisory Committee (AMWAC) figures indicate that only 2.5% of emergency specialists practise in rural and regional areas where 28.7% of the population live – a lower proportion than for most other specialties.

Most of the presentations to emergency departments (EDs) in rural and regional Australia are dealt with by doctors other than emergency specialists. The number of these ‘non-specialist’ practitioners in the emergency medicine (EM) workforce is largely unknown. Many are overseas trained doctors (OTDs) or short term locums recruited to ‘areas of need’. The knowledge, skills, qualifications and experience of these doctors vary enormously. The loss of older, highly skilled procedural doctors from rural areas is a matter of concern in all disciplines.

Dealing with medical emergencies is frequently identified by rural doctors as an area of concern due to low caseloads, lack of resources, lack of familiarity with current practices and lack of close support from referral hospitals. Lack of confidence in dealing with emergencies is also a deterrent to young doctors taking up salaried positions in rural practices and hospitals. A recent study into training prevocational doctors across Australia found only a minority felt adequately prepared for dealing with clinical emergencies.

The challenge is to ensure those medical practitioners in rural and regional areas have the necessary knowledge, skills and support services to safely deliver an acceptable standard of care to the population they serve.

The aim of this study was to undertake a more detailed investigation of the non-specialist EM doctors, as well as to examine ideas and strategies to secure a more highly trained workforce.

Methods

Ethics approval

Ethics approval for this research was sought and obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee (Tasmania) Network.
Methodology process

Postal survey of doctors: Convenience sampling was used to survey ED doctors working in hospitals in RRMA classification 3 and 4 areas of Australia. These EDs had 10,000 to 30,000 presentations per annum. Survey forms were distributed to any doctor working in an ED - irrespective of seniority, employment status or level of training. A total of 18 questions were asked covering educational background, level of training, relevant experience, positive and negative aspects of the job, as well as plans for the future. All responses were anonymous.

Interviews with stakeholders: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with individuals and representatives of organisations identified as key persons, stake holders, or participants in the education, training and service delivery of EM in Australia, New Zealand and Canada. All interview transcripts were de-identified. Persons interviewed were specifically asked to comment on perceived difficulties in recruitment and retention, educational standards and possible solutions to workforce shortages.

Results

Postal survey of emergency department doctors

A total of 340 survey forms were distributed to 57 rural and regional hospitals, and 230 surveys were returned: a response rate of 68%.

Training and qualifications: Just over half (55%) of the respondents had received their basic training in Australia or New Zealand (Fig 1). While most of those trained overseas had completed the Australian Medical Council examination and were eligible for full registration, a significant proportion had not, and were practising with some form of limited or conditional registration.

Just over one-third (35%) of the respondents held a ‘relevant’ fellowship diploma for the position occupied (Fig 2). In all, 58 doctors were Fellows of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP), 34 were Fellows of the Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine (ACRRM) and only 13 were Fellows of the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (FACEM); 21 respondents were dual or triple fellowship holders.

A slightly greater percentage of respondents (38%) were non-fellowship holders but held one or more relevant ‘short course’ certificates in early management of severe trauma, emergency life support, advanced paediatric life support or other similar emergency courses.

Of concern is the 27% of respondents who held no relevant fellowship nor any relevant certificate in EM. While the relevance of any particular qualification is debatable, and it can be argued that knowledge and skills can be acquired by ‘on-the-job’ training, the successful completion of such qualifications demonstrates a commitment to maintaining and advancing knowledge.

Of similar concern was the finding that less than half the respondents participated in any formal continuing medical education (CME) or maintenance of professional standards (MOPS) program.

Previous rural experience: 23% of those surveyed had no prior rural experience before coming to their existing job (Fig 3). The figure was slightly higher for OTDs (29%) coming to work in the relatively unsupervised rural environment.

Of great interest was the finding that 39% of respondents had moved to their current position from a similar rural EM position. The high numbers suggest a significant degree of instability or dissatisfaction with many in the workforce on the move seeking a greater degree of personal and professional satisfaction.
Figure 1: Respondents by eligibility for registration. OTD, overseas trained doctors; AMC, Australian Medical Council.

Figure 2: Respondents by postgraduate qualifications.
Positive and negative aspects of current position: Overwhelmingly, the casemix that reflected the huge variety of clinical material seen in the ED was the most frequently rated positive feature (74%). The second most positive feature was the camaraderie of the medical and nursing colleagues in the ED (58%) - a feature perhaps more likely to be found in the close knit environment of smaller EDs.

Other issues such as pay and conditions, work environment, administrative support and hospital resources were variably rated as positive or negative features. These issues appeared to reflect more the individual hospital rather than the overall profession.

Compatibility with family life also featured as both a positive and negative factor. On the one hand, many doctors (33%) found it attractive to be able to work a predictable shift and leave the ‘office’ without any responsibilities until the next shift. On the other hand, 26% cited this as a negative factor due to the effect on their family of having to work unsociable hours.

There were numerous other negative factors but no one outstanding issue. Most respondents listed multiple negative aspects of the job. These included:

- medical staffing levels
- demanding work load
- excessive working hours
- difficulty accessing educational activities
- fear of litigation
- access block
- lack of peer support

Future employment and training: While one-third of respondents reported no intention to change their current situation, the majority indicated they were likely to substantially change their situation (Fig 4). For many, this included moving to another hospital or clinical area, reducing their hours of work, reducing involvement in emergency medicine, or leaving the workforce altogether. Only 6% had an intention to increase their involvement in EM. This adds further to the impression that the current workforce has a significant degree of instability and uncertainty for the future.
Most respondents identified a need to undertake further training in EM. Weekend ‘short courses’ and similar educational activities were by far the most popular intended training plans (59%). Almost certainly, this popularity reflected the small time commitment and relatively easy access to these programs. Some 20% of respondents indicated a desire to undertake a specific postgraduate diploma or certificate in EM; while 12% of respondents planned to pursue the FACEM.

There were several consistent themes on workforce issues identified in these interviews:

1. There is a major shortage of suitably trained and experienced doctors to meet the current needs of rural and regional EM.
2. This shortage is expected to worsen in the near future.
3. The heavy reliance on doctors educated in other countries creates additional problems relating to standard of training, communication, cultural issues, and resources required to support their professional development.
4. There is more to recruiting and retaining than simply offering larger remuneration which may only create a more volatile workforce.

Not surprisingly, there was considerable divergence of opinion on what could or should be done to improve the situation. There were four broad, but somewhat
contradictory, views expressed on future directions for the EM workforce (Fig 5):

1. The *more specialists* view (22% of interviewees): By providing funding and expanding the number of specialist trainees, as well as making conditions more attractive for rural specialists, this will ultimately translate into a highly skilled medical workforce in the rural and regional hospitals of the same standard as major urban hospitals.

2. The *more general practitioners* view (30%): The rural and regional workforce is the domain of generalists and there needs to be more funded GP training posts. Existing training schemes encompass EM and this is quite appropriate for most rural and regional areas together with existing short courses and locally based training.

3. The *imported workforce* view (10%): As there will be insufficient locally trained staff for the foreseeable future, there should be aggressive recruiting from other countries with a ‘fast tracking’ process to expedite visas and registration for these doctors. This should be linked to an education system to bring them up to the required standard as soon as possible.

4. The *radical* view (38%): Traditional specialist college-based education systems have failed to meet the EM workforce needs of rural and regional communities. The flow-on effect from additional undergraduate and specialist training positions is uncertain. Alternative and innovative ways of addressing the problem are a matter of urgency.

Suggested strategies included:

- further development of nurse practitioner programs, expanded-scope paramedics and other alternative forms of service delivery.

**Discussion**

**Rural postgraduate training**

Most specialist medical training takes place in tertiary hospitals that can provide the sophisticated support services as well as the necessary ‘critical mass’ of population to sustain professional interest. It is debatable if rural areas can provide the high standard of training that is relevant for specialist practice. Eley and Barker sounded a note of caution on apparent declining interest by some rural medical graduates in taking up intern positions at their training hospitals despite very positive undergraduate experiences. Major areas of concern included ‘unsupportive workplace environment’ and ‘inadequate professional development opportunities’. They also speculated that a relative lack of specialist training opportunities may be affecting internship choice. Postgraduate medical education is complex with multiple organisations including specialist colleges, universities, state and national health departments, local health authorities, and postgraduate medical councils all playing variable roles in teaching, accreditation, funding and recognition.

In its report issued in December 2005, the Australian Productivity Commission noted that medical shortages remain despite a growing workforce and increasing numbers of OTDs. The maldistribution between urban and rural areas was significant. The Commission also noted that there is limited clinical training capacity that is restricting the expansion of many professions, and a lack of coordination between education, training places and service delivery requirements. Among a number of recommendations, the Commission supported a strong focus on education and training opportunities in rural and remote areas.
The Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) has a position paper on rural EM that acknowledges the role of non-fellows. To date, ACEM has not become involved in the issue of training non-specialist EM doctors, although non-specialists are able to enrol in ACEM’s Maintenance of Professional Standards Program. The fellowship programs of the RACGP and ACRRM are constrained by the need to encompass the entire range of generalist practice, although both allow for ‘advanced skills’ training in specialist areas including EM.

**International practice**

Rural and regional New Zealand is in a similar situation to Australia where most EM services are provided by non-specialist doctors. The University of Auckland offers a Postgraduate Diploma in Community Emergency Medicine by distance learning. The Division of General Practice and Primary Health Care within the Auckland University, also offers an ‘Accident and Medical Care’ course which is aimed at non-specialist practitioners. This has been developed in conjunction with the New Zealand Accident and Medical Practitioners Association.

In Canada, the Royal College of Physicians awards a specialist fellowship in EM. Parallel to this, the Canadian College of Family Physicians awards a Certificate of special competency in EM (CCFP-EM) requiring an additional 12 months of EM beyond the usual 2 year family medicine training. Very few specialist emergency physicians practise in rural Canada. Many more Canadian emergency physicians have obtained their qualifications via the family medicine pathway (1555 physicians over 22 years) than the specialist track (468 physicians over 20 years). Most CCFP-EM graduates end up practising purely EM rather than a blend of general practice and EM.

**Role of other health professionals**

The Productivity Commission report also recommended that other health professionals should have an expanded role in providing services that have traditionally been provided by the medical profession. In Australia, emergency nurse practitioners (ENP) now exist in some major metropolitan hospitals. To date, ENPs have largely been tasked with seeing and treating patients with minor complaints, with evidence suggesting they are as good as, if not better than junior doctors. In remote communities, nurses have

Figure 5: Suggested solutions to rural emergency medicine workforce shortages. OTDs, overseas trained doctors.
traditionally had an expanded scope of practice that recognises the need to provide a diverse range of services without immediate medical support. Similarly, rural paramedics are seeking to extend their scope of practice beyond that of their urban colleagues\textsuperscript{21}. These concepts have not been enthusiastically embraced by long-established medical organisations.

Conclusions

Emergency medicine remains a popular career choice for postgraduate training. The excitement, challenge and variety of clinical work have strong appeal. The opportunity to undertake training part time and practise part time makes EM a career more compatible with family life than many other medical pathways.

A significant difficulty appears to be maintaining enthusiasm and interest in those attracted to rural practice in the first place. The apparent instability in the workforce and the large number of negative issues raised in the workforce survey suggest that much more needs to be done as part of ensuring a viable career structure for rural EM doctors. Recurring themes of remuneration, workforce shortages, family needs, after hours and on call commitments, and access to training and professional development, are widespread in all rural health disciplines.

For many people, the short term solution of using increasing numbers of OTDs from developing countries raises moral and ethical questions as the supply from other affluent ‘western’ countries dries up.

The workforce survey revealed a high level of perceived need to undertake further training in EM. It is apparent that there is a degree of professional jealousy and disagreement between the various players in medical education and service delivery. Careful consideration must be given to the implementation of any new training program to ensure it fills a need and complements existing educational opportunities.

Nurse practitioners and other service providers are likely to play a greater role but it remains to be seen if such health professionals could fill the gap between acute primary care and specialist EM practice. The ‘non-specialist’ EM pathways that have been developed in New Zealand and Canada may be useful models for the needs of rural and regional Australia.

Recommendations

- A specific Australian postgraduate qualification in EM should be developed that meets the needs of doctors who wish to practise outside major city institutions.
- The delivery of teaching and clinical experience for such a qualification would, as far as possible, be located in those institutions and communities that already have a strong rural/regional focus and commitment to medical education.
- Such a qualification should be recognised as a legitimate career path of higher training within the field of EM and be rewarded with competitive remuneration and employment conditions to ensure it remains an attractive option for EM practitioners.
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